

monroe

1986

5/28

Letter to the court

Attch: Civil Appeal case info sheet

pgs 34

CA000407L

GROSS & NOVAK, P.A.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EDWARD GROSS
IRA S. NOVAK
WILLIAM P. ISELE*
JAY SAMUELS
DENNIS H. SABOURIN +
CHRISTINE M. COTE
THEODOSIA A. TAMBORLANE
NOLA R. BENCZE #

COLONIAL OAKS OFFICE PARK
BRIER HILL, BUILDING C
P. O. BOX 188
EAST BRUNSWICK, N. J. 08816

(201) 254-4200

(TELECOPIER: (201) 254-4256)

*MEMBER ILLINOIS AND VIRGINIA BARS
+ MEMBER FLORIDA AND WASHINGTON BARS
MEMBER NEW YORK BAR

May 28, 1986

Elizabeth McLaughlin, Clerk
Superior Court of New Jersey
Appellate Division
Hughes Justice Complex
Trenton, New Jersey 08625


RE: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, et al.
Vs. The Mayor and the Council of the Borough of Carteret
Docket No. A-4020-85T7

Dear Ms. McLaughlin:

Enclosed herewith please find revised Civil Case Information Statement on behalf of Carl E. Hintz regarding the above referenced matter.

Sincerely yours,

GROSS & NOVAK, P.A.


WILLIAM P. ISELE

sn/encl.
cc: Mario Apuzzo, Esq.
cc: Mr. Carl E. Hintz
cc: As per attached list

COPY

AMENDED
MAILING LIST

Urban League of Greater New Brunswick
et als. v. Monroe Township et als.,
Docket Nos. C-4122-73, L-076030-83 PW,
L-28288-84, and L-32638-84 P.W.

Eric Neisser, Esq.
John M. Payne, Esq.
Barbara Stark, Esq.
Constitutional Litigation
Clinic
Rutgers Law School
15 Washington Street
Room 338
Newark, NJ 07102

Carl S. Bisgaier, Esq.
510 Park Boulevard
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

Stewart M. Hutt, Esq.
459 Amboy Avenue
Woodbridge, NJ ~~96095~~ 071095

Arnold Mytelka, Esq.
Clapp & Eisenberg
80 Park Plaza
Newark, NJ 07102

William P. Isele, Esq.
Gross & Novak, P.A.
Colonial Oaks Office Park
Brier Hill, Building C
P. O. Box 188
East Brunswick, NJ 08816

Thomas R. Farino, Jr., Esq.
Applegarth and Halfacre Road
Cranbury, NJ 08512

Ms. Carla Lerman
413 West Englewood Drive
Teaneck, NJ 07666

Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli
Superior Court of New Jersey
Law Division
Ocean County Superior Court
Toms River, NJ 08754

Elizabeth McLaughlin, Clerk
Superior Court of New Jersey
Appellate Division
Hughes Justice Complex
Trenton, NJ 08625

John Mayson, Clerk
Superior Court of New Jersey
Hughes Justice Complex
P.O. Box 971
Trenton, NJ 08625
(Certified Mail/Return Receipt
Requested)

W. Cary Edwards
Attorney General
c/o Daniel Reynolds
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
Hughes Justice Complex
Trenton, NJ 08625

Peter P. Garibaldi, Mayor
Township of Monroe
County of Middlesex
Municipal Complex
Perrineville Road
Jamesburg, NJ 08831

Monroe Township Council
c/o Mary Carroll, Clerk
Township of Monroe
County of Middlesex
Municipal Complex
Perrineville Road
Jamesburg, NJ 08831

