

UL v. Carteret, Monroe

4/2/86 (1986)

Order (Monroe) for discovery; schedule for

3 pgs

CA0003980

ERIC NEISSER, ESQ.
 JOHN M. PAYNE, ESQ.
 BARBARA STARK, ESQ.
 Constitutional Litigation Clinic
 Rutgers Law School
 15 Washington Street
 Newark, New Jersey 07102
 201-648-5687
 ATTORNEYS FOR URBAN LEAGUE PLAINTIFFS

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
 CHANCERY DIVISION
 MIDDLESEX/OCEAN COUNTY

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER]	Civil No. C 4122-73
NEW BRUNSWICK, et al.,]	(<u>Mount Laurel</u>)
]	
Plaintiffs,]	
]	
vs.]	
]	
THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF]	
THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET,]	
et al.,]	
]	
Defendants.]	ORDER (Monroe)

This matter having been opened to the Court by Eric Neisser, Esq., John M. Payne, Esq. and Barbara Stark, Esq., attorneys for plaintiff Urban League, on notice to Mario Apuzzo, Esq., Arnold Mytelka, Esq., Stewart Hutt, Esq., Carl Bisgaier, Esq., Douglas Wolfson, Esq., Carl Silverman, Esq. and the Court having considered the entire record in this action and the papers appearing at the foot hereof, and having heard oral argument, and good cause having been shown,

It is, on this day of April, 1986 ORDERED:

1. That discovery shall be scheduled as follows:
 - a. Plaintiffs shall serve interrogatories on defendant within two weeks from the date of this Order.

b. Defendant shall provide plaintiffs with complete and fully responsive answers to such interrogatories within thirty (30) days of receipt of same.

c. Defendant shall advise plaintiffs in writing of any objections to such interrogatories within ten days of defendant's receipt of same.

d. Depositions shall be noticed within fifteen days of plaintiffs' receipt of defendant's answers to interrogatories.

2. That the Township be restrained from expanding its MUA capacity, or, in the alternative, that it be required to reserve an appropriate portion of any such expansion for Mount Laurel units pending substantive certification by the Council or as otherwise ordered by this Court following a plenary hearing.

3. That no development involving more than 50 units be permitted pending substantive certification by the Council or as otherwise ordered by this Court following a plenary hearing.

4. That the Township forthwith comply with the Order of May __, 1985 of this Court requiring it to pay the Court-ordered Master for her services in this matter.

5. That this matter shall be set down for a plenary hearing on May __, 1986 to determine additional restraints necessary to preserve scarce resources pending substantive certification by the Council.

6. That the Rutgers Constitutional Litigation Clinic shall be permitted to continue its representation of the Urban League before the Council.

7. For such further relief as this Court may deem equitable and just.

Hon. Eugene D. Serpentelli, A.J.S.C.

PAPERS CONSIDERED:

Notice of Motion
 Movant's Affidavits
 Movant's Brief
 Answering Affidavits
 Answering Brief
 Cross Motion
 Movant's Reply
 Other _____