Old Bridge 1987 Letter to Judge ne: proposed form of Order Attch: Coner letter Order Amending

CACCU16ZO

Pgs. 7



School of Law-Newark • Constitutional Litigation Clinic S.I. Newhouse Center For Law and Justice 15 Washington Street • Newark • New Jersey 07102-3192 • 201/648-5687

June 29, 1987

VIA LAWYERS SERVICE

The Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli Assignment Judge, Superior Court Ocean County Court House CN 2191 Toms River, NJ 08754

RE: Urban League, et al. v. Carteret, et al.

Dear Judge Serpentelli:

The Civic League plaintiffs vigorously object to the proposed form of Order submitted by Frederick C. Mezey by letter dated June 26, 1987

As we advised the Court, in Mr. Mezey's presence, plaintiffs are willing to modify the existing restraints if appropriate arrangements are made to assure the provision of a proportional number of lower income housing units. It is essential that there be some security for these units in case the Consent between Old Bridge and the Civic League is not approved by the Court. Indeed, we thought that we had made our position clear at the scheduling conference last week as well as during a subsequent telephone conversation with Mr. Mezey and we were surprised to receive the proposed form of Order.

Until, and unless, the arrangements set forth above are finalized, it is respectfully submitted that the restraints set forth in the May 31, 1985 Order should remain in place.

Respectfully yours,

cc/Old Bridge Service List

SERVICE LIST

<u>Urban League v. Carteret</u>, Civ C 4122-73 (Superior Court, Chancery Div., Middlesex County) (OLD BRIDGE)

Jerome J. Convery, Esg. 151 Route 516, Box 872 Old Bridge, NJ 08857

Thomas Norman, Esq. Jackson Commons 30 Jackson Road Medford, NJ 08055

· ···

Thomas Hall, Esq. 2-4 Chambers Street Princeton, NJ 08540

Stewart M. Hutt, Esq. 459 Amboy Avenue Woodbridge, NJ 07095

Dean Gaver, Esq. 4 Becker Farm Road Roseland, NJ 07068

William Flynn, Esg. PO Box 515, 18 Throckmorton Lane Old Bridge, NJ 08577

Frederick Mezey, Esq. PO Box 238, 93 Bayard Street New Brunswick, NJ 08903

MEZEY & MEZEY

COUNSELLORS AT LAW 93 BAYARD STREET P.O. BOX 238 NEW BRUNSWICK, N.J. 08903 (201) 545-6011

LOUIS A. MEZEY (1929-1982) FREDERICK C. MEZEY* SFERMINE MANAGERICRES DEBORAH A. COHEN

•MEMBER OF N.J. & D.C. BAR ••MEMBER OF N.J. & N.Y. BAR

.

SUITE 100 ELM RIDGE RD., R.D. 2 PRINCETON, N.J. 08540 (609) 921-1743

OUR FILE NO.

#5105

June 26, 1987

Hon. Eugene D. Serpentelli Ocean County Court House CN 2191 Toms River, NJ 08753

> Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, et al v. Mayor and Council of the Borough of Carteret Docket No. C-4122-73

Dear Judge Serpentelli:

We are enclosing herewith an original and two copies of proposed form of Order in regard to the case scheduling conference held on June 25, 1987 before Your Honor.

By copy of this letter, we are forwarding copies to the attorneys for all parties.

Respectfully yours,

MEZEY & MEZEY By_ FREDERICK MEZEY

FCMcv Encs. cc: all counsel

MEZEY & MEZEY Attorneys for Defendants Oakwood at Madison, Inc. and Beren Corp. 93 Bayard St., P.O. Box 238 New Brunswick, NJ 08903 (201)545-6011

:

:

:

:

:

.

•

.

•

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW : NEW BRUNSWICK, et al SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION MIDDLESEX COUNTY

DOCKET NO. C-4122-73

LAW DIVISION

MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Civil Action

ORDER AMENDING RESTRAINT

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

DOCKET NO. L-009837-84 P.W.

Plaintiffs, v.

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF CARTERET, et al

Defendants,

O & Y OLD BRIDGE CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE TOWNSHIP OF OLD BRIDGE, : et al

Defendants

WOODHAVEN VILLAGE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE TOWNSHIP OF OLD BRIDGE, et al,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-036734-84 P.W. OAKWOOD AT MADISON, INC., et als,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-7502-70 P.W.

+ 1ª

Plaintiffs,

v.

. . .

THE TOWNSHIP OF MADISON, et al.

Plaintiff

v.

THE TOWNSHIP OF MADISON, et al,

Defendants.

This matter being opened to the Court by Mezey & Mezey, attorneys for Defendants, Oakwood at Madison, Inc. and Beren Corp., Frederick C. Mezey, Esq. appearing, at the case scheduling conference held in the chambers of Hon. Eugene D. Serpentelli on June 25, 1987 in the presence of Barbara Stark, Esq. and John M. Payne, Esq., Rutgers Institutional Litigation Clinic, Attorneys for Urban League, Thomas Norman, Esg., Attorney for Old Bridge Township Planning Board and Jerome Convery, Esq., attorney for Old Bridge Township, Brenner, Wallack & Hill, Attorneys for O & Y Old Bridge Development Corp., Thomas Hall, Esg. appearing, Hutt, Berkow, Hollander & Jankowski, Attorneys for Woodhaven Village, Inc., Stewart M. Hutt, Esq. appearing, and Hannoch, Weisman, Stern, Besser, Berkowitz & Kinney, Attorneys for O & Y Old Bridge Development Corp., Dean Gaver, Esq. appearing, on motion for an Order modifying paragraph 2 of the Order of this Court dated May 31,

-2-

:

1985 to provide for a restraint upon the defendants Old Bridg Township, Township Council and Planning Board from issuin building permits for more than the first 300 market units instead of for the first 120 market units as provided in sai Order of May 31, 1985;

And the Court having heard the arguments of counsel and being of the opinion that the relief requested should be granted;

It is on this day of , 1987 ORDERED as follows:

Defendants Old Bridge Township, Old Bridge Township 1. Council and Old Bridge Planning Board, and all their agents, employees, and other persons and entities acting in concert with them are hereby enjoined, pending further Order of this Court approving a phasing, affordability and re-sale/re-rental restriction plan for Oakwood at Madison's project, from issuing building permits for construction of any units by Oakwood at Madison, Inc. or Beren Corp., pursuant to the Old Bridge Planning Board's Resolution of Final Subdivision Approval dated August 23, 1979 or the Planning Board's Resolution of Preliminary Approval of June 30, 1978, which is incorporated therein, after the issuance of building permits for the first 300 market units, provided, however, that nothing herein shall prevent the municipal defendants from reviewing and processing any requests for additional approvals in connection with this project, including requests for site plan approval for the low and moderate income units, but not including requests for

-3-

building permits.

Ja the sect

2. Paragraph 2 of the Order of May 31, 1985 is hereb amended in accordance with paragraph 1 above.

3. Except as amended herein, the Order of May 31, 198 shall continue in full force and effect.

-4-

EUGENE D. SERPENTELLI, A.J.S.C.