

Monroe 1986

6/4/86

proposed revised order

pgs = 4

no p.i.

CA000410 0

THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
RUTGERS
Campus at Newark

CA0004100

School of Law-Newark • Constitutional Litigation Clinic
S.I. Newhouse Center For Law and Justice
15 Washington Street • Newark • New Jersey 07102-3192 • 201/648-5687

June 4, 1986

The Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli
Assignment Judge, Superior Court
Ocean County Court House
CN 2191
Toms River, New Jersey 08754

Re: Urban League, et al. v. Carteret, et al.
(Monroe)

Dear Judge Serpentelli:


We object to the form of Order submitted by Mario Apuzzo, Esq. in connection with the above matter to the extent it unnecessarily and redundantly sets forth the parties' motion papers.

Enclosed please find original and two copies of a revised form of Order which we respectfully submit more accurately, and concisely, reflects the Court's ruling. By copy of this letter, copies of the enclosed form of Order are being served on the persons appearing on the attached service list, who are hereby advised that unless they notify the Court and this office of their specific objection thereto within five days, same may be signed in Your Honor's discretion pursuant to R. 1:6-2.

Respectfully yours,

encls

cc/Monroe Service List w/encls



ERIC NEISSER, ESQ.
BARBARA STARK, ESQ.
Constitutional Litigation Clinic
Rutgers Law School
15 Washington St., Newark, NJ 07102
201/648-5687
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER)
NEW BRUNSWICK, et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF)
THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET,)
et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
CHANCERY DIVISION
MIDDLESEX/OCEAN COUNTY
(Mount Laurel)

Civil Action
Docket No. C 4122-73

ORDER (Monroe Township)

This matter having been opened to the Court by Eric Neisser, Esq. and Barbara Stark, Esq., attorneys for the Civic League plaintiffs, and a cross motion having been filed by defendant Township of Monroe, and the Court having considered the papers appearing at the foot hereof, and having heard oral argument, and good cause having been shown:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 1986:

1. That discovery shall be scheduled as follows, if plaintiffs so choose:
 - a. Plaintiffs shall serve interrogatories on defendant within two (2) weeks from the date of this Order.
 - b. Defendant shall provide plaintiffs with complete and fully responsive answers to such interrogatories within thirty (30) days of receipt of same.
 - c. Defendant shall advise plaintiffs in writing of any objections to such interrogatories within ten (10) days of defendant's receipt of same.

d. Depositions shall be noticed within fifteen (15) days of plaintiffs' receipt of defendant's answers to interrogatories.

e. All discovery shall be completed by plaintiffs no later than ninety (90) days from the date of this Order.

2. Plaintiffs' request that the Township be restrained from expanding its MUA capacity, or, in the alternative, that it be required to reserve an appropriate portion of any such expansion for Mount Laurel units pending substantive certification by the Council or as otherwise ordered by this Court following a plenary hearing is denied.

3. Plaintiffs' request that no development involving more than fifty (50) units be permitted pending substantive certification by the Council or as otherwise ordered by this Court following a plenary hearing is denied.

4. Plaintiffs' request that the Township forthwith comply with the Order of May 13, 1985 of this Court requiring it to pay the Court-ordered Master for her services in this matter is denied.

5. The plaintiffs' request that the Rutgers Constitutional Litigation Clinic shall be permitted to continue its representation of the Civic League before the Council on Affordable Housing is neither granted nor denied, the Court deferring on the issue to the Executive Committee on Ethical Standards.

EUGENE D. SERPENTELLI, A.J.S.C.

PAPERS CONSIDERED:

_____ Notice of Motion
_____ Movant's Affidavit
_____ Movant's Brief
_____ Answering Affidavits
_____ Answering Brief
_____ Cross Motion
_____ Movant's Reply
_____ Other _____

SERVICE LIST

Urban League v. Carteret, Civ C 4122-73 (Superior Court, Chancery
Div., Middlesex County) (MONROE)

Mario Apuzzo, Esq.
Township of Monroe
Municipal Complex
Perrineville Road
Jamesburg, NJ 08331

Arnold Mytelka, Esq.
Clapp & Eisenberg
80 Park Plaza
Newark, NJ 07102

Stewart M. Hutt, Esq.
Hutt, Berkow, Hollander & Jankowski
459 Amboy Avenue
Woodbridge, NJ 07095

Carl S. Bisgaier, Esq.
510 Park Boulevard
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

Douglas K. Wolfson, Esq.
Greenbaum, Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith,
Bergstein, Yohalen & Bruck
PO Box 5600
Woodbridge, NJ 07095

Carl D. Silverman, Esq.
Wilf & Silverman
1640 Vauxhall Road
Union, NJ 07083

Edward J. Boccher, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Hughes Justice Complex
CN 112
Trenton, NJ 08625