U.L. v. Carteret
Old Bridge

Letter from Rosenberg to Constantini
re: response to Shiman owitz and
consolidation of coses

7 Sep. 1988

Pgs 1

CACOZI3L

## LAW OFFICES

## KATZENBACH, GILDEA & RUDNER

## PRINCETON PIKE CORPORATE CENTER

997 LENOX DRIVE

SAMUEL RUDNER
VICTOR WALCOFF
RICHARD M. KOHN
PHILLIP E. GRIFFIN
ARNOLD M. MELLK
JAMES F.X. RUDY
EZRA D. ROSENBERG

FRANK P. REICHE

ELAINE C. BRITT

LAWRENCEVILLE, NEW JERSEY 08648-2311

(609) 896-3600 TELECOPIER (609) 896-1469

September 7, 1988

JAMES M. COLAPRICO
JOSELE A. ARENA
LAWRENCE C. WOHL
H. LEE SCHWARTZBERG, JR.
ALLISON E. ACCURSO
JUNE H. MILLINGTON
HELENE L. EPSTEIN
MITCHELL R. TABAS
FRANCES M. MERRITT

Mr. Edward Constantini
Office of the Clerk
Superior Court of New Jersey
Appellate Division
Hughes Justice Complex
CN-006
Trenton, NJ 08625

Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, et al. v.
Mayor and Council of the Borough of Carteret, et al.
Woodhaven Village, Inc. v. Township of Old Bridge
Docket Nos. A-4752-87T3, A-4335-87T3 and A-4572-87T3

Dear Mr. Constantini:

We are writing in response to a copy of a letter that we received dated August 26, 1988 from Ronald Shimanowitz, attorney for Woodhaven Village, Inc., concerning consolidation of the above-referenced matters. We represent the Township of Old Bridge Planning Board.

We agree with Mr. Shimanowitz that consolidation of these appeals is appropriate in order to conserve both judicial resources and legal fees that will be expended on appeals arising from the same trial court orders.

Very truly yours,

Ezra D. Røsenberd

/mdm

cc: Service List