U.L. v. Carteret Bruswick

20 Feb 1984

Letter M two copies of Predict Memo attached.

Figs 4

CACCO 566D

BENEDICT AND ALTMAN

Attorneys at Law

JOSEPH J. BENEDICT STEVEN D. ALTMAN

DALE W. BAKER MEMBER N.J. AND PA. BAR 247 LIVINGSTON AVENUE NEW BRUNSWICK, N.J. 08901 (201) 745-9000

10e 6 2/2/ February 20, 1984

The Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli Ocean County Court House CN 2191 Toms River, NJ 08753

Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, et al. vs. Borough of Carteret, et al. Docket No. C-4412-73

Dear Judge Serpentelli:

Enclosed please find an original and two copies of the Pretrial Memorandum on behalf of Defendant Township of South Brunswick. I also enclose 19 extra copies of the factual and legal contentions for attachment to the pretrial order.

I understand that the pretrial conference has been rescheduled to Friday, March 2, 1984 at 11 A.M.

Cordially,

BENEDICT AND ALTMAN

By Joseph J. Benediction

Joseph J. Benedict

JJB:dm Enclosures

cc: All Counsel

Attorney(s): BENEDICT AND ALTMAN

Office Address & Tel. No.: 247 Livingston Avenue, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

Attorney(s) for Defendant South Brunswick Township

(201) 745-9000

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, et al.,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

CHANCERY MIDDLESEX DIVISION COUNTY

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

BOROUGH OF CARTERET, et al.,

Docket No.C-4122-73

CIVIL ACTION PRETRIAL MEMORANDUM OF

Defendant(s)

Defendant South Brunswick Twp.

1. NATURE OF ACTION: Remand to trial court for determination of Defendant South Brunswick and other municipalities' fair share of regional low and moderate income housing need and revision of ordinance to allow for construction of same.

2. ADMISSIONS AND STIPULATIONS:

None.

- 3-4. FACTUAL AND LEGAL CONTENTIONS: (Annexed hereto).
 - 5. DAMAGE AND INJURY CLAIMS:

None.

6. AMENDMENTS:

None.

- 7. ISSUES AND EVIDENCE PROBLEMS: Determination of region, fair share allocation formula, and the Township's fair sahre of present and prospective low and moderate income housing needs, modification of the Township's zoning ordinance to effect compliance with those obligations.
- 8. LEGAL ISSUES ABANDONED:

None.

9. EXHIBITS: South Brunswick Township Zoning Ordinance and Amendments,
Master Plan, Zoning Map, Natural Resource Inventory, Critical Areas Analysis
Population and Housing Projections prepared by Queale & Lynch; USDA Soil
Conservation Service Report for South Brunswick Township; Rutgers University
10. EXPERT WITNESSES:
Center for Urban Policy

David Engel, P.P., A.I.C.P., South Brunswick Township Planner: and. possibly Research Mount Laurel II Report.

84.

Township Planner; and, possibly

John J. Lynch, of Queale & Lynch, Inc. 20 N. Pennsylvania Ave., Norrisville,

11. BRIEFS:
As required by the Court.

12. ORDER OF OPENING AND CLOSING:

Usual.

13. ANY OTHER MATTERS AGREED UPON:

None.

14. TRIAL COUNSEL:

Joseph J. Benedict, Esq.

15. ESTIMATED LENGTH OF TRIAL: Two weeks for determination of region, fair share allocation formula and fair share; two days for compliance hearing.

16. WEEKLY CALL OR TRIAL DATE: March 19, 1984

17. ATTORNEYS FOR PARTIES CONFERRED ON February 1

MATTERS THEN AGREED UPON: None.

- 18. IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT ALL PRETRIAL DISCOVERY HAS BEEN COMPLETED, except

 None.
- 19. PARTIES WHO HAVE NOT BEEN SERVED:

None.

PARTIES WHO HAVE DEFAULTED:

None.

BENEDICT AND ALTMAN
Attorneys for Defendant South Brunswic
Township

Dated: February 20,

19 84.

Joseph J. Benedict

BENEDICT AND ALTMAN
247 Livingston Avenue
New Brunswick, NJ 08901
(201) 745-9000
Attorneys for Defendant Township of South Brunswick

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK vs. BOROUGH OF CARTERET, ET AL. DOCKET NO. C-4122-73

Pretrial Memorandum, Factual and Legal Contentions:

The Township contends that relevant considerations in the determination of housing region in which the Township should fall include (a) the housing market area from which the prospective population of the municipality would be substantially drawn; (b) the area encompassed by significant patterns of commutation; (c) the area served by major public services and facilities; (d) the necessity of data gathering/maintenance; and (e) intraregional differences and interregional similarities as well as consideration of the area in which the housing problem can be solved. These considerations support South Brunswick's position that it is located in the Middlesex, Somerset, Hunterdon and Warren primary metropolitan statistical area, as delineated in and described by The Township's fair share formula, calculation the Rutgers Report. of present and prospective need, and rental and sales prices affordable to low and moderate income households are as set forth in the Summary Report of South Brunswick Township's Fair Share Allocation to 1990 which was previously submitted to the Court.

The Township further contends that its zoning ordinance has been brought into substantial compliance with its Mount Laurel obligation by amendments to the ordinance which were adopted subsequent to Judge Furman's decision in this matter. The ordinance can be brought into full compliance with mandatory set-asides and increased density in several specific developable tracts and increased density in the Township's manufactured housing zone. The specifics of such increases are directly related to judicial determination of the Township's fair share of present and prospective need.