CIVIL APPEAL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT

<p>TITLE IN FULL:</p> <p>URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, ET AL vs. THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET</p>	<p>FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</p> <p>Appeal Docket No. A-4020-85T7</p> <p>Notice of Appeal Filed: April 7, 1986</p> <p>Date Sent: May 12, 1986</p>
<p>APPELLANT'S ATTORNEY(S):</p> <p>Name: Mario Apuzzo, Esq.</p> <p>Address: Municipal Complex Perrineville Road Jamesburg, NJ 08831</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify)</p> <p>Telephone: 201/521-4400</p> <p>Client: Monroe Township</p>
<p>RESPONDENT'S ATTORNEY(S)*:</p> <p>Name: GROSS & NOVAK, P.A. (W.P. Isele, Esq.)</p> <p>Address: P.O. Box 188 East Brunswick, NJ 08816</p>	<p>Telephone: 201/254-4200</p> <p>Client: Carl E. Hintz</p>
<p>(*INDICATE WHICH PARTIES, IF ANY, DID NOT PARTICIPATE BELOW OR WHO WERE NO LONGER PARTY TO THE ACTION AT THE TIME OF ENTRY OF THE ORDER/JUDGMENT BEING APPEALED.)</p>	
<p>GIVE DATE AND SUMMARY OF TERMS OF JUDGMENT ENTERED BELOW: May 13, 1985. Monroe Township was ordered to pay fees of professional planner, Carl E. Hintz, in amount of \$10,248.72, among others, for services rendered in connection with the Township's <u>Mount Laurel II</u> litigation.</p>	
<p>Does this determination dispose of <i>all issues as to all parties</i>? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If not, has it been certified as final pursuant to R.4:42-2? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>(If not, leave to appeal must be sought. R.2:2-4, 2:5-6.)</p> <p>Is the validity of a statute, executive order, franchise or constitutional provision of the state questioned? (R.2:5-1(h)). Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>GIVE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF THE FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY: At a special meeting on January 28, 1985, The Monroe Township Council engaged the services of Carl E. Hintz, professional planner, in connection with the Township's efforts to comply with <u>Mount Laurel II</u>. Hintz provided services, but the Township refused to pay for same after they were rendered. An order was sought directing payment, and the order granted May 13, 1985 directed such payment to be made. A previous appeal of this same order, Docket A-5394-84T1 was dismissed on December 13, 1985.</p>	
<p>TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, LIST THE PROPOSED ISSUES TO BE RAISED ON THIS APPEAL, AS THEY WILL BE DESCRIBED IN APPROPRIATE POINT HEADINGS PURSUANT TO R.2:6-2(a)(5). Appellant or cross appellant only.</p>	

All civil appeals will be screened under the Civil Appeals Settlement Program to determine their potential for settlement or, in the alternative, a simplification of issues, abbreviation of transcript and any other matters that may aid in the disposition or handling of the appeal. Please consider these when responding to the following question.

State whether you think this case may benefit from a conference. Yes No
A negative response will not necessarily rule out the scheduling of a pre-argument conference.

Explain your answer:

The issue on appeal is a simple order to pay. It does not involve a substantial record or complex issues.

IS THERE ANY CASE NOW PENDING OR ABOUT TO BE BROUGHT BEFORE THIS COURT WHICH:

(A) Arises from substantially the same case or controversy as this appeal? Yes No

(B) Involves an issue that is substantially the same, similar or related to an issue in this appeal? Yes No

IF YES, STATE:

Case Name:

Docket No:

A previous appeal of this same Order, Docket No. A-5394-84T1 was dismissed 12/13/85.

DO YOU EXPECT TO FILE A LETTER BRIEF (*Rule 2:6-2(b)*)? Yes No

The time in which to file your brief and appendix is governed by court rule *unless modified by court order*. If any circumstances exist which might justify a shorter or longer period of time within which to file your brief and appendix other than that provided by *Rule 2:6-11*, give a detailed explanation. Your answer does *not* alter the time limit set forth in the *Rules of Court*.

In the event there is any change with respect to any entry on the Case Information Statement, appellant shall have a continuing obligation to file an amended Case Information Statement on the prescribed form.

Carl E. Hintz


Name of Appellant or Respondent

5/21/86

Date

GROSS & NOVAK, P.A.

Name of Counsel of Record



Signature of Counsel of Record

WILLIAM P. ISELE