2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

.5

6

3

DONALD MALAFRONTE, having been previously sworn, testified further as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBINSON:

Q You had been testifying before we adjourned at our last meeting about what the administration regarded as the contributing factors toward the growing racial uprest beginning some time in the middle of 1966.

I wonder if you could sum that up for us.

A Very quickly, we were talking about the election frustrations and politics in general. We talked about the chaos at the anti-poverty agency which resulted in the suspension of their director and argument about who would replace or who would be the new president.

the secretary of the board of education. Mr. Gibbons and Mr. Lofton and I finally came to agreement that it was in fact a political post although I still contend that that was not the way it was fought in public.

We talked about the medical school. We talked about

I think we touched also on the fight in East Orange as a possible other factor, bearing in mind all of these may have been minor or somewhat clearly major factors, others may have been minor.

We talked also about the particular issue in Clinton Hill Meat Market, picketing.

I think if I had to add snything also very quickly.

Malafronte

7 8

.

before we got to the point of the riot, I am sure your staff will run it down, it would be some animosity or overt enimosity between the administration and the U.C.C., the anti-poverty agency, over two other issues.

essentially city government program and the Mayor felt that the action of the agency had been unreasonable in cutting the program from \$600,000 last year to \$200,000 this year, which resulted in letting go of 200 senior citizens and hiring some 15 staff people with the money which was seved being set aside in a fund to essentially the militant area boards.

As it later turned out those funds were lost entirely in terms of the federal government for the failure to submit the program.

That was one thing which made an overt split between the Mayor and the U.C.C. I don't think the Mayor had ever been really overt about his opposition to some of the things they had done until the senior citizens problem developed.

I think also in the last week since we came up with the summer, there was a sharp dispute over the summer block, summer play street program, which had originally been conceived in the Mayor's office three summers ago and submitted through the U.C.C. as the P.A.L., Police Athletic

The second year after a fight the United Community

Corporation and the P.A.L. shared sponsorship over it, the

U.G.C. attempted to have the program transferred out of the

P.A.L.

This summer too the same very sharp fight developed with an effort to take the program outside the Police

Athletic League and another compromise was finally arranged.

In fact, I think the program was funded the day before

the riot finally. The funds arrived in Newark the day before the riot.

The third and last thing I would touch on is something

which would beer some staff investigation and was something which never reached the public but which was quite sharp among leadership at the poverty agency and several other agencies in town.

That was an argument over a new employment program called CEP or Concentrated Employment Program, which earlier in the year the Labor Department and others who were workied about particular cities, 19 of them, found money in the budget, put together a big program and offered it to 19 cities which they apparently felt were riot-prome. Rewark was one of those cities selected.

There was a \$4% million allotment to Newark for kind of a neighborhood manpower program with neighborhood centers

3

1

2

4 5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

7

g P

Malafronte

and things of that sort.

.5

There was a quite sharp battle over who would sponsor that program, which is now in operation under the joint sponsorship of the office of the Mayor and the anti-powerty agency.

As a result of that quite sharp fight, this coalition or conglomeration which we began to touch on last time got a few more added pieces. Several persons, most particularly a fellow named Walter Dawkins, who is executive director, I guess you would call him of Blazers Youth Council, which is one of the anti-poverty sub-agencies in Newark and quite a vocal grassroots type of guy, he felt he was being treated unfairly all around and as a result, he and several other persons like him were added to the conglomeration of persons who were adding to the very high levels of aggression that were obvious in the city just before the riot.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Was Dawkins declaring a plague on both houses, both the City Hall group and U.C.C.?

position. By the U.C.C. meaning the then leadership of the U.C.C.?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. That's what I understand that to mean. So that Dawkins

in a sense was a split-off into what you would call this conglomeration?

THE WITNESS: Yes, who were coming together and were beginning to play, we felt, a really undue amount of influence at that particular point in time, at the time we are talking about.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Colonel Hussein and a few others, would they be in that category?

THE WITNESS: More in the category of Earl Harris, Eulis Ward, that type.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Wheeler and so forth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Just one last question.

This program was sponsored by labor unions?

THE WITNESS: No. The Labor Department and the O.E.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: This was federal momey?

THE WITNESS; Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN EROWN: This was the Labor
Department?

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

7

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: The concept of it was

concentrated employment program?

THE WITNESS: That's the national aim for it.

Locally in Newark it is now called TEAM, to indicate the teamwork which finally resulted. VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Finally you say it did come together?

THE WITNESS: As TEAM, yes.

It was a rather difficult fight.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: The Blazer program.

was that a part of U.C.C.?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Before we get into the riots themselves, now you have told us quite a bit about the growing controversies between U.C.C. and the administration.

Could you give us the administration's views on how these public controversies affected the mood of the community and more specifically, the Negro community?in the months preceding the riots?

I have been in this rather awaward position of attempting to suggest to you what we sensed about the community, which may be difficult to pin.

I feel that the relationships are deteriorating

4

5

6

relationships between the administration and the antipoverty agency as well as the very difficult internal fighting.

It was not all a matter of administration versus antipoverty agency. There were many diverse groups within the agency itself tearing it apart. All of those were well covered in the press.

> VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: By agency you mean the U.C.C.?

THE WITNESS: Yes. All of those were well covered in the press. I think that the agency had had some success, some very good success in its early days of identifying itself with the poor and with the black and with the peedy in general in Newark.

I don't know whether people were being helped but certainly many people understood it to be an agency which was essentially for the under or ivileged and to see it in chaos, to see so much bitterness raging over it and to see it so well publicized, we think added to the unessiness or shifting nature or the despair which must have been felt.

I think also we have to start to consider the national moods and national concerns. I don't

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

6 7

8

9

know that the U.C.C. oversold itself or the city government or anybody else, but certainly the anti-poverty program in general was oversold.

A great deal of publicity nationally with very little delivery. For instance, if the U.C.C. had never ever tried to publicize itself -- and I don't think it ever did really work very hard at public relations or public information -- I think the poor of Newark nevertheless would have been exposed nightly and daily to the promise of the poverty program and the failure to deliver.

I think as a background of the problem in Newark we have to accept the fact nationally that we were in a situation where much had been promised in the last few years and to the average guy in the ghatte, there seemed to be very little delivery.

If the U.C.C. was a smooth-working and totally supported agency, still with the money available to it it could not have begun to do the job that was necessary in the city and I think when you have promised ten persons you are going to help them and you reach two, that leaves eight frustrated persons. I am afraid that the

2

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

6

7

8

)

Malafronte 10

pitches for poverty programs and for progress
and so forth is a very important part of Newark's
story.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

7

8

9

0

1

You know, television is something which you need to consider as in the mood of the nation now. A Negro who is living in Newark, for instance, or any Northern city must sit at that set and view a Southern bigot battering away at someone doing a civil rights march down in Alabama and he watches as the camera comes in close to a Southern housewife who is screaming We will kill them miggers, let us at those niggers" and I wonder when he shuts off the set, whether he is able to approach it objectively and say 'Well, that is a Southern bigot in Alabama" or whether or not that doesn't confirm his fears thathe is in a racist society and that all whites -- or that she is speaking for all whites, in the North or South or wherever.

Q Was there a feeling in the Negro community in the last months preceding the riots that the administration was against U.C.C. and was fighting U.C.C.?

A I can't testify to what the Negro community

we did try to temp down and come to some sort of cooperative agreements on a number of matters. o With U.C.C.?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

7

8

it was.

the medical school hearings. Wilbur Parker Callaghan issue had been resolved by the secretary of the board deciding to continue in office.

Almost all of the little problems which I have discussed here in regard to particular programs had been

3

5

7 8

9

11

12

14

16

15

.7

9

1

0

2

resolved.

Timothy Still was the new president of the United

Community Corporation. Nr. Wolf had been reinstated as

director and on July 1 the block program had been approved
and was supposed to begin the next day.

The Manpower Program had been approved and was supposed to begin the next day. I felt secure enough that week and very confident on July 1.

Q And indeed you started your vacation then?

A That's right. I took my first vacation in three years.

Q The perception of the administration was that on July 1 things were really relatively cool than?

A I wouldn't say we felt it was relatively cool.

I would say we thought we had defused most of the obvious issues at that point.

on it later this area: Was there not a substantial tax
increase at the beginning of the administration in mid-1966
that you felt might have caused some unrest?

A I just think that some confusion and some anger in the community and some loss of support and loss of ability for the city government to maneuver in a difficult situation came about and I think that's important, yes.

Q Whatwas that tax increase?

8

13

11

1

-

A After two successive years of decrease there was a 30% increase in taxes.

Q Thirty percent?

A Yes, which resulted in a movement largely of homeowners and others to get what has become almost a clicke, I guess, which is a change of government movement. So in January, prior to any of the racial stirrings, there was a move to change the government which was essentially designed to oust the Mayor.

Q January of 1967?

A Yes.

Q Along with the tax increase --

A What I have tried to describe here is how in my opinion the city government's ability to maneuver and to pick up middle ground support was being increasingly whittle down as we came down to the summer.

I think many homeowners were very upset by the tax increase as well they might be. The taxes are confiscatory.

MR. MEYNER: When the tax bills go up?

THE WITNESS: Yes. The first round went out earlier, in May and June.

MR. MEYNER: I think the payments are due
July 15, aren't they?

THE WITNESS: Yes, but the bills go out

MR. MEYNER: They strike the rate and it
is final about Jume, isn't it?

THE WITNESS: The rate was known in Newark
in Japuary and February.

MR. MEYNER: But people never realize it until they got the bill, right?

THE WITHESS: They realized it enough to get a movement started to change the form of government.

I believe they were aware of what it was going to be. It was widely advertised through the winter.

Q Now along with the tax increase did the administration through --

when confronted by a movement among your homeowners to change your form of government who are really hurt by a rate like that, you need to pay a good deal of attention to that particular problem and you need to go slow, for instance, in sreas of spending and in general it bagins to the your hands in very important ereas.

Q Did the administration also at some time between April 1966 and April 1967 through the council vote substantial increases for salaries to city officials?

A I think in November.

and the Mayor, approximately?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

9

0

1

2

3

5

himself.

Yen.

I am not sure of where the councilmen went. I think they had gone up to about \$15,000.

Q It went to \$15,000 from what?

A I think it was \$10,000. I am not certain as to where they went but it was a substantial increase, plus the addition of a \$6,000 a year assistant to each councilman.

The Mayor went from \$25,000 to \$35,000.

of the mood that this was an irritant? Was it brought up at public meetings?

Mell, I think anyone who has been in public office understands that a raise for high public officials is going to be a problem. I may say that in the Mayor's defense we were determined to get shead of our enti-powerty community action director.

MR. MEYMER: What did be get?

\$23,500 at that time, if I recall.

THE WITNESS: He was getting about

you can recall now that the administration took in the last months to counter the growing discontent that it felt was happening in the Negro community?

A Well, the determination was to try to close down as many controversial issues as possible as quickly as possible.

Q Other than closing down were there any affirmative steps taken?

A Well, we think that the \$4% million employment program was a major project for us. That is the single biggest program grant ever made in New Jersey, so it was going to be a big chunk of money to be swallowed and we

.9

determined to use as many different agencies as possible in the program to insure that a lot of people had a vested interest in it.

Q Any other affirmative steps?

A We did move to a second play street program again through the Labor Department and exclusively the province of the Police Athletic League and we had launched and were concluding in fact a Police Community Relations Program, a \$100,000 grant from the Justice Department.

I am sure Director Spine will tell you about that.

Q That was under Directon Spins?

A The Human Rights Commission.

Q Mr. Threatt?

A Yes.

Q Now before we get to the riots themselves, had the administration, that is, the City Hall end of it, been in communication at all with Director Spina's branch of the government to find out just how the situation was or how bad it was?

A Well, you are all aware of Director Spine's telegram:

Q I was leading up to that. I think you better tell us about that.

A I guess it was in May, during the medical school hearings, that Director Spina sent a telegram to Sargent

Shrive

I am sure he will produce a copy of it for you. He suggested in it that funds were being misused to produce an anti-medical school reaction and I think his phrase was that if the O.E.O. did not intervene, the United Community Corporation was leading the city surely to riot and anarchy.

Q This was in May?

A Yes.

Q Now was the City Hall part of the administration aware of Director Spina's feelings at that time?

A You have asked whether we were aware of his feelings and the answer is yes, we were.

Q Did you get a copy of the telegram?

A We did not have a copy of the telegram, although we have determined that there are persons who believe that be mentioned the telegram to the Mayor but he did not produce its text so far as I know.

He did mention haves going to send a talegram complaining to Shriver. Some people do recall that, but he certainly never produced the text for us.

Q In the Spring of 1967 then the administration was aware that the police end was vastly alarmed at the possibility of a riot, correct?

A Director Spine has been very worried about certain elements in the community and when those elements

7

3

4

5

6

10

9

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

.9

0

2

1

4

bagan to be active in the United Community Corporation, they had been very worried about the anti-poverty agency and its effect in Newark for a long time and I would say that goes back beyond this year.

Q Now in the Spring --

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Could I interrupt?
MR. ROBINSON: Yes. of course.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You talked about the fact that the administration assisted in having Still elected over the militant opponent. Could you tell us who that militant opponent was?

