U.L. V. Carteret, South Plainfield Nov. 2, 1984

Letter from Diagnam to Serpentelli M Cest. In Response to Motion for Consolidation... attached

Pgs 5

D CA000611V

Patrick J. Diegnan, Fr.

Attorney at Law

2325 Plainfield Avenue

P.D. Box 136 South Plainfield, N.J. 07080 RECEIVED

NOV - 2 1984

JUDGE SERPENTELLI'S CHAMBERS

November 2, 1984

The Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli Judge, Superior Court Ocean County Court House, CN 2191 Toms River, New Jersey 08753

RE: Urban League vs Carteret, et al., No. C 4122-73

Dear Judge Serpentelli:

Enclosed please find Certification in Response to Motion for Consolidation, Temporary Restraining Order, Interlocutory Injunction, and Appointment of a Master and Returnable November 2, 1984.

Very truly yours,

PATRICK J. DIEGNAN, JR.

PJD/sme Enclosure

cc: Clerk, Superior Court, Trenton Angelo H. Dalto, Esq. William V. Lane, Esq. Peter J. Calderone, Esq. Mayor and Council Barbara J. Williams, Esq.

RECEIVED

NOV - 2 1984

JUDGE SERPENTELLI'S CHAMBERS

PATRICK J. DIEGNAN, JR., ESQ. 2325 Plainfield Avenue P.O. Box 736 South Plainfield, New Jersey 07080 (201)753-7200

ATTORNEY FOR: Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield

PLAINTIFFS

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, et al.,

-VS-

DEFENDANTS

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET et, al., : SUPERIOR COURT OF

: NEW JERSEY

CHANCERY DIVISION

: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

: Docket No.C 4122-73:

CIVIL ACTION

CERTIFICATION IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONSOLIDATION, TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTION, AND APPOINTMENT OF A MASTER AND RETURNABLE NOVEMBER 2, 1984

PATRICK J. DIEGNAN, JR., of full age, being duly sworn accordingly to law upon his oath deposes and says:

1) I am the Borough Attorney for the Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield.

- 2) Reference is made to the Affidavit in support of the above motion brought by Barbara J. Williams, Esq., the Attorney for Plaintiff, Urban League of Greater New Brunswick.
- 3) The Court is aware that the Board of Adjustment of the Borough of South Plainfield is an independent statutory agency which is not controlled by the governing body. The Judgment in this matter was forwarded to the Board of Adjustment and it is my understanding that the Board of Adjustment was totally aware of the Mount Laurel mandate prior to rendering its decision.
- 4) It is my further understanding that the Board of Adjustment Attorney, William Lane, Esq., explained to the Board the requirements of the Judgment entered by this Court on May 22, 1984. Specific reference is made to Ms. Williams contention that a pattern of non-compliance has been identified in the actions of the Borough of South Plainfield. It should be noted that this office requested that the Borough Planner, Mr. Rosa, prepare the necessary revisions to the Zoning Ordinance as required by order of this Order. It should additionally be noted that Mr. Rosa completed said revisions which were forward to Mr. Alan Malack and Eric Nisser, Esq. for their review. (See letters dated June 6, 1984 to the Board of Adjustment Attorney, Planning Board Attorney, and Borough Planner by this office attached hereto as Appendix A, B, & C.)
- 5) It should additionally be noted that Mr. Nisser agreed to the bulk of the proposed revisions to the Zoning Ordinance.

- 6) The Planning Board of the Borough of South Plainfield has not recommended to the Mayor and Council the adoption of any proposed revisions to the Zoning Ordinance as a result of Mr. Rosa's proposal. (See letter from Planning Board Secretary dated August 28, 1984. Attached hereto as Appendix D.)
- 7) I have been instructed by the governing body that their position in this matter is that they will refuse to approve any revisions to the Zoning Ordinance in compliance with Mount Laurel.

PATRICK J. DIEGNAN, JR., ESQ. Attorney for Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield

Plaintiff Attorney(s) for 0 & Y Old Bridge et al. Plaintiff(s) vs. L-009837-84 P.W. Docket No. Township of Old Bridge, et al. Defendant(s) CIVIL ACTION A copy of the within Notice of Motion has been filed with the Clerk of the County of at Court House, Toms River Tew Jersey Carroll Attorney(s) for Plaintiff The original of the within Notice of Motion has been filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in Trenton, New Jersey. Thomas F. Carroll Attorney(s) for Plaintiff Service of the within is hereby acknowledged this day of 19 Attorney(s) for I hereby certify that a copy of the within Answer was served within the time prescribed by Rule 4:6. Attorney(s) for PROOF OF MAILING: On November 19 84 , I, the undersigned, sent to All Counsel of record. Attorney(s) for by messenger or regular mail, return x results the following: Notice of Motion, Letter Memorandum with attached Exhibits and Affidavits The return receipt card is attached to the original hereof. R. 1:5-3 I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are wilfully false, I am subject to punishment. Dated: November 2, 19 84

Office Address & Tel. No.: 2-4 Chambers Street, Princeton, NJ, 08540, (609) 924-0808

Attorney(s): Brener, Wallack & Hill