

VL v. Cartwright (South Plainfield) 11 Oct 1984

Letter requesting whether Borough of S. Plainfield
is proceeding in compliance w/ the previously
entered ct order

1 pg

post it # 1049

CA000600L



Superior Court of New Jersey

CHAMBERS OF
JUDGE EUGENE D. SERPENTELLI

OCEAN COUNTY COURT HOUSE
C. N. 2191
TOMS RIVER, N. J. 08753

October 11, 1984

Patrick Diegnan, Esquire
P. O. Box 736
2325 Plainfield Avenue
South Plainfield, N. J.
07080

Re: Urban League v. Carteret et al
(South Plainfield)

Dear Mr. Diegnan:

I have your letter of October 4, 1984 which responds to my letter of September 25, 1984 but it is not responsive to that letter. The same doubt remains as to whether the Borough is proceeding in compliance with the previously entered court order. You did not present me with a specific time schedule as to the expected completion date nor did you advise what steps must be taken before the revision has been accomplished other than to make a brief statement that the master plan must be revised.

As you are aware, the Borough was granted a longer time period than is provided in Mount Laurel II for revision purposes and has already exceeded tht period. I would request a specific response to my inquiries.

Very truly yours,

EDS:RDH
cc: Barbara Williams, Esq.

Eugene D. Serpentelli, JSC