THE WITNESS: The choice of the nominating committee was Duke Moore.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: And again in the sense of the administration he was felt to be a militant speathead or figurehead?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. MEYMER: This is nominating for what?

THE WITNESS: President of the United

Community Corporation.

He was the formal nomination. There was
a sheet indicating the sen who were supporting
him that night presented and distributed. It
included Colonel Hussein, Tom Hayden, Area
Board 3 and Area Board 2. That is, the flyer

Moore for president of the United Community

for his election that night said "Support Duke

Corporation" and then there was a list of

It did include Colonel Hussein, Tom Hayden,

MR. LOFTON: For the purposes of the

record, could you tell us who are members of the

nominating committee?

don't really know. It was headed by Monsignor

I guess it would be?

O Now you have told us about steps the admini-

THE WITNESS: That's right. It's the

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: He was the Chairman.

THE WITNESS: To tell you the truth, I

carev.

falk class signers.

Area Boards and a number of others.

1

8

10

				the mood				
No	what	steps h	ad the	administr	at ion	taker	a, if	any,
to contai	n of	control (	riot	directly?	Ву	that :	I mean	what

Queen of Angels Church.

A We are now moving into an area where I think I can only give you an overview and Director Spina would have to talk to you in detail about.

There was some discussion or there has been for several

4 5

years much discussion about the proper police approach to riot training and riot equipment and so forth.

I think Director Spina will testify that generally he felt that riot training or to begin riot training or care for riot training or overt riot training would be psychologically demaging.

Newark had gone four years under great pressure with persons expected to riot every day without rioting. I think the Director was opposed to riot training. I think he has been on record a number of times as saying so, including just before the riot, in which he said he thought that would only stimulate the atmosphere or get people to think that way.

So he always felt that formal and announced public riot training would be damaging and it is a viewwwhich we supported.

Q You adopted it?

before A he : Yes. -- he trope the following letter, and I

Q Now how about equipment preparation for a riot?

Was there any ordering of any equipment or any discussion of it?

A There was after last summer a lot of discussion about it and again it was a matter of how to introduce obvious riot prevention equipment without a good deal of public interest. I think in the budget this year thay began

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

11 2

3 4

5

to include some equipment which was clearly designed to handle a riot, although a lot of it was then cut out in budget hearings.

I think we began to beef up what might be considered riot equipment, shields, shotguns, last winter. Hany policemen felt it was not sufficient.

Q Do you know whether at the time of the riots the police department was to any extent equipped for any sort of a riot?

A Yes. I think we felt we were equipped to handle a riot. Is your question bearing on the controversy which was just prior to the riot of Patrolman Kovalewski of the fraternal order of police?

Yes. If I may get into that, Patrolman Kowalewski who identified himself as the president of the fraternal order of police, Newark Lodge, testified in Washington after the riots that on June 18 -- that would be just about a month before the riots -- he wrote the following letter, and I will just read the introduction of it and ask you for your compant on it, and this was a letter to the Mayor:

"Sir: As you know, the possibility of Newark's having a riot is at its highest point. Also as you may already know, our city is virtually an armed camp. We say this from personal experience and first-hand knowledge." End of quote.

4 5

4 5

plained of improper and insufficient equipment, no shotguns, no riot guns, no helmets, not enough tear gas, et cetera.

I am wondering if you could tell us what the Mayor did about that letter just a month before the riots.

A He met with the patrolman. He represents a small number of the police department in political organization which is in competition each year with the PBA. The PBA represents the great majority of Newark policemen. I believe Patrolman Rowalewski's organization represents two or tree hundred policemen on a force of 1.400.

Q He testified it was about 150.

A Out of a 1,400-men police force. So that he has been prome to take what we will call -- is there a police militant view? If so, he holds it and he has made those kinds of statements and those kinds of contentions before, but we did meet with him, yes.

Q Didthe administration look into his belief that there wasn't sufficient riot equipment available?

policemen who felt that the riot equipment on hand was sufficient. We did look into it. As I understand it, there was agreement that they would review the existing equipment for his satisfaction.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

11

2

3

4

5

Was a survey made of riot equipment justbefore

the riots?

A I am not aware of it.

Q Would you be able to look into that for us and perhaps make available any written survey that might have been taken?

A I think that would be a matter for Director Spina. The Mayor would not or could not survey police equipment without the Director.

Q Before we go into the riots themselves and the role of the administration I am wondering if there are any questions on the pre-riot phase from any of the Commissioners.

M2. LOFTON: I believe you testified that the city was trying to tell its relocation story.

Would you saplify on that a little bit and tell us whather or not the city published a relocation plan indicating where the proposed dislocated people would be relocated?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think we distributed lengthy accounts and I think the one-page sheet of the housing which was available, which concentrated on the new public housing which was being concluded and the high vacancy rate in

standard dwellings in the city.

of the people in the area that with respect to
the high turnover rate, as it were, in the
housing projects, as I understood it, that a lot
of the vacancies that would be available in the
housing projects would be because of people's
turnover and moving out? Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's part of it, yes.

MR. LOFTON: And that in fact, there have been various kinds of figures put forward by persons that said that there was not enough housing for the people to be relocated, that there were somethousands of people on the waiting list to get into the housing projects, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: There was a waiting list. Of course, in relocation the relocation persons take priority. That was explained.

mentioned that was discussed or attempted to be put into the community with the cooperation of the Newark Legal Services Project -- is that what you said?

THE WITTESS: No. I said that as I

Minlafronte

understood it, your office had worked with Mr.

Schiff's office in attempting to develop and you ware, as I understand it, monitoring to insure that there was developed what you considered to be an acceptable relocation plan.

MR. LOFTON: For the purposes of the record I should like to state that that relocation plan has not yet been forthcoming.

THE WITHESS: I think it was included in Part 1 application.

relocation plan that was to be developed between the city and the Newark Legal Services Project so as to acquaint the people about the places that would be available for them to be moved to.

THE WITNESS: As I understood it, did that not flounder on an insistence that there be addresses and places that persons would be going to and since the relocation was a year away that that was an impossibility?

It merely had to be that you had to accept
the fact that there were going to be X number
of apartments vacant based on the experience of
the previous year, the year before that and the

year before that and the year before that and that we would therefore assume that this year's experience would be the same as last and that it was not a matter of matching person to address.

Wasn't that really what it came down to?

The Housing Authority, which has relocated

between one and two thousand people a year for

the last ten years, has indicated that you simply

cannot a year in advance of relocation match

person to address. It just can't be done.

Apartments which are available now will not be

available a year from now.

However, based on years of experience you presume that you are going to have X amount of apartments on you project that there will be X emount of apartments open mext year.

I think that was essentially the stumbling block.

MR. LOFTON: Well, I would just state that
Mr. Schiff was to have -- or in consultation with
Mr. Werrenz of the Housing Authority he was to
develop the relocation plan. There was no
stumbling block insofar as matching people to
specific addresses. The fact is that Mr. Warrens

Malafronte

has never produced the relocation plan that was
to be put into the hands of the Legal Services
Project to be distributed in the community,
though we have repeatedly asked for that
relocation plan so as to acquaint the people
with where they might be moved to.

I just want the record to be straight with respect to that.

THE WITNESS: I think a riot intervened in your dealings with them, as I understand it.

MR. MEYNER: I think we have listened to an awful lot of preliminary material and it is about time we got to the point.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I do have one or two that you might find are pertinent to the first phase.

As I read my notes I note that in May,
Director Spins apparently sent a letter to the
OEO?

THE WITNESS: A telegram.

WASH't fully cognizant. Apparently he knew
there was something sent and that Spina was
concersed about U.C.C. and that the tenor of the
telegram was that unless something was done about

Malafronte

1 2

3

4

5 6

> 7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

13

14

15

U.C.C. leadership that their actions might lead to riot?

THE WITNESS: That was a quote in the telegram.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now Kowalewski also came up with his letter and although he represented a small group of people he still said that he felt things were hot and were at their peak?

THE WITNESS: No. He said "As you know".

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think he said "As you know the possibility of riot is at its peak".

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think most people accepted that. We were moving into July and August and most people get most concerned about riots in late Jume, July and August.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, this was in May now.

THE WITNESS: No.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: When was Spine's telegram?

THE WITHESS: The telegram of Spins was in Mey. Kowalewski's was much later.

MR. ROBINSON: Kowalewski's letter was June 18.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now then in May we had this business with the board of education about the job, would that be right?

THE WITNESS: No. In May I think we were concluding the medical school hearings. I don't believe that the Parker issue came until late May or June. In fact, I am sure the Parker issue was in June.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: So that in May we have Spina's talegram?

THE WITNESS: In May the medical school issue was in full flight.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: And this was what Spina was directly concerned about?

THE WITNESS: The telegram, yes, the use of sound trucks.

Perticipation in this controversy about the medical school?

THE WITNESS: I think be referred particularly about the use of sound trucks and then suggested that the actions of the U.C.C. were leading the city to riot and chaos, or riot and anarchy, I think was his phrase.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Was there then as

between the Mayor and Director Spina any agreement as far as you know on this situation? I ask it in light of the fact that shortly thereafter the administration participated in the election in U.C.C.

In fact, you felt that Still would probably be the better choice, is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: So would it be fair
to say that the Mayor did not see eye to eye with
Mr. Spina on the urgancy of the matter leading
to the riot and so forth?

THE WITNESS: Not at all. I think we were in perfect agreement that the United Community Componation was a problem and was being used -- now we have to separate the United Community Componation from persons who are able to use it at given times when other persons don't participate.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I am not going into

THE WITNESS: We felt it was being used at that time.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Whatever it was being used as an instrument that may foment riot

and so forth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we felt that way.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now was there any action taken by the city at that time, since the Mayor and Director Spins were in concert, that this might be fementing riot? Could you tell us what was done then and if it differed from prior years when you had the same kind of crisis reoccurring?

THE WITNESS: We never felt that we could exercise effective influence or control of the United Community Componation.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, my question was inertistic.

Did you do anything at all in view of these very urgent conclusions reached?

THE WITNESS: In our relationship with the anti-poverty agency?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes, or with anybody in the city.

THE WITNESS: I think helping to elect a president who we thought would be better was a considerable action.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: But you suggested that the U.C.C. itself in structure was not

9

10

11

8

1

3

4

5

6

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

necessarily important, it was those who were using it and had been with sound trucks and so forth.

Was anything done about this?

THE WITNESS: I am not sure. Do you mean did we arrest anyone for the use of the sound trucks?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, were there ordinances?

THE WITNESS: This is a private corporation.

It has no relationship to city government.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I understand, but what was the Director concerned about when he says these people are fementing riot and disorder?

THE WITNESS: I think his indication was he wanted Shriver to crack down on the agency.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: But what did the city officials have to do with it? Suppose Shriver did. Suppose he removed persons or did whatever he can do.

Would you not still have had these
persons that you say were using this still in
function, still in operation, still motivating
and fomenting?

THE WITNESS: We don't think they would

have had the bullhorn which is the U.C.C.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Of course, it comes back to the same question.

Other than the telegram to Mr. Shriver, was anything done in terms of security, in terms of circumventing or controlling the situation?

THE WITNESS: I think the State Police set up a command post in Newark during the Spring.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well now, in terms of the city government was snything done at all that you can tell us about?

THE WITNESS: In terms of security, to prepare for a riot?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, preparing for some sort of contravention of riot. Here the Police Director says "Look, this place is about to blow up."

My question is, what, if you can tell us, was done by city government?

THE WITNESS: I thought I had suggested that we attempted to close off all the issues that I have discussed here.

There was a filibuster at the medical school hearings. We decided to cut those off,

which we did.

In the Callaghan Parker issue -VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: This hadn't come

yet. That was in June.

THE WITNESS: We are talking about in this developing matter.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I suppose you are right, yes.

THE WITNESS: We attempted to have the matter die through Mr. Hess keeping his position, thereby eliminating the Callaghan Parker issue or as a continuing problem.

Moving into the chaos at the U.C.C., and an attempt to elect a president who we thought would be better for the agency and supporting the present director to get back -- he had been suspended and to get him back in command we thought was important.

Settling the Quite difficult controversy over the Manpower Program we thought was important.

Working out a compromise over the P.A.L.

Play Street Program was important. We did in
fact go to the GEO to get some additional funds
for the senior citizens program to take the

7 8 9

-

pressure off that pregram too.

So we took/many steps as we could bearing directly on these issues which we have discussed here now.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I am curious about this one point.

Did you feel that then if Still were elected and the militants supporting Duke Moore were defeated that this then would minimize the possibility of a riot or would it not be that this frustration might drive them into the streets, if I can use that phrase?

THE WITNESS: Well, that was a problem.

We felt that the election of Timothy Still would provide a more stable and more intelligent approach to anti-poverty and a more homest approach to anti-poverty in Newark.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think there is one problem.

THE WITNESS: You are saying when you frustrate people where would they go?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. Secondly, admittedly from your point of view the antipoverty program was a problem of promises of the program rather than fulfillment.

2 3 4

THE WITNESS: I said that was one of the problems. The problems we outlined was the vigorous, consistent opposition of the anti-poverty agency to the city administration or members or parties who were unduly influential in the anti-poverty agency.

