

Monroe 1985

6/11/85

Interrogatory

Pgs = 2

no P.i.

CA000358L

THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
RUTGERS
Campus at Newark

Payne

School of Law-Newark • Constitutional Litigation Clinic
S.I. Newhouse Center For Law and Justice
15 Washington Street • Newark • New Jersey 07102-3192 • 201/648-5687

June 11, 1985

Mario Apuzzo, Esq.
East Railroad Avenue
Jamesburg, N.J. 08831

Re: Urban League v. Carteret

Dear Mr. Apuzzo:

This letter will confirm our telephone conversation of today wherein I requested the following information:

- (1) Is the extension of Concordia reflected in the May 29, 1985 Cranbury Press article (attached) the same extension of Concordia outlined in the Mt. Laurel compliance package?
- (2) Is there any set-aside in this approval? If not, why not?

Additionally, I would like to receive a copy of the Resolution of Approval by the Planning Board.

As we discussed, I would appreciate your providing this information by June 14, 1985.

I thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Barbara J. Williams

cc/Messrs. Bisgaier, Hutt, Mytelka

attchmt

Planners OK new Concordia

By MIKE FABEY
Special to The Press

MONROE — The Union Valley Corp. s proposal for a new planned retirement community received planning board approval last week.

It will be built across the street from the firm's first PRC, Concordia, which is on Prospect Plains Road. The new community would be bounded by Perrineville and Jamesburg-Half Acre Roads behind the municipal building here.

The plans now go to town council for review.

The board approved the plans despite objections from Councilman David Rothman and the public, who maintained

the development would cause traffic problems.

Mr. Rothman asked the planners to delay their decision until a comprehensive traffic study could be made.

Gary W. Davies, an independent traffic consultant who surveyed the area, said the roads would be able to handle traffic generated by the new development. As further developments were built, however, the roads would have to be widened and traffic signals would have to be constructed.

Concordia residents complained that the entrance to the new community would face Concordia's. Arthur Rubin speculated, "Fifty percent of our problems would be solved if the entrance was on Half Acre Road."

Robert S. Greenbaum, Union Valley's attorney, said his client will contribute its "fair share" toward traffic improvements.

Other public comment centered on medical facilities at Concordia and the proposed development.

Union Valley agreed to dedicate 10 acres for a hospital and set aside space for other medical facilities for residents.

Some residents asked if the services would be similar to those offered by Rossmoor and Clearbrook, adult communities here that have 24-hour emergency nursing service funded by homeowners.

Mr. Greenbaum said township laws regulating retirement communities require only that medical facilities be provided. They do not require the developer to staff it.

