

UL v. Certset (Monroe)

2/1

(1985)

Letter not objecting to a request for an additional 30 day extension, reminding that Monroe Greens has the right to participate in the Ordinance Revision process

2 pgs

CA000350L

RECEIVED

FEB 4 1985

JUDGE SERPENTELLI'S CHAMBERS

Law Offices

Hutt, Berkow & Jankowski

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

*Park Professional Bldg.**459 Amboy Avenue**P.O. Box 648**Woodbridge, N.J. 07095*

February 1, 1985

*Reply to**P. O. Box 648**(201) 634-6400**Our File #*

*London Berkow
Stewart M. Hutt
Joseph J. Jankowski
Janice H. Scherer
D. Bruce Unger
Ronald L. Shimanowitz*

Hon. Eugene D. Serpentelli, A.J.S.C.
Ocean County Court House
CN 2191
Toms River, New Jersey 08753

Re: Urban League v. Carteret, et al.
(Monroe Greens v. Monroe Township)

Dear Judge Serpentelli:

I am writing with regard to Mr. Farino's letter to the Court dated January 29, 1985 in which he requests an additional 30 day extension on behalf of the Township of Monore.

Although Plaintiff Monroe Greens does not object to Monroe's petition for an extension, I wish to remind the Court and Counsel that, pursuant to Your Honor's June 7, 1984 Order Granting Partial Consolidation, Plaintiff Monroe Greens has the right to participate in the ordinance revision process. To date, Plaintiff's participation has been limited to a brief presentation before the Township Council. Plaintiff has not been permitted to participate in Township meetings with the Master and/or Mr. Hintz, most of which have been closed sessions, nor has Plaintiff received any reports, documents or correspondence with regard to the Township's compliance package.

In short, Plaintiff has been virtually closed out of the ordinance revision process.

For example, the seventeen site selection criteria referred to in the above referenced letter of Mr. Farino are unknown to this Plaintiff thereby rendering Plaintiff's proof of satisfaction of said criteria an impossibility.

Inasmuch as Plaintiff Monroe Greens has no idea of what the Township is contemplating as a compliance package, I

Hutt, Berkow, Hollander & Jankowski


A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Hon. Eugene D. Serpentelli, A.J.S.C.
February 1, 1985
Page Two

would suggest that same be made available to all counsel of record in sufficient time to properly review and comment on the compliance ordinance.

Thanking you for your consideration of the above, I am,

Respectfully yours,



RONALD L. SHIMANOWITZ
For the Firm

RLS:a1

cc: Carla Lerman, Esq.
Frederick Kessler, Esq.
Barbara Williams, Esq.
Carl S. Bisgaier, Esq.
Thomas R. Farino, Esq.
Mr. Steven Denholtz