If I haven't made that clear let me make that clear now. We considered them to be the major public voice and still do, on public issues in Newark, on issues in Newark.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Were they reaching the cause? Please correct me as you just have, but I think you said that even the U.C.C. or anti-poverty agency, assuming that they had harmony, assuming they were in accord, would still really not be doing much in terms of ameliorating conditions because of the national concept which promised much but in reality gave little?

of the problems that Newark has.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. That's what
I am trying to get to.

Now let's assume that the U.C.C. controversy was important and it most certainly was.

But even had it harmoniously worked, even had it been going in as far as it could go, it wouldn't have been making much impress. So let's leave them apart, if we may.

Was anything else other than the U.C.C. controversy, this great area where the U.C.C. couldn't have done much if it was in great shape, was anything done in these other areas?

THE WITNESS: Yes. You mean in moving to solve its basic problems?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: And is trying to meet this crisis which was imminent. We had a Governor and a Police Director who said this place is about to blow up.

THE WITNESS: Remember, we considered the crisis to have been generated in large part by persons who were active at the time in our antipoverty agency.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You feel that the crisis or the disturbance in July was generated in large part by U.C.C. activities?

THE WITNESS: By an atmosphere involving the issues I have discussed which was worsened by the activities of those persons who were then active in the anti-poverty agency. I absolutely

Malafronte

feel that way, yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I may say that even if the U.C.C. worked beautifully, even if they were in complete harmony, they by definition were not able really to meet any of the problems because of the vast promises but little performance available.

THE WITNESS: Newark had been making progress in housing and it was a very good, immense urban renewal program.

It has more public housing per capita than any city in the country. So I think we were making progress there. We had severe problems with the FHA, yes, that's true.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You defined those earlier.

Tell me this now: In July or around July
1 you took your very first vacation in three
years?

on it. I didn't feel too happy about it.

into your personal affairs. That is not my

Would this be any indication that you were

kind of a firemen in this area, I think, to minimize your role, and did you feel that you could even under personal stress from your wife or did things seem to be in good shape? Would that be the general atmosphere at City Hall?

THE WITNESS: I felt I could get away for a little while.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: So we could say the city administration felt that if things were not under control they were safe for a month or so?

THE WITNESS: I think I could best answer that by saying that in the middle of my vacation I broke it off and came back because I didn't feel that safe about it.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You were disturbed?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now up to this
point, July 1, when you were apprehensive, was
anything other than the allocation of the
equipment to the police or anything like unusual
numbers of police assigned to slert duty, around
the clock, any reduction of leaves, any projected
investigations made?

THE WITNESS: State Police and State Police observers were in Newark and there had been

talks with them.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: How about investigations in there or people going in, undercover
agents, persons intruding into the Negro
community to try to gauge things?

THE WITNESS: I don't like the word "intruding".

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I will withdraw
that. I mean people going in in the course of
duty to try to find out what was happening, what
the ferment was, to try to provide eyes and
ears for the government.

issue for the Director but Iwould say that so far as we could learn we could not get any hard evidence that in fact this July riot or that there was organization of and people pointing at a July riot.

The sense was there but it was impossible to produce weapons or persons who said 'We are meeting on Tuesdays and Wednesdays."

No, we did not see any hard information that in fact we were confronting anything more than the difficulties that we had faced the summer before except had been made much worse

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

and the community more unsettled by these very divisive issues which had come along.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Was anything done by the government which was different than that which had been adopted as almost standard slert procedures the last year and the year before? Nothing unusual was done at this time at all?

THE WITNESS: I think the stevious year we had had a meeting with the Governor and other big city mayors and we did not have that kind of pre-summer meeting this year.

The Mayor did so to a meeting at Paul Ylvisaker's house with Police Commissioner Leary from New York to discuss Leary's experiences in Philadelphia and what he was doing in New York and things of that sort.

This type of thing was continuing, yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: But this would be a continuing effort by the Mayor I think to learn and understand about the problem, nothing special as of this time?

THE WITNESS: Well, I think that that meeting was not a particularly happy one. My impression is that the Commissioner, like all of us --

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Commissioner Ylvisaker?

Newark, brought Leary there because he thought
Leary could help in some way, but I think that
he made the error of having a number of mayors,
some Republicans, some Democrat, some nonpartisan, some who didn't know each other at the
same meeting and I think that it became awkward
so far as I can find for mayors to confess to the
depth of the problems in their cities or to
discuss them candidly.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: So this meeting was held when? It involved a number of mayors, you say?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

June?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: So other than these things, there was nothing really done because you felt that things were going along?

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say nothing was really done. What I am saying is that police preparations or the possibility of riot seems to me an area in which Director Spina would have

1 2

Malafronte

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

to testify.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: That's why I am trying to concentrate on the government's attitude.

THE WITNESS: Our attitude was to take those administrative steps which we thought would end or help end the divisive issues within the community and to help moderate what we felt to be the main platform for the attacks, which was the anti-poverty agency and to proceed with programs we thought were worthwhile like the \$4% million Manpower Program, like the summer programming, like the various youth programs. expanding the Youth Corps from 300 to 2,500, keeping playgrounds open at might and so forth and so on.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Was any effort made to reach people like Moore and Dawkins?

THE WITNESS: I consider them all personal friends of mine and I have high regard for them. I talk to them regularly.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I mean on a governmental level.

THE WITNESS: I mean at the governmental level. We talk to them frequently, yes.

## Malafronte

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Were you talking to them at this time?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I talked to Duke right after he was arrested, as a matter of fact.

Duke Moore was arrested during the medical school hearings.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes, I know. Did any of these people give you any indication of what was impending?

THE WITNESS: Their testimony at the hearings, many of them testified about blood in the streets and things of that sort, yes, but none of them -- and I know them -- were aware or gave me any indication that they knew of a plot to burn Newark or anything like that.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You are not suggesting there was such a plot?

THE WITNESS: I certainly am not.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now in your

THE WITNESS: I have to qualify that statement.

I do think there were persons in Newark
who believed that revolution and riot was a good
thing and necessary for improvement in Newark,

yea.

I do think there were persons who worked hard to produce an atmosphere in which a riot could occur.

But I do not think there was a conspiracy
to make that riot occur. I do think there were
persons who were determined to produce an
atmosphere in which a spark could more easily
produce a riot.

Yes, I certainly am very much convinced of that.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: This will be my last question.

Could you give us a general idea of who you think those people are? Then we will go into the next phase.

speaking they would be persons from the Newark
Community Project, SDS. I don't think they would
be persons who were organized or Negroes or many
of the whites organized themselves but I think
two or three persons who are the kind of
doctrinairre, new politics, new left persons, who
can sit in a back room and decide rather coldly
that society needs a change and that if we

7 8

sacrifice some people, it is all part of the procedure.

I think we have those people and I think they are here and I think that they are known to this Commission.

Q Could we have the names?

A I would include in that category Tom Hayden and Phil Hutchins.

Q Could you give us the others?

A That is as far as I can go because anyone else after that is guesswork. I am convinced that Phil Hutchins and Tom Hayden both felt that a riot was necessary in Newark.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Are they both Negro or white?

THE WITNESS: Hayden is white and Phil Hutchins is a Negro. I think Laroi Jones might be another one who felt that way. I don't know his activities at the time.

MR. ROBINSON: Any other questions on the pre-riot phase?

MR. MEYNER: I want to hear about this riot somewhere along the line.

MR. LOFTON: Without impeding the progress
toward hearing about the riot, I would just like

to ask one or two questions so that the record is clear about the board of trustees of the U.C.C.

Is the board of trustees of the U.C.C.

made up of people from the community and also

persons from the municipal government, meaning

the administration and say the elected

officials?

I mean in terms of the City Council.

THE WITNESS: As a matter of fact, we have 15 votes on a 100-mamber board, yes.

MR. LOFTON: One other question. Anytime during the time when all of these things that you say were occurring, by people associated with the U.C.C., did anybody from the city administration -- and the Mayor is honorary president of the U.C.C., right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. LOFTON: Did the Mayor appear before the board of trustees and appeal to them to stop these things that were occurring that were giving consternation to the city officials?

THE WITNESS: The Mayor has been represented at every executive committee meeting the U.C.C. has ever held.

MR. LOFTON: My question is whether or not the Mayor himself has appeared there.

THE WITNESS: The Mayor has not appeared there but the executive committee met in his office. The Mayor did not ever go directly to the board of trustees.

The executive committee met in his office prior to the riot and these subjects were discussed. We mat privately with Dean Heckel, of course. There were many meetings.

MR. LOFTON: Since the city administration had representatives on the board of trustees of the U.C.C., did any one of those trustees ever make a resolution or put a motion on the floor of the board of trustees of the U.C.C. to stop these things that you say were occurring? Did that ever occur?

to produce a recommendation, or assisted a committee to produce a recommendation which was pro-medical school but it was voted down.

MR. LOFTON: Maybe I am not making my
question clear. I think what I am talking about
is this: There were a lot of negative things
you say were occurring in terms of sound equipment

and so forth and so on and the Police Director had written a letter to the O.E.O., to Sargent Shriver saying that he didn't think that the U.C.G. money was being spent properly and so forth.

What I am asking you is whether or not any one of the representatives from the city administration or the City Council ever put a motion on the floor of the U.C.C. asking the U.C.C. board to stop this kind of activity.

THE WITNESS: Well, we attempted to put a motion on the floor involving, for instance, an end to the senior citizens program and it was ruled out of order by Dean Heckel, if you recall that.

I think I had better add something to that. We tried to reach Bill Wolf a good deal and we talked to him a good deal. I think he attempted his best to moderate what was going on.

For his pains he was fired.

MR. MEYNER: Fired as what?

THE WITNESS: He was suspended. Then he was reinstated and then fixed during the riot or asked to resign.

5

24

MR. MEYNER: What was he, director?

THE WITNESS: He was director, yes.

BISHOP TAYLOR: Who fired him?

THE WITNESS: He was originally suspended by this coalition we have been talking about. He was then reinstated by a counter group, because the board of trustees can always mount a moderate superior force, if you can get it together, and I think that it reinstated him. During the riot he was suspended, I take it by consensus, or he was asked to resign I think by Tim Still, as a matter of fact. That would be a problem for Tim Still to testify to.

I am not sure of the internal matter of how his resignation came about during the riot.

## BY MR. ROBIN SON:

Q Now you were vacationing from July 1 until July 12, correct?

A That's right.

Did you return on July 12?

A Yes.

By request or because you felt things were in a bad state?

I had never been out of the city since I have

6

14

16

23

been with the Mayor for that long a time and I was restless.

MR. MEYNER: Where did you go?

THE WITNESS: Cape Cod, a house without a telephone. If I had had a telephone I might have felt better but there was no telephone out there and we were out on the beach.

Q Will you tell us when you first received word of any disorder on or about July 12 or 13 and under what circumstances?

A I got home that afternoon --

Q Of July 12?

A Yes. I called around to some people and some friends. Nothing had happened, although I was shocked to discover that the summer block program which was supposed to begin on July 1 had not begun and that the Mannower Program which was supposed to get under way July 1 had still not begun.

Both programs had been delayed apparently because of the various paper work business which you get involved in with the federal government.

I went to bed about midnight and got a call about two o'clock in the morning from Walter Wagoner of the New York Times.

A New York Times reporter?

A Yes.

7

11

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

Q Tell us about that discussion and what you did as a result of it.

A Walter Wagoner called me about two o'clock -he lives in Montclair and he covers Newark for the New York Times -- and I couldn't believe it. I said What time is 1t?"

He said "It is about 2 o'clock, 2:30."

Then he said "I have just had a call from my desk. I understand Newark is in flames. What can you tell me about that?"

I pulled myself together, got out of bed, went to the window of my apartment from which you can see the Central Ward and the medical school area and so forth.

I saw no flames. I got back to the bed and I asked if he was joking and he said no, that he couldn't reach the police and that he feared that something was going wrong because he couldn't get a call through to the police department, now could they at the New York Times.

So I said I would call him back. I tried the police number and I couldn't get through myself. It was about 2 or 2:30. Now the Deputy Mayor, Paul Reilly, who covers many meetings that the Mayor does not cover, was quite frequently out until 2 or 2:30, so I called him and told him I was back.

He had just come in. I said I had had this report and

he said it was impossible, he had just come in that moment, he had been through the city and nothing was happening.

I said "Well, Paul, I can't reach the police." I knew he had private police numbers at some of the precincts and I asked him to call in and in about a half an hour he called back with the bones of the story, which was that there had been an arrest of a cab driver, there had been some difficulty at the Fourth Precinct.

He wasn't sure quite what had gone on there but there

He wasn't sure quite what had gone on there but there had been some window-breaking in the area but that Spina thought he had it under control and that the Mayor was not going to go to the scene, that they had closed it off.

Q What did you do, if anything? Did you go back to bed?

A I got back to Wagoner and from that point on I answered telephone calls from the press pretty much.

Q Until the morning?

A Until about eight o'clock in the maxning. I went to the City Hall them.

Q Tell us what activity there was at City Hall on Wednesday, July 13.

A That was a Thursday.

Q Yes, Thursday, July 13, up to 7 p.m. when the picketing began in front of the Fourth Precinct.

A The day was spent meeting with first a summer

task force which the Human Rights Commission had put together.

That task force was to emphasize youth jobs and was schaduled to meet that day in any case. So we had a rather lengthy meeting with them.

Q Did the Mayor take part?

A All these meetings were in the Mayor's office.

Q With the Hayor?

committee and we were very dubious about their shility to be of service to us. It was a new task force. It had many persons who Mr. Threatt had identified who were not known to us and whom we were not sure were known to the community. They were his selections of persons he thought could be valuable to us as we proceeded, particularly in the area of youth jobs.

That meeting was long but I think inconclusive. We were attempting to determine whether or not we could come up with some sort of a group of demands which could in some way be articulated to the community and met in an effort to head off any further problem.

Q Did the agenda at that meeting which was going to be held anyway include the disorder of the previous night and the anticipated disorder that would come that night?

18

19

21

20

22 23

25

24

A Had the agenda included that before the disorder? No. The meeting was entirely about the disorder.

Q The meeting was about the disorder?

A Entirely.

Q What was the resolution of it? What happened?

A It was an inconclusive meeting at which most people said it was a terrible thing and there was a sense that if we could get through and that there wasn't any problem we were all going to haveto do something. There was quite a bit of speech-making for many people.

Were Negro leaders there?

A It was an all-Negro group.

Q Could you name the leaders who spoke at the meeting?

A I think Mr. Threatt has the people who were there. Recognizing that many of the people who would be militants or many ministers and others who had been normally called in times of crisis were not there, we had other meetings that day which the Mayor himself called with persons that he would normally talk to or rely on or call upon .

Q What other Negro groups did the Mayor or his representatives meet with on that day?

A There was a wide range of groups including Mr. Richardson, who was more or less seen at that time as the

23

24

25

person who could speak for the militant groups opposing the medical school and I believe that the United Community Corporation persons also that afternoom came out of an executive committee meeting they were having which was normally scheduled on Thursday in which they had discussed at some length the same incidents since many United Community Corporation personnel had been at the scene the previous night. They came to us late in the afternoon following the meeting with Mr. Threatt's group and we had discussions. Essentially the entire day was spent with the police, with the group Mr. Threatt had put together and with various

Q At those meetings was there any consensus from the Negro leaders about any steps they wanted the administration to take ismadiately?

ministers and what we considered civil rights militants

and anti-poverty people right through to late afternoon.

A Well, I think the thing began to shape up around, as I recall, three things. They were not clearly articulated but in the probing around, trying to find something that could be done, I think the demands, if we can call them that, finally centered around a request -and I think it was put forward most by Mr. Richardson and the militant group there -- that the two patrolmen involved in the arrest be suspended, that a blue ribbon panel of

some sort investigate the disorder outside of the normal investigations procedures and, third, that a Negro police lieutenant who was fifth on the Civil Service list be promoted to captain as quickly as possible.

Q What position did the administration take on those three what you are calling demands from the Negro leaders?

A We adopted them. We did not suspend the police but agreed to transfer the two policemen involved in the arrest.

A Yes, to administrative duties, which, by the

Q The two exresting officers?

way, is the normal procedure since 1965 whenever there is a complaint about their behavior, so that was not the acceptance of a demand but it was normal police procedure when there

Now on that decision did that seem to satisfy
the Negro leaders or did they continue to push for
suspension?

is a charge of brutality involving a police officer,

A This was getting to be late in the afternoon and we were gathering from a number of different groups at different times these requests.

So we did not articulate, although there was a general acceptance to all, that we would be moving the sense of what we would be doing. We did not have everyone in one room at

one time.

It was continuous throughout the day.

Q What was your perception about the reaction of the Negro leaders to the transfer of the two exresting officers rather than the suspension?

A My own feeling about all of these demands and all reaction to them, I was very dubious that they would be of any assistance because I did not believe that if the community was aroused it had time enough to understand what the demands were and then I think to ease off the community tension the community has got to understand what the demands are and it has got to come to believe and push those demands so that when the demand is met there is a release of tension.

I just don't believe that we had time to do that.

By the way, I should talk about the other two. The decisions on the other two --

Q On the blue ribbon panel and the police lieutenant?

A Yes. The Mayor agreed to appoint a panel which would involve some community persons to investigate not only --

Q Investigate the arresting incident?

A Not only the incident but other causes of the community tension or the high state of community tension

The third was that he did agree that he would go to the City Council to seek sufficient funds to promote all five lieutenants on the Civil Service list at one time.

Which would include the Negro?

A That's right, which had the effect of promoting Captain Williams or then Lieutenant Williams in advance of what would have been his normal promotion.

demands was made known to the Negro leaders in the late afternoon of Thursday?

A Yes.

MR. LOFTON: I just didn't know whether

or not the witness had answered Mr. Robinson's

question with respect to his perception of

whether or not the Negro persons that met with

the Mayor found his resolution of the requests
satisfactory.

I don't know if that was answered.

Q I wonder if you would ensuer that.

A It was very difficult. I wouldn't venture a guess. I think that many didn't know what we were going to do until late that afternoon. They had made these requests but there was no response to them, like 'We will consider them, we will come up with something, we will talk about it.

we will be back to you at five."

It was that kind of an afternoon. It wasn't a matter of 1, 2, 3 and we would say yes, no, no, or yes, yes, no. It was a matter of trying to consider what many people were feeling at that time.

Meanwhile, we have to accept the fact that Thursday morning the streets had been cleared very quickly the previous night and that Thursday appeared to be another day in the community.

Q A peacefulday?

A Yes, another day in Newark. There were no crowds moving around. We had police getting reports and it appeared to be -- well, of course it was a tense day but the tensest people around were the newspapermen and television men.

The day appeared to be reasonable.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You had no movement of any conspicuous nature?

appeared to be normal. In fact, merchants opened, some people began repairing some of the windows which had been broken the previous night. The day was entirely normal except for the fact that it was just after the most serious incident we had ever had in Newark but of course, for

from a riot but it was a serious incident.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Would you say it was

the most serious incident you had ever had?

THE WITNESS: I think it was the most serious incident Newark had ever had.

BISHOP TAYLOR: I would like to ask a question.

Why were the Negro ministers called or summoned?

THE WITNESS: The Mayor has and still to this day believes that the Negro ministers provide the support, the core, the essence of communication in the Negro community.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is that a serious statement?

THE WITNESS: Yes. He does not believe particularly that those persons can reach the persons who riot.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: He does not believe
the minister can reach the persons who riot?

THE WITNESS: They can act to stabilize a lot of persons in the middle who might normally be concerned or confused.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Has that been borne out by experience?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we think so.

BISHOP TAYLOR: Was their function them to be pacifiers?

THE WITNESS: I think the answer to that is to be churchmen, yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Which ministers
would these be? Could you give us some names?
I think we have some.

Are there any special ones of are you talking now generically?

THE WITNESS: I think Reverend B. F.

Johnson is a minister the Mayor often turns to

for advice. He gets soundings from ministers.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: What church is this THE WITNESS: Netropoliten Baptist Church.

Also Wendell Mabson and some others. I think Horace Sharper was in too.

Of the Negro leaders to the administration's position to the three issues that seemed to be emerging because there wasn't time to test their reactions, is that correct?

A The answer to that is yes. There simply wasn't

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think it is also fair to say that you didn't say these were all

Megro leaders. You said many of them, especially Mr. Threatt's group, were persons that you had not known?

THE WITNESS: They were in his perception community people who had influence.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: They would be community leaders?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

People purportedly community leaders who you saw that day?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Were they selfappointed or recommended or how did you determine
that or did you at that time?

question.

Was there any way that you could gauge that?

THE WITNESS: Mr. Threatt is a professional in this field. His leadership identification is supposed to be one of his jobs. The leaders in the anti-poverty agency were those persons who had achieved leadership in whatever manner required through the anti-poverty process, others

printing. It is very difficult. It is a mixed

bag and I think you know what I mean. VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes, I understand.

THE WITNESS: Some are leaders and some are not. Some are self-appointed and others are genuine. VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: So they drifted in

THE WITNESS: Not drifted. They were called.

for one reason or another?

Q How many people are we talking about as leaders? A I would say during that day we ran through

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: At this point we will have a brief recess.

(RECESS)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We will proceed now. 23 military by if we may. outcomveries sypas, feetering 24 You had told us about the Thursday activities 25 preceding Thursday night's disturbances. Did you also phone

between 50 and 75 persons.

7 8

## Mr. Timothy Still?

A I had been in contact with Timothy that day.

Q Did he give you any impression of his concern for what might be about to happen?

A I think he did, yes. We were all very concerned.

I guess you need it for the record but there was a good deal

of exchange of concern and information and so forth among

most of the persons who had been active.

Again I want to suggest to you something which I am testifying out of the humanist vein here in these two days. I think this little conversation we had now should be part of this record.

Most of the persons who we are portraying to you as villains or as friends or as foe or whatever are well-known to all in the city administration and are quite frequently close friends or at least friends.

The fact that we have many gross differences on many public matters does not prevent us from, in that famous phrase, communicating with each other, so I think you would say that many people who are friends but also public enemies on these issues had been in contact and expressed to each other great concern and I think that went from the very militant types to very conservative types, including ministers.

Certainly we were in touch with Timothy Still, Duke

Moore, George Richardson, Reverend Sharper, Oliver Lofton and so forth.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is it all right to put on the record that you are personal friends with nearly all these people and talk to them outside the public appearances?

THE WITNESS: I would very much like that to be on the record.

I consider Willie Wright, who many people present as some sort of an ogre, as an intelligent human being whom I like very much.

We served together on committees for a long time. We almost came to physical blows several times but I think Willie is off on the wrong track right now but I have faith that he will come back.

I think he believes and cares a lot about Newark.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: But you think his public image now --

THE WITNESS: When you see a guy magnified through the press or television you get a different picture of the guy than what he really is.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: He gats a different

picture himself, doesn't he?

THE WITNESS: I think he presents a different picture too, yes.

am leading too much but we do want to get right
into the rights, and I would like to ask you
this: Do you feel from your experience in the
newspaper world and in politics too that actually
the Willie Wrights, the Duke Moores, the Tim
Stills and the others are engaging in a kind of
a political relationship to the mass of the
Negro people in terms of the public images which
they present and the public positions which

Let's say for example Willie. Does Willie in private, for example, say "Gee, this is a dangerous business. I hope this doesn't happen", and then for what could be called political purposes in terms of leadership escalation goes outside and says "Now look,we ought to get busy here and beat the white mem down."?

perceptive and most true. That is accepted among all of us as the way it is. In fact, the

Q In effect, is this competition for City Hall in 1970? Is that what we are talking about here?

A Yes. I think I tried to suggest that. I think in general political maturity and the symbol is the Mayor's chair in 1970 but it is just an awakening and I think quite good, solid American interest in achieving some power with some votes.

I think the competition which results is something you are going to have to analyze because it is often destructive.

MR. MEYNER: A change doesn't mecessarily indicate progress.

people haven't always learned. We are dealing with a lot of young, very young and new politicians who don't have older political heads around to say 'Now boys, you know, that's really not the way it's dome."

Q Now you have told us of the meetings that were taking place in City Hall one Vednesday and outside everything seemed calm, correct?

A That's up until late afternoom.

that a rally was being called that night in front of the

Fourth Precinct, what was done by the administration other
than the police department?

A After our shock?

Q Well, kt's first hear what was the reaction of the administration to this rally.

A Shock, fear, concern. I thought we had lost the ballgame when I saw that.

Q What activity was there in an attempt to counter it other than police activity?

A Mr. Threatt was asked to get down to the rally, to monitor it and to attempt to convey to any persons who were there what the sense of the meetings had been, the demands that had been placed upon us and our reaction to them.

Q So Mr. Threatt was dispatched there to put forth the administration's views?

A Yes. I may also say at that point we made some changes in police preparedness for the night.

O What were they, generally?

A Through the day we had portrayed theincident of the previous night as a serious incident, isolated, and we were hopeful that there would be no repetition and suggested that patrols would be normal, the previous night's mater had been handled at the precinct level, it had never gone

beyond the precinct level that night.

We made provisions for emergency recall and for extension of duties and for detectives to be in uniform that night after the flyer.

Q Now has any investigation revealed the origins of this call to rally? How did it come about, who took part, who was behind it?

Corporation.

Q This very one, Exhibit C-25?

A Or a local board of the United Community
Componetion.

Q Do you know within the organization what group was responsible or what people were responsible for calling the rally?

A I think Timothy Still would be the best man to testify on it but so far as we understand, the leaflet was authorized by Malechai Houndtree, who was an organizer of Area Board 2.

He was in a sense the top staff man at Area Board 2.

So far as we can determine, there was a telephone call from someone to him in which this leaflet was read to him and he appears to have authorized it.

I take it from Don Wendell and others at the U.C.C. that when the suggestion of a rally was made to them, they

did not endorse it that morning; although there was no official action they did not endorse it and it was done at the local or area board, neighborhood area board level.

It was distributed by Phil Hutchins, Derek Winants and some others, using Tom Hayden's Volkswagen.

Q Do you know to what extent leaflets were distributed calling for the rally, how many or in what areas?

A I don't know the number but the distribution was extensive, around the Fourth Precinct.

Q What other steps do you know of that were taken to assemble a group at the Fourth Precinct?

A I must confess that a Mr. Mannedy is also a community organizer for Area Board 2 and he also played a prominent role in the preparation and distribution of this leaflet.

What other steps is addition to this leaflet were taken that you know of to get a group in front of the Fourth Precinct that night?

A I don't know of any except that it was clear that when the rally began that many area boards had been called together. I know Area Board 3 was the most prominent one at the inception of the rally. Area Board 3 is not the area of the Fourth Precinct. It is a group which is dominated by Tom Hayden's SDS people.

Now to your knowledge, had U.C.C. ever called any similar rallies in earlier years?

A Not at a police precinct, no, but they had encourage participation at the public hearings.

O Now what happened down at the Fourth Precinct to the best of your knowledge?

A We showed the movie when the Mayor testified of

the baginning of the rally.

Q That was what time?

A I think the rally began somewhere around seven o'clock.

O Were you down there?

A I was not there. Television cameras were set up earlier. They had been advised that there would be this rally. Mr. Kennedy was interviewed extensively as to whether or not he thought the rally would cause a riot. He thought not. He said Newark is already in a riot and that Mr. Kervin

3 4

1

2

6 7

5

8 9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

had said the previous might that we were going to picket until we got justice and that's what we are going to do and I think many persons who were involved homestly felt apparently that this would be a good channeling of energy. I am sure others felt otherwise.

Can you describe for us the events in front of the Fourth Precinct from about 7 to 11 as your investigation has revealed them?

Our investigation and some very careful films -the entire demonstration was filmed from start to finish by television networks -- we showed to you the beginning of it. I think you all remember how innocuous it appeared, a group of anti-poverty workers, or well, they were not all antipoverty workers.

Some were anti-poverty workers and some were creanizers and the signs were made at Area Boards 2 and 3. I think both area boards accept the fact that that was so.

Persons who were either workers or who were involved in the amency in Area Board 3 began a small picketing line. After a while it didn't seem to be going very well and they left the picket line and turned the picketing over to some children.

I think that is clear in the movie, eight, ten, twelve years old, carrying the signs which had been made "Stop police brutality", that type of thing, a few Black Power

23

24

BISHOP TAYLOR: Is it possible for a film to be selective? That is to say, you take what you want to show?

THE WITNESS: I guess it is always possible, yes. We didn't make the films. The television networks did. It is not a police film, was a second of the seco

Q Continue, please,

A By the way, the film which we viewed was all the filmed material which the networks took. I don't know how selective they were. I don't think there is anyone who disputes, by the way, the facts of that film. It is a matter of the interpretation of these facts which can cause the problem.

The demonstration continued in what appeared to be an orderly manner. There are a number of persons who left the picket line to get others to come. There was some direction of street traffic by a Malvin Higgins, an antipoverty worker from Area Board 3 to prevent cars from crossing in front of the precinct so that the crowd could move back and forth freely from the Hayes Homes to the front of the Fourth Precinct.

The police stayed in the precinct. They came together as a large group with many people who were hanging back

7 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

Mr. Threatt arrived somewhere about 7 or it is 7:30 by now, and he attempted to talk about whathad been done. I think he will testify later today or tomorrow as to his experience there.

He found it to be a crowd which although laughing and full of excitement, he sensed an angry and excited group. He attempted to express particularly the victory as he calls it of the elevation of Captain Williams from lieutenant to captain, "We have had a Negro captain", I think he said, and the crowd or people yelled back "You mean a black captain?" and he said "Yes, we have a black captain."

Then he went into the building. He is under the impression that a bottle was thrown very quickly after that. The films indicate that Jessie Allen, an organizer for Area Board 3, who had been very closely identified with the Newerk Community Union Project, spoke essentially about power rights and votes and what we can do.

At that point a bottle and some other things, stones were thrown against the front of the precinct and the crowd started to scatter.

After that, more stones, more bottles and a garbage can was thrown through the front door. You saw that on the film. Then some garbage cans were thrown through the windows of the police cruisers at the side of the building. After

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

some 15 or 20 minutes of this, close to 8 o'clock apparently, a police squad -- I think it was two police squads were sent out the back door of the precinct to take command of the streets. And only made at the average Alexanders of the a

I think as you saw in that film there was no crowd left in front of the precinct because of the barrage of rocks. You couldn't really stand in the streets because of the barrage of rocks and bottles and stuff.

One women in white, we all sew with a long pole, had run down the front of the precinct with the pole poking it through the bers of the windows and had broken all the windows of the basement.

The police came out and moved across the front of the atreet and secured the street. They then got into a situation with younger people throwing stones at them and then they would chase the younger people down the street and move back and there was a good deal of the ebb and flow. moving back down the street and coming back.

This continued for an hour. It was getting to be about 8:30. Then there were the first couple of reports of breaking of windows. It looked very much like we were going to have a repetition of the previous night at that time.

So everyone went to what was the police program of containment to see how for it would go and to insure that it didn't proceed any more than a small number of blocks

around the Fourth Precinct.

So they secured the area around the precinct, began to make an arrest or two, not many. The previous night I think we had only made eight arrests. About nine o'clock it was getting darker and a couple of windows were being broken but you could still move on Springfield Avenue without encountering any large groups of people breaking windows, but about that time the looting and breaking of stores close to the precinct continued.

The police took to the rooves of the Hayes Homes rather quickly to insure that they didn't get stoned any more. By 9, 9:30, breaking of windows was beginning to spread on Springfield Avenue and we had a repeat of the previous night's situation, which was a very difficult situation which we were going to try to handle at the precinct level on a containment basis.

The Mayor and myself and others went to Police

Headquarters where the prime communications center was.

Director Spins went to the Fourth Precinct and he directed actions from the Fourth Precinct and I was at the headquarters with the Mayor and other staff people.

Q You and the Mayor exrived at the Police Head-Quarters about what time?

A I arrived after the Mayor, somewhere around nime o'clock.

4

3

5 6

7

9

8

11

10

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q What time did Director Spine go down to the Fourth Procinct?

A He had been at the Fourth Precinct shortly after the police had gone out, probably 7:30 or 8.

Q Did you and the Mayor remain at Police Headquarters?

A There is going to be some variation in these times because I don't have my notes and things like that.

Q Did you and the Navor remain at the police precipet from about nine o'clock that night throughout the night and early morning?

A Until about five o'clock the next awaning when the Governor arrived, yes.

Now tell us in substance and in suggesty fashion what happened at the Police Headquarters.

A Between nine and ten it was very hectic, a very difficult time. It was clear we had a difficult situation. The police were receiving increasing numbers of calls up and down Springfield Avenue.

The contained area continued to get larger very rapidly and we kept committing some additional forces still out of the Fourth Precinct.

You know, during a riot you have to maintain police

services.

The emergency call-up continued. The first contacts with the State Police began I guess and we kept them informed. From ten o'clock to midnight was a very difficult time.

It involved essentially containment and small squads chasing and keeping the crowds from becoming very large, keeping them mobile, keeping them moving. I think there was a great feeling of the fact that we had to give up a good deal in terms of personal property, smashing of windows and looting of stores.

It was very difficult. It was getting larger. It was difficult to contain and it was a matter of how strong the police action was going to be.

I would say at that point police restraint was still an option because we had come through four years of difficult time without a riot.

We had come to a very difficult night the preceding night where shots were fired and no one was injured and we were still hopeful, as this thing got under way, that we weren't in a full-scale riot.

It is very hard to know you are in a riot until it is under way.

Q At this point was the Mayor directing police activity or was Director Spins handling that?

4 5

4 5

A Director Spins was but we were momitoring it.

Q What was the Mayor's role as the riots developed between nine and midnight?

A The Mayor's role was to keep tabs on what was going on, to stay in contact with Dick Spins and to be available for any decisions about escalating or moving into a different phase or making any calls in regard to outside assistance.

Q Between nine and midnight were there any decisions formed?

shaky start that the police were going to be able to handle it. Somewhere at about 12 or 12:30, Director Spina felt that we had turned the corner, that the containment was waking, that the damage was very severe in the area of containment which essentially was on Springfield Avenue and about 10 or 12 blocks around the Hayes Homes and so forth, but he felt that the police were beginning to respond better.

He thought he had the crowd moving in smaller groups and he thought that at the time around midnight he could begin to move in to make arrests, whereas in the early part of the evening it had been pretty much a matter of trying to keep track and respond to calls of persons in danger, of smashing of windows and some lootings and to keep people

It was marely an effort to insure that it didn't spill over. He felt secure enough to leave Fourth Precinct and come to the Police Headquarters and talk to the Hayor

Q At what time?

about it.

A I think the Mayor from the start took a somewhat -- I think the Mayor was inclined to think we needed help more than the Director did.

Q The Director errived at headquarters from the Fourth Precinct at what time?

A To the best of my recollection it was shortly after midnight.

Q Did he have a meeting with the Mayor?

A Yes.

Q Can you tell us about that?

A By the way, he had been in private phone conversation -- and he had an open line.

MR. MEYNER: The Mayor was at Headquarters?

THE WITNESS: The Mayor was at Police
Headquarters on Franklin Street. He had an
open line to Director Spina and the police
radios were right there.

MR. MYNUR: Isn't the headquarters right

THE WITNESS: Right behind it.

Q Will you tell us about the midnight meeting between the Hayor and Director Spina at Police Headquarters?

A Yes. I think Director Spine felt that the worst was over and that they could close down and that there was a possibility and we would tell in an hour that we could close down.

But he felt that we had a chance and he was trying to dissuade the Mayor from requesting State help at that time.

Q What decision was made at that time at that meeting?

that he could handle it with the tactical forces that were evailable -- we had not yet gone to heavy police commitments from other precincts. A full slart was on. It began to escalate from a call for overtime to an emergency callback to a full slart and that full slart stayed which meant that the 1,400 men were at least slarted and the Director felt we could do it so we felt if that were the case we could do it.

Q This was about midnight?

A Yes. Londing to Institut that arrestorie or continue

Q What was the next significant event? Did the Director stay at the headquarters with the Mayor and you?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

He stayed for a considerable time, yes.

MR. GIBBONS: What other ranking officers were at headquesters?

THE WITNESS: The pertinent officers were Chief Kelly and Chief Reddin, Chief of Police Oliver Welly and Chief of Patrol, Deputy Chief Raddin.

MR. GIBBONS: Apy others?

THE WITNESS: They were the only officers which were pertinent to the Mayor. Rocky Perrante, Captain Ferrante, Chief of Intelligence, was acting with the Mayor.

Many police officers came and went but at that point it was a matter of Director Spina and the Mayor, they were the top people involved, with Reddin acting as the field commander.

MR. GIBBONS: And Relly was what?

THE WITHESS: He was the chief adminierrative officer of the situation and be also was involved but he was not with us. He was involved in the business of logistics and continuing to insure that commands or command flow was standy, constant and immedite. He was involved in police duties, not in or only

occasionally in the decision-making.

I would say Director Spine was very much in charge of the Police Department at that point.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Was Deputy Chief Foley there?

THE WITNESS: I am certain he was. All high-ranking officers were there. It was impossible to tell. Captain Zizza was playing a role. He is the commander of the Fourth Precinct and had been the man most people thought a good deal of in the area in handling race relations.

WICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Could you tall us what Chief Foley's advice was at this time?

THE WITNESS: Everyone was involved in containing the riot and seeing if we could do it quickly and efficiently.

you could comtain it without outside belp at 12:307

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: And Ferrante thought

THE WITNESS: There was consensus somewhere around midnight that we might be able to do it,

not that we could do it but that we might be able to do it and that if the trend continued, we would be able to do it.

There was not a consensus that we could do it.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Because you hadn't committed your people and you marely had a full alert at that time?

THE WITHESS: Also the incident rate was slowing down.

Q Was there a feeling that calling in the State
Police at that time might have some prejudicial effect on
what you thought you were going to be able to accomplish?

A No.

Q So there was no feeling that the calling in of the State Police would result in a minus?

could it or couldn't it be done with the police force handling it and which was there? The feeling was the incident rate was coming down, the police were under heavy pressure and were tense but they appeared to be handling it with somewhat less tenseness than earlier in the evening.

The serests were beginning to pick up.

They were gearing themselves to handle arrests. The first decision around midnight there was to begin to increase

It was a matter of returning to the Mayor to give him his impression of what was happening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to seek aid.

0

No.

Seeking State aid was part of the meeting and it was decided not to do it, isn't that so?

Yes, but I would be giving a false impression if I suggested to you that that was the crux of the meeting. It was not. That was always there. It was the next step. to seek State help.

Had headquarters been in touch with the State

Police throughout the might?

A Yes.

Q Now what was the next significant event after the midnight meeting until the calling of the Governor?

A Well, between 12:30 and 1:30 there was a sharp increase in the number of incidents well out of the containment area. There was particularly far out in the South Ward on Elizabeth Avenue, the top of the East Ward, an oriental place out in the North Ward, and a good deal of activity in the lower West Ward, Orange Street and so forth.

Q Looting activity?

A Breaking of windows, gunfire, the first reports of gunfire between 12:30 and 1:30 and there was a breaking into the Sears-Roebuck store.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Was that the first report of gunfire?

early accounts unsubstantiated of a shot or
two, but some more substantiated accounts or
versions of sounds of shots or reports of gunfire
began to pick up.

fact that the incidents were way out of the area of containment, not even close, and the break at Sears-Roebuck involved the theft of guns.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The incident rate began to pick up very sharply.

MR. WACHENFELD: Up to this time had the

THE WITNESS: They had not been ordered

MR. WACHENFELD: Bad they been directed

or suggested?

restraint which would have to be understood

MR. WACHENFELD: Where did that restraint

come from and how could you understand it?

THE WITNESS: I think that the Newark

rather than ordered.

THE WITNESS: I think they acted under a

police been ordered not to use their gums?

response to a question by police officers the dispatcher did

It was much more widespread and by two o'clock the Mayor was

convinced that although we knew we could not get help that

night, that we had a situation which was going to run out

police had been under. he did not think that we were soing

to be able to produce sufficient power to be able to prevent

trouble Friday night.

of control on Friday and considering the pressure the

indicate that it was a matter of option, police option.

What happened next?

not to use them.

0

A

O

15 16

18 19

> 21 22

20

24

23

24 25 police by and large understood, and it is very hard to explain the background of the Newark police.

MR. WACHENFELD: It is very difficult for me to understand it.

THE WITHESS: I think by and large the police department understood that the city administration's attitude toward the police was one in which they had encouraged police restraint.

MR. WACHENFELD: Let's put it the other way around then.

There was no directive or order given to the police as to what they should or shouldn't do in reference to their firemens?

THE WITNESS: That's right.

MR. WACHENFELD: And it was laft to their discretion?

THE WITNESS: That's right. Their discretion in many cases, especially a patrolmen on the best translated it into orders which were never given.

MR. WACHENFELD: What did you mean when you testified a little while ago that when the shooting statted from those who were participating in the disorderly conduct, that they had a

their discretion?

1

10

8

24

THE WITNESS: No. There had been consistent questions being put forward. A patrolman will not always get the message from the top or they will get a wrong message. I believe many patrolmen were under the impression they were under orders not to fire.

reevaluation and determined that they should use

MR. WACHENFELD: Where did they get that impression?

THE WITNESS: I would presume they got it from their neighboring petrolmen or a sermeant or a lieutenant.

MR. WACHENFELD: Is that the way the police department usually operates, that they talk to one another as to what they think orders are and what the procedure is supposed to be?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think that happens quite frequently.

MR. WACHENFELD: It is unusual.

THE WITNESS: Particularly in a three or four hour riot period. You think it is unusual? I think not.

I think the situation was close to a combat situation in which the best order you can

get is ordered chaos.

MR. GIBBONS: Going back to this same question, the ordered chaos may have originated out of some confusion in the minds of the policemen.

It certainly has been reported in the press that policemen were under the impression that they had been ordered not to fire.

THE WITHERS: That's right.

MR. GIBBONS: Up until a certain point.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. GIBBONS: Now you say that there was

THE WITNESS: That's right. I would say
I could understand that impression.

MR. GIBBONS: Does anybody know why that impression came about or where it came from?

the race relations and Negroes.

MR. GIBBONS: Is that administrative insistence reflected in any manual or policy statement or bulletin?

THE WITNESS: If you recall the 1965

Malafronte

suspension of Patrolman Martinez when he shot
Mr. Long, he was suspended and it resulted in
five days of police picketing, so I think that
the relationships in regard then to the city
administration --

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You mean the police picketed, not that they were picketed?

THE WITNESS: The police were picketing.

I think that the administration policy of police restraint was one which was presumably not shared by many policemen.

NR. GIBBONS: How did the policemen get knowledge of this administrative policy of police restraint?

on police and the community were very well known.

They had been enunciated at various police graduation ceremonies, his performance in previous civil rights matters and in general, his attitude in regard to police and community relations.

MR. GIBBONS: Well now, there is a specific item that was reported in the press and more than once that at one point a radio car was being harassed, having things thrown at it or

received an order to get out of there.

Was such an order given?

THE WITNESS: A patrolman calls to a dispatcher. A dispatcher responding "Get out of there" is not an order. It is a dispatcher's response.

MR. GIBBONS: How does a men in a patrol car get an order except from a dispatcher?

THE WITNESS: The question was, did Dick
Spins as Director of the Police Department
indicate to that dispatcher that that men
should get out of there?

The answer is no.

MR. GIBBONS: No, that is not the question at all.

THE WITNESS: It was a low level decision.

to be made on the basis of somebody's policy.

THE WITNESS: I think the policy was not to fire, although it was not enunciated in a specific order.

The policy was an attempt to control the activity without killing anyone. I think that was very clear.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

MR. GIBBONS: You can't have it both ways. Either the Police Department had been informed of a policy that they were supposed to exercise extreme restraint and not fire or not.

THE WITNESS: That's another story. split your question. The Police Department had been informed to exercise restraint. It had not been informed not to fire.

MR. GIBBONS: How had they been informed that they should exercise restraint? Was this in some order?

THE WITNESS: I think the Mayor and the Police Director had made it clear or the Mayor had made clear with the Police Director's agreement and all top-ranking officers that we would attempt to contain the problem by in effect permitting the destruction of some property in areas which we could not get at people without causing death.

MR. GIBBONS: How was that made plain?

THE WITNESS: The police plan was in the case of a serious disorder, with the agreement of the Mayor, to contain the disorder rather than to stamp it out at the root with gunfire and with killing, in the hope that it would run

19

20 21

22 23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

an expert in this matter.

I only know what I felt and what I saw at headquarters the first night and through the rest of the riot. I believe there is only one way to stop a riot and that's early. I think the only way you can stop it is by very forceful action at the right time and that right time is almost always very early in the matter.

Once the thing begins to run out of control it is beyond the capacity of the Police Department to handle without gross killing of people.

It is very such like a giant wave which breaks on the beach and the normal police are here to maintain order, not to handle riots. It is impossible to a municipal police department established as ours was and as most are to deal effectively and quickly and continuously with civil disorder on the scale that we had in Newark.

It is just impossible. It can't be done. I think the key is to determine whether or not you have got a developing riot situation, which is certainly a most difficult decision to make.

You have got to have better and faster communication. You have to have the tools to evaluate at once where you are in this situation, not from a patrolman, not from a sergeant but from direct observation.

Then you have got to have this genius of knowing that

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 concern.

you are about to go into a riot situation because many riots as you saw in the movie, they look like any other civil rights demonstration and when they begin you have to know the right moment and you have to have the capability to produce the force which is required.

So there are two points: A, recognition of the fact and a decision to employ force, and B, the capability to respond with the proper amount of force at that time.

> VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: And third, perhaps to recognize the critical time?

> THE WITNESS: That's the key. But once you have recognized it, then you have got to be able to produce the force quickly to cut it off.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now to fellow the question, in retrospect again and which in hindsight I recognize as being an aid here that is of course not available, what would you in retrospect and from your total impression feel was the critical time to have applied maximum force if you were going to apply it?

THE WITNESS: I can't say.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I followed you from 9 to 12:30 or 9 to 12 was the time of grave

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

BISHOP TAYLOR: Numerically? 1

> THE WITNESS: Numerically, in terms of equipment, in terms of determination, in terms of what appears to be at least to those observing a careful, determined plan to insure that there aren't going to be any further problems.

MR. MEYNER: But at 12:30 you did not have a massing of the 1,400 or a substantial portion of them? You were still under precinct control, weren't you?

THE WITNESS: No. It had passed to a full alert prior to that.

MR. MEYNER: Were there any people from the other precincts in the area?

THE WITNESS: Yes. By 12 o'clock there WOTE .

MR. MEYMER: Where were they, in the stationhouse?

THE WITNESS: No. You can't bring 1,400 people to beer when you have a 1,400-man police force.

MR. MEYMER: Were they all in cars?

THE WITNESS: No. They were in squads moving in the streets.

We now had a situation which was beginning

9 10

11

13

14

12

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

Malafronte

to extend to 30, 40, 50 blocks.

You can't bring to bear 1,400 men. You have got to cover the other four precincts. You have to arrange for shifts. I think the maximum a police department like Newark could probably bring to bear I would guess would be four or five hundred men.

MR. GIBBONS: During the course of the evening was any consideration given to the use of the Municipal Assistance Act so that you could borrow men from surrounding municipalities and free more of your own men for use in the troubled area?

THE WITNESS: There was some very brief

MR. GIBBONS: What was the result?

THE WITNESS: Our department is 1,400 men. Our surrounding departments are 8 men, 10 men, 12 men.

MR. GIBBONS: You still couldn't bring

1,400 men to bear unless you borrowed some from
the surrounding municipalities?

THE WITNESS: You are talking about how you free men from your other precincts to bring them in. It is impossible, I am told by the

police, to use State Police, National Guard or other policemen in your precincts to respond to routine police calls because they don't know the area.

A State patrolmen who is patrolling the North Ward who gets a call to go to 17 Dreisden Street wouldn't know where that is.

MS. GIBBONS: The City of Camden took a different approach.

THE WITNESS: What was that approach?

MR. GIBBONS: They massed their own mem in a potential trouble area and let the State Police patrol the mest of the city during the critical period.

THE WITNESS: That's what was eventually done in Newark but you had to call the State Police first.

That was the point which we are talking to now.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: That is the point of judgment to which you made reference.

THE WITNESS: We hadn't made the decision as Camden had done yet to get the State Police.

VICE CHALBMAN BROWN: Perhaps we are going into technical police questions.

VICE CHAIRMAN RROWN: He doesn't pretend to be qualified in those areas and we will have other witnesses on that.

THE WITNESS: I agree. I want to give an

THE WITNESS: The Mayor's problem was to get an evaluation of the police opinion of what was going on and he had to make the decision of whether or not to call the State Police.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: May I just poll the
Board to make sure we covered this critical
point?

Amy more questions?

BISHOP TAYLOR: No.

MR. MEYKER: No.

excepting the comments that the questions asked pertain to the testimony that the witness gave.

to go beyond the point have. The witness is
testifying as to a role which he played which
is not that of the technical police and therefore
my only suggestion -- and I am to be bound by
your feelings -- is that if we go more quickly
and leave this as a place of further interroga-

tion with more skilled men it would be a better procedure.

THE WITNESS: I am trying to give you am overview of how it looked to us.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Proceed, Mr. Robinson.

Q On that point you said it is important for the administration, that is, the Mayor, to have communications in order to get the facts that he can evaluate them, correct?

A That's right.

Q Do you feel that you had proper communication tools or do you have some recommendations for tools that might be necessary for future use?

A I think that the Newark Police Department had fair and good communications but I think it could be improved. I think any police department's ability to know what is going on at a scene of disorder or particular activity could be improved.

We have gone now to a television momitoring type of situation which the television cameras would be brought to bear at street corners or in the area from rooftops so that top officers at headquarters monitoring the scane could have the instant information from many different points without the interpretation of patrolman to sergeant to lieutenant to radio to dispatcher to them, so that they would have instant observation of the entire scene.

1
_
2

Q Was the Mayor kept adequately informed?

A I would say yes, up to that point.

Q That wasn't a problem?

A No problem at all, up to that point.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: The point is 12:30 to 1:30?

on two o'clock when the Mayor is convinced the situation is out of hand.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: The question was directed towards this: Was the Mayor informed up to this point?

The point is about two o'clock?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Up to two o'clock we felt very well informed of what was going on.

What happened next?

hand. The thing was well beyond the area of containment.

There were rather increasing reports of gunfire, some now confirmed. I think the Mayor at two o'clock called the situation ominous and we had a meeting at two o'clock again to determine where we were and what we were going to do and the Mayor was certain that his sense of the situation was that we were at that point close to being out of control but that even if we did maintain control, at the rate the police

were responding they would not be in condition to handle the morning traffic and everything else which was due to come into Newark and to get through the day and then to prevent a riot or continuation of the riot on Friday.

He felt the momentum was increasing at two o'clock.

Q Who participated at that meeting?

A Spina and everyone else, Norman Schiff, corporation counsel, myself and others.

Q What was the decision?

the State Police and the Mayor's decision was to call the Governor and request the State Police and the National Guard, although we were aware that they could not give us assistance that night, he felt it was imperative for the rest of Friday and for Friday night.

A There had been some preliminary calls made to

Q What time was the call placed?

A 2:20.

O From the Mayor to the Governor?

A Yes.

Q He requested assistance?

National Guard.

Q What happened?

A Well, we thought we had made the message quite clear and he responded that he would check with the Attorney

-4

20

21

22

23

24

25

General and find out precisely what the niceties of the operation were.

Then we weited a while.

Q How long?

A Just a few minutes. I heard from the Associated Press, of all people, who informed me that the Governor was unsure as to whether we were requesting the State Police and the National Guard or just the State Police.

So we called the Governor again and made it clear that we wanted the State Police and the National Guard.

Q Now much time between the two phone calls?

A A very few minutes. I would say the call to the Governor and his reaction time and so forth was good. In other words, there was no great confusion and no great debate about it. I presume he had been kept alart by the State Police of what was going on.

Q Did you then get a call back that help was on the way?

A Yes. We then put that on the police radio.

Q It is now about what time when you put it on the police radio?

A 2:30.

Q What events occurred?

A The police cheered, which was a very touching moment, I thought, for Newark.

Q What events occurred between 2:30 and 8 a.m.

from your vantage point at Police Headquarters?

A The situation got worse.

Q What happened?

A About five o'clock the Governor came and we all went to the armory.

Q Between 2:30 and 5 what was the activity at Police Headquarters?

A It was just gatting worse and there was a lot of gunfire and we killed somebody about four o'clock.

Q Was an order given from Police Headquarters to use gunpower if necessary?

A I think earlier, somewhere around two o'clock, before we called the Governor, a dispatcher had indicated that the standing order of the day was to return fire if fired upon.

Q Did that come from Director Spine or from the

A There was need for clarification. We had clarified that if you were fired upon, to fire back, yes.

Q That was issued at about what time?

A It is not clear to me but it was before we called the Governor.

Q At five o'clock the Governor arrived?

A Somewhere around five o'clock.

Q Where did he serive?

A At the ermory. We arranged to meet at the armory. So we went across to the armory and quite a wild drive it was to get there. We met him there with the first contingents of Colonel Welly and the State Police.

Q At the armory was a smeting held to decide how the situation would be handled, what the chain of command would be?

A Yes.

Q What was decided upon?

A The State Police would control the National Guard. The Mational Guard would not have police power and would respond only in support of the State Police. Colonel Kelly would be the commander of the State Police and the National Guard.

The Newerk Police, of course, would remain under Director Spine coordinating with Colonel Welly. Colonel Welly became the effective commander at about five o'clock that morning.

Q What role was assigned to the Nayor and his group?

and we were with him. His staff began to feed in as the day went by. I would say that at about five o'clock in the morning, control of the Newark situation passed to Colonel

Kelly and Governor Hughes.

Q Now did the Colonel and the Governor remain in communication with the Mayor? I wonder if you would define for us what the relationship was beginning at that time.

A To give you am overview -- I know what you are after -- I would say this, that for the first day and a half there was some difficulty in coordinating Newark Police action with the action of the State Police and the National Guard.

After a day and a half that situation smoothed out and it appeared to us in our evaluation that the police communications were good after the first day and a half of getting established.

The Newark Police and others ram into a number of technical problems, like having only one wave length sysilable and so forth.

After the establishment at the armony of Chief of Police Helly, the relationships and coordination between the police was generally good.

That's at the operational lavel. I don't ever think that at the command level communication was ever good between the Governor and the Mayor.

I think there were many difficulties at that level.

Q Could you tell us what they were?

A I think by and large, the Mayor was not permitted

21 22

to share in many of the decisions made by the Governor.

Q Can you give us several of the key decisions that the Governor made in which the Mayor was not permitted to participate?

A I wouldn't say the Mayor was not permitted to participate. I would say that the Governor took a very aggressive and very strong attitude from the moment he arrived in Newark.

In fact, I think he arrived with that attitude.

He is a very forceful man and I think that he was in command from the moment he got here and that he pressed and he was, by the responsibilities of his command, because after all, he is the commander-in-chief of the State Police and the National Guard -- that he often overlooked the need to communicate.

I don't think it was intentional. I think it just happened. I think it was continuous and it was a source of great concern to us.

Q Now were certain decisions made by the Governor with which the Mayor disagreed, either at the time or now?

A I would say just that all the decisions that
were made with respect to riot control were made by the
Governor often without agreement or without consultation
with the Mayor, although he attempted at times to do so. I
am talking about the Governor. The Governor was under great

pressure at the time and he was moving around a good deal and he got in the habit of having persons have quite long waits for him.

That went for the press, it went for city officials and it went for others. I think it was an irksome matter and very badly handled.

Q Did the Governor make any decisions, that is, any key decisions with which the Mayor disagreed?

A I would answer that by saying that I don't think that there were any decisions which affected the police operations in closing the riot with which we were in disagreement.

I think that our disagreements were over the methods to approach the community, the general tone of the Governor's stance on television and to the community which we felt was overly rigid.

I don't think that there were any specific decisions except perhaps in terms of providing police, State Police coverage or National Guard coverage for firemen, in which we felt there was undue delay.

We were generally advocates of closing the riot down with as much firmness as possible but looking forward to what kind of community was going to come out of it and I think that the Governor and his staff were much more concerned with the tactical matters involved.

Malafronte

MR. GIBBONS: Specifically do you recall
any discussion between the representatives of
the city administration and the representatives
of the State on the issue of closing Springfield
Avenue and Clinton Avenue and South Orange
Avenue traffic?

THE WITNESS: Yes. That came up early.

It was decided upon jointly so far as I know.

MR. GIRBONS: Was there any disagreement over that?

uanted a city-wide closedown which the police people all around felt was impossible. I think the Mayor had advocated that early.

Then there was an argument over whether the Newark Police --

MR. WAGHENFELD: My recollection of the record is that it is quite to the contrary.

THE WITNESS: The Mayor felt even with

Dick Spine the previous night that we should

close off the city and he voiced that opinion on

many occasions.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Did Director Spine disagree?

THE WITNESS: He thought it was impossible.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: When the State Police came in did thay have any such suggestion?

THE WITNESS: The State Police talked about shutting off traffic, yes. The Mayor talked about shutting down the city. I think that there was a lot of--

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: When you say shut down the city do you mean cordon it off or what

THE WITNESS: Close it off, period, no traffic in and no traffic out. The State Police said it was impossible because of the state highways and whatever that went by the city.

Then they were arguing, as I recall, for a closing down of the area itself so that they could have freedom of movement within the area.

Then there was a lot of back and forth over what traffic problems would result.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Back and forth

THE WITNESS: Local police and State Police.

Colonel Welly was an edvocate of not having a city-wide closedown but of closing down the streets in the prescribed area so that his people could have freedom of movement.

There was a lot of feeling that we were well into Friday by that time and how we were going to get people out and so forth and so on.

But his view prevailed I think after some initial confusion on the part of us and the load police over what we wanted.

The question was what did the Mayor want?

The Mayor wanted to close down the whole city

and wanted to do it from the beginning.

I think Director Spins felt that the State
Police would never support the idea of closing
the city. They said it was impossible. They
wanted the area closed so that they could move
without any traffic at all.

I think the city police took a little time to convince them, particularly on Friday.

BISHOP TAYLOR: How do you close down a city?

THE WITNESS: You close it down by diverting traffic and by establishing city-wide curfew.

No cars will be on the street after ten o'clock, period.

MR. MEYNER: How do you maintain essential services? How do you get the people in and out?

THE WITNESS: You don't. They stop as they

did in Milwaukee. They just stopped.

MR. GIBBONS: Newark is a little bit difficult because Route 21 runs right through.

THE WITNESS: That is precisely the problem.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Because of the restriction of time of the members of the Commission, I think we should let Mr. Robinson handle it from here on.

had just that and had similar problems. We were not prepared to do that in advance and could not do it.

Colonel Kelly's problem was he wanted no traffic within the riot area, what we determined to be the riot area, so that his people and police vehicles could move without traffic and was determined to get it and he didn't care and I think he was right.

So after the police saying "Well, we have to get people out of the town that night", and you see, Friday was really the worst day in terms of looting.

25 It was rather idiotic to attempt to ride

6

24 25

down Springfield Avenue, to see people getting in and out of the city while mobs were running along the sidewalk taking television sets and so forth.

Many persons had quite a close view of the riot. It was just insane. There was some confusion about setting people back out because many people had come into Newark on Friday. After that the traffic was shut down.

Q Now you have given us the pre-riot, the riot and now just a few questions on the future.

What steps has the administration taken or is it taking or does it intend to take to prevent another one?

A To prevent a riot? What has the city done since the riot? How do you attack the deep-rooted problems in American society? How does a city government do that? How do you eliminate bigotry and discrimination and the lack of job opportunity, inferior education?

How do you do that? What you do is to turn yourself to those responsibilities which you have and try to perform them more accurately, if that's the word, than you have in the past.

You try to orient yourself to exercising those responsibilities you have in the manner which you hope will be beneficial to a city in crisis and to a people in crisis.

There is no simple explanation. If you are talking about the deeper roots of the problem, there is no simple explanation.

In terms of how many police shotguns you order and whether you have dogs or machine guns or airplanes, well, yes, those are short-term things and we have taken a number of steps.

In the long range you try to move forward with the housing problem, you try to reorganize and recrient your housing problem and your anti-poverty program so that you could get some of the bitterness and fighting out of it so you could bring more service to the people.

You worry about federal aid and what is going to happen to the tax rate and how you are going to pay for these things. You try to convince people of the extent of your problems and they listen for the first few weeks after a riot and then they begin to drift away somewhere.

You try to convince the federal government that you need help and they get involved in a congressional dispute over how much.

You try to convince the Governor that he has to give more money and he must in all honesty ask where is he going to get it.

Our analysis of the state budget now is that it would take twice the state buget to provide the average state per

> 8 9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

capita expenditure and the average per capita expenditure for states is about \$300 in the United States of America, New York State being average, \$301. New Jersey is half that.

It would require a doubling of their budget simply to be an average state in terms of health and how in the world can the Governor double the state budget?

> VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I am going to violate my own rule just to bring it down a little more specifically.

Are you still working with the U.C.C., working in terms of these leaders here?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Here are some of the things which are hopeful and positive as opposed to some of the things which were negative and destructive.

Passing the middle income housing bill and the FHA's relaxation -- the FHA had been very rigid in its approval and we think that they will be more moderate. They have approved two low rise cooperative extensions to High Park Gardens which they had dallied with a long time. They are going to approve another for bricklayers union which will be helpful.

They think they are going to approve a

fourth project for an all-Negro sponsored group up on High Street.

The insurance companies have come around to help with the state middle income housing bill and they will be getting a big project off the ground next week.

That's middle income housing cooperative.
We have proceeded with a request for 900 low
rise public housing units for large families.

So in housing we think the impact of the state middle income housing bill plus the effect of the continuous rioting on the FHA will help us and most cities in New Jersey and in the case of the FHA, in the country.

In terms of the anti-poverty program or generally anti-poverty efforts, we think we are going to need more federal money and better organization locally with less concern about fighting City Hall and more concern with helping City Hall.

That's what we want out of the antipoverty program and that's what we intend to
get. We think people are too much in need of
services to concern ourselves with the niceties
of who is the mayor and who isn't and how it is

going to be.

We hope in some manner to establish among our competing fledgling politicians in Newark some areas of non-pertisenship and perhaps get some agreement that a campaign happens once every four years and that the other three years we can cooperate and get along somehow.

I think in terms of communication it was clear to us that, as Mr. Brown asked earlier, a lot of people who say they communicate or who think they are communicators are not and that you live with an under-class and that when you turn that class over and those people become part of any kind of system, be it anti-poverty program or itself, they leave behind the under-class and it is often hard to determine who is down in there and what the moods are and what people are being helped and what perceptions are in the worst of our areas and among the persons who are suffering the most.

We are attempting to establish better communication, clearer communication, with people we think were left out altogether by us, by the poverty program, by whatever groups, social agencies that were at work in Newark.

participating in life as it ought to be in Newark. They rioted.

We have tried through the hiring and through the bringing into association, bringing to us a lot of persons who would not normally be the type of persons this committee would accept as City Hall aids, persons with records, persons who we have identified as having the leadership capability among really disaffected persons in the city. That has been pertinent.

Trying to straighten out the poverty
thing, we have a new administrator and we are
in the process of reorganizing the anti-poverty
agency which is good.

We have opened the big Manpower Training Program with neighborhood centers, one of them being at Springfield and Bergen, which was the heart of the riot area.

It was the first real storefront operation in terms of training and manpower that we have had in Newark and I think that looks reasonably hopeful.

I think these are the positive things, housing, some improvement in housing, a change

in the poverty effort, the Manpower Program is very encouraging and this attempt to establish different, new persons and means of communiqué.

These have been the positive things since
the riot. I think there have been other negative
forces but these are the things we are trying to
do and those are key areas.

went on that medical school issue too by
involving community groups in planning the area
around the medical school.

Q Does the administration believe, again in retrospect, that it made any mistake in the year preceding the riot?

A I can only refer back to the Mayor's statements after the riots in which he said we are all at fault and we have all made mistakes.

Q Can you give us any specific mistakes that the Mayor believes his administration made?

school relocation issue to become so confused and in fact to let the medical school issue itself become so confused, so difficult to follow, so intricate in the negotiations and much of this had to be in closed rooms and that is always difficult, I think that we got into a situation where people

felt you had to be either pro-medical school and against housing and we were unable to dig ourselves out of that hole.

I think that there were a number of errors or not errors but we recognize our inability to respond to the community confusion which developed over the medical school issue.

We tried and didn't do it, so we would have to do it better the next time.

Q What other mistakes does the administration believe it made?

A I think we should have acted much more quickly in the anti-poverty situation, before this year. I think that agency should have been squared away two years ago.

Q Any others?

A It is difficult to say. In general, when you reevaluate your performance you find areas of error all along the line.

By and large, we felt that we were acting in the community's interest at all times and still feel that way, that we had in an election been endorsed a year ago to exercise the responsibilities for poverty, jobs, programs, whatever, all the problems of the city and we tried to exercise them.

We made errors as we had in the first four years and

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Probably will continue to do so.

In our reevaluation I must say that we don't feel ourselves to be villains. We feel ourselves to be people who tried to do a job and who couldn't convince enough people that we were doing it or if we could, we are not sure that that would have been pertinent to the riot.

The one lesson that comes out of a riot -- and I hope this Commission understands it -- is that any man who sits in this chair or any of you who think you have an answer and you can articulate it, you are off base because there isn't any single answer.

Negro awareness is not the only answer. Ethnic group empowerment, as Mr. Teague, one of our Negro leaders in town has referred to black power as ethnic group empowerment. that is not the answer.

They are all parts of an answer. Really the thing I found out in this riot or out of this riot is that there aren't any single answers or easy answers to the thing and to be careful of anyone who thinks he does have an answer.

> VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: In terms of answers in respect to what is occurring now, do you find in the whitecommunity that there is a growing hostility, some call it hatred, some call it a violent reaction towards Negroes, do you find in the broad community, that is, disregarding

Malefronte 130
extremists, white or Negro extremists, which is
irrelevant, do you find in that broad middle
spectrum an increasing reaction against Negroes
in the white community which could be described
as hostility, a hatred by comparison with
pre-riot?
THE WITNESS: I think civil rights was
dealt a very hard blow by the riot.
VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We have heard many
witnesses and sometimes our questions reflect
what they have said.
I am reflecting what one witness said. He
felt that the whites have increased hatred.
THE WITNESS: I don't like the word
"hatred". I would say fear and hostility or I
think there is a great deal less patience in
the white community.
VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We are talking now
about the great middle group?
THE WITNESS: Yes. I am not talking about
extremists.
VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: No. Neither side.
THE WITNESS: I think in the middle group
of white persons in Newark and around Newark
that there is much less patience, much less

this riot.

under standing.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: And reaction against Negroes? Is that a good description?

THE WITNESS: I would rather describe it as saying much less patience, much less understanding with the problems of the Negro and the problems of the city than there was before

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: What does this bode for the city in its immediate future as far as the possibility of further violence and further riot reaction?

THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know about riots and violence. I don't make predictions any more.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Serious disturbance.

THE WITNESS: Another thing I learned is

don't make any more predictions.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: What I said was, what is your sense as of now?

THE WITNESS: My sense is that aggressive civil rights programming or novel poverty programming is in for a very hard time.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: My question again is trying to be one that is intelligent and concerned

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

and considerate.

In no sense do you feel there is imminent friction predictable?

THE WITNESS: The riot has produced in Newark a great fear and resulting from that fear perhaps increased hatred and hostility to Negroes.

I don't think I have ever in my ten years here in New Jersey and around Newark felt such clear lack of patience and understanding among whites as I feel today.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is it escalating or is it leveling off as far as you can sense?

THE WITNESS: It is very early. It is only three months since the riot. I would say it is at a very high level. However, the Mayor has tried out some very strong civil rights talks before some groups which we would not consider to be immediately receptive, that is. to the police, the police graduation ceremonies. into a very partisan Italian Anti-Defamation League in which he made a very pro-civil rights talk.

Although the reaction was not overwhelming in terms of cheers and applause and response.

the response moving around in the group afterward was very encouraging both times.

I think that a lot of people want to help again. There is still a tremendous reservoir of good will. It is being obscured as a result of the riot.

BISHOP TAYLOR: Are you dealing with a white-black controversy? Are you not involved in a tremendous world-wide revolution in which everybody pays the price?

Do you have the options that you are talking about of whether the white man is going to agree or consent to be helpful or useful or do we have the option to find some common denominator around which our society can express a common equality of the people or give up the struggle of claiming to be a democracy or perhaps in the long run give up survival?

THE WITNESS: I think this: That the nation is facing a crisis. I don't think it is the first one we faced. I think the Civil War was a crisis.

I think the social revolution of the thirties was a tremendous test and a tremendous crisis for the country. We are facing another.

21

22

23

24

different one now from the Civil War?

THE WITNESS: No. It is different but here's what I mean. We have faced successive waves of crises in this city. I think we have faced successive waves of crisis in American life.

It is a test of American democracy and our humanism. Now if democracy is viable and if American politics are going to survive, they are going to absorb the dissent which we are confronted with now, take the best of it and do as we did in the thirties.

There was such a tremendous social upheaval in the thirties, as severe very likely as this, not as violent but remember the labor strikes? They were pretty bad too.

I don't know that we can't handle this crisis. It is a test for the country to see if it in fact will absorb it. Are we a viable democracy or not?

I don't know that this is the first time that that question has been posedin American society. I think it is not. I think that we lack historical perspective here. America has

confronted that question a number of times

before and has always proved to be a viable

enough democracy to absorb dissent, to alter

itself, to change its form to adapt to that which

is best and root out that which is worse and then

to continue on in a strengthened manner.

Cartainly we were a better country after

Cartainly we were a better country after the Civil War than we were before. I think we are a better country after the social revolution in the thirties than we were before.

I think the country will be a better one

if we are able to survive this crisis and I
think we will. I hate to be the person to
inject historical perspective but it is not the
first crisis this country has ever faced.

It is not the first time Americans have

It is not the first time Americans have died in controversy among Americans. It is a test of our humanism and we are going to have to survive it.

There is no dispute with you. I am with you. I agree with you 100%.

BISHOP TAYLOR: I believe it was said
that the great mistake we make in the world
today is that we try to judge the future on what
has happened in the past and we do not take into

account the ambiguity of human nature. That's one.

Now second, I admit that we have had crisis after crisis but we have never had a crisis in America within the world context that we are experiencing today and with the impact of that context upon our actions.

This is the first time in the history of this country that we are facing that.

THE WITNESS: Where is this world revolution taking place?

of what is happening in Africa and in Asia.

THE WITNESS: A continent is coming alive.

That is not a revolution.

BISHOP TAYLOR: They are coming alive for values that they don't believe that we are holding out for them.

THE WITNESS: I think Africa is going to find its own way. It is a big, aggressive and rich continent.

I don't see a confrontation between black and white or races in the nation or across the world.

BISHOP TAYLOR: That is the issue that I

am talking about.

THE WITNESS: America has its own confrontation that is serious enough.

MR. LOFTON: I want to know something more specific. My question is in this area: Members of the Commission have become aware, including myself, of the whole sensitive issue of the canine corps and so forth which has given a lot of us concern and a lot of the literature and the like that has been circulated in the community concerning that specific kind of issue.

I haven't seem a public expression by the Mayor as it relates to this issue in an attempt to appeal to the community as it relates to these escalating tensions in the black community and in the white community.

I would like to just get your reaction to that if that's true. Maybe he made such a statement and I have missed it.

THE WITNESS: You have missed them.

know what he has done in that area.

THE WITNESS: I think the latest example was last night at the NAACP dinner, the latest example of a long list of the Mayor's statements

in regard to extremism in the city and the

divisive forces at work was made last night at

the NAACP dinner at which he spoke and said there
is no room for extremists, be they black or

white, in the City of Newark and that we were

not going to permit extremism to tear the

community apart, denouncing it and so forth

and so on.

He has done that regularly.

As to the dogs you are well aware that he had the dog issue deleted from the City Council agenda the first time and that he has announced to the priest group and to others that if the money is appropriated he simply will not spend it for the dogs.

MR. LOFTON: He has made a public statement to this effect?

THE WITNESS: Yes, indeed. The dog issue is now being used by extremists on both sides to continue the issue plus other more timid groups, by and large liberal white groups are beginning to edge into the thing now because they think it is pretty safe to take a shot.

When did he say he would not use the appropris-

tion for dogs if given to him?

Q

He has made that comment to the priest group, in his office and everywhere else.

I mean in public.

He publicly announced he was opposed to the dogs the first vote.

Has he publicly said he would not use any appropriation given to him for dogs?

Oh, yes. The priest meeting was public. The priest group has announced it themselves a half a hundred times.

> assumed that you had indicated there was an escalating reaction in the Negro community.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Lofton's question

Do you have that feeling?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: It was implicit in his question.

THE WITNESS: In the Negro community?

He said now to curb this escalating reaction. You have indicated that in the white community, I think, while you won't call it escalation, you say that there is less tolerance and so forth and that this may be called a reaction.

Now in the Negro community do you sense a reaction of increased imprience, increased

13

15

17

19

23

24

1 hostility or

hostility or anything of that kind?

THE WITNESS: I think the riot did just

what Tom Hayden said it would do before the riot, which was to separate black and white.

I think it has done that.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You think that has happened in Newark?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Are there any
bridges at all across this gap?
THE WITNESS: Yes. I think there are many

The Committee on Concern looks very promising.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You have always had separation, let's face it.

THE WITNESS: I am talking about a sharper separation than ever before. I think there are a number of persons -- now Newark, for all it may seem now after the riot, has had a very good and sound and encouraging and exciting possibility for race cooperation.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Do you think that

is lost?

THE WITNESS: No. I don't.

Malafronte

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You say "had".

submerged. I came to Newark on my way to
Washington nine years ago and the only story
which ever interested me in this town was
race and the only thing which excited me about
Newark was how well or what a great chance, I
should say, there was to really work out
relationships between Negroes and whites.

That's the only thing which held me in Newark nine years ago when I came passing through here. It is the onlything which continues to hold me here.

I really do sincerelybelieve that there
was an unusual opportunity for understanding
between Negroes and whites. That was my
argument with Tom Hayden all this time. Tom
Hayden's perception was that Newark was a
backward city that needed a riot to wake it up,
to catch up with other cities.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You keep mentioning him. Do you think he is the Tom Paine of this revolution in the sense that he is the pamphleteer, the talker, the idea projector and so forth?

Malafronte

talk and so forth.

WICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: But so are you. I am talking about people who are movers and those who are shakers.

THE WITNESS: He is a mover and a shaker.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: A prime mover and a shaker?

tried to suggest to him and to others was that
Newark might be for all its appearances somewhat
ahead of these other cities and that a riot
would take us back to those cities, not
advance us.

THE WITNESS: Definitely. I think what we

Now I think I was right. I think Newerk is now just like any other city. It has had a riot. So what? What did it prove? What did it gain?

Is anybody aware of problems we learned?
What did we learn that we didn't know? There
were people who were there right at the point
that it was all about.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think we learned that we have to gain more expertise in these

Malafronte

times of friction than we have had in human relations.

THE WITNESS: You mean a general lesson was taught to people who weren't paying attention?

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think that.

THE WITNESS: I hope so. Watts was pretty shocking and Harlem was. That didn't seem to get the message across. Why should Newark and Detroit?

You knew where the message is going to come out? Out of New Haven.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Tom Lee says no.

MR. WACHENFELD: Why do you say that it will come out of New Haven?

THE WITNESS: Because you see, you could excuse Newark if you were an outsider looking in.

VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: This is your theory of government and politics?

THE WITNESS: Yes. You would say "What a crummy town", speaking of Newark, "it is dirty, it is grimy, it has all those Negroes, it has all these problems, it has a corrupt this and a corrupt that, everybody knows it" and

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

so forth.

Somehow it is identified with the great industrial waste land and stench which stretches across North Jersey. You could excuse it. Americans all over can say Well, everybody knows that is a sin hole", which it isn't as a matter of fact but which they believe it to be.

Now New Haven, that is a different story.

It's a model city, by and large, white city really, and some nice Negroes who Dick Lee is helping, the model mayor in a model city using model programs, praise, books written articles. and every mayor has always felt a little awe or a little anger or a little frustration knowing that he in many cases was doing more than Dick Lee had started to think of doing but there was old Dick Lee and there was New Haven and Dick Lee happens to be a wonderful mayor and he happened to have a wonder ful administration who was doing a really honest-togoodness wonderful job, but best of all, he was selling it well.

MR. WACHENFELD: And yet it happened.

THE WITNESS: And yet it had a riot.

That's the message. I don't think anybody got

23

25

the message until Detroit began the shock. Newark was the last of the old style things. Everybody could understand that. Detroit was a shock because of Cavanaugh. Cavanaugh had some of the aura of Mayor Lee but not all of it. I have to confess, gentlemen, that I would never wish a riot on another city because I think it is a horrible and tragic thing but in my heart and I have even gone so far as to talk about it in despair to a couple of others, there was the feeling that if only a little incident took place somewhere in New Haven that didn't hurt everybody, maybe people would begin to understand what the problems were.

> When New Haven came and no one was killed, thank God, I felt that we were going to get some progress after New Haven.

What is the Lesson of New Haven? 0

The lesson of New Haven is the problems are more immense than this country has ever begun to understand and that if we are going to re-do the cities we are going to have to put some power into those cities and we are going to have to strengthen those city governments and we are going to have to really pay some attention and pay some bills. That was the lesson of New Haven. It isn't going to

Malafronte

so away overnight with a single program.

Q All of us, especially me, have plenty of more questions and areas to go on into. We will have to take it up at a staff meeting and if we decide we would like to have you back would you kindly continue to cooperate and return?

A It would be a pleasure, a great pleasure because we have only really touched on the first days of the riot and I think that many of the people on the Mayor's staff and many of the people on the Governor's staff came much closer together as we moved into the last day or two on what should be done.

I think that needs to be told.

on the record our thanks to the witness.

MR. ROBINSON: Especially from me, for meeting with me many days preceding this hearing. I met with and interviewed Mr. Malafronte four or five times preceding his testifying at the last appearance and now and there are many more areas to go into provided the Commissioners desire it.

I also want to state and reiterate that at all times Mr. Malafronte has cooperated with me completely both in terms of giving me information, data, ideas and time.