

VL v. Carteret S. Plainfield 30 Oct (1985)
2 copies of letter from Neisser to Peter
re: telephone convo

2 pgs

CACCCG93L

THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
RUTGERS
Campus at Newark

School of Law-Newark • Constitutional Litigation Clinic
S.I. Newhouse Center For Law and Justice
15 Washington Street • Newark, New Jersey 07102-3192 • 201/648-5687

October 30, 1985

Peter Calderone, Esq.
19 Holly Park Drive
South Plainfield, New Jersey 07080


Re: Urban League v. Carteret, No. C 4122-73

Dear Peter,

This is to confirm today's telephone conversation in which I requested additional information about the nature of development proposed by application 85-9, listed as Item L on the November 7 Planning Board agenda, so that our expert can determine whether it would have an adverse impact upon development of the Pomponio Avenue site to the south and the Frederick Avenue site to the north, both of which have been rezoned for Mount Laurel development. You said you'd send me a copy of the application, that in any case the only action that would be taken on November 7 on this application is the setting of a date for the public hearing on the preliminary subdivision approval, and that the matter would not be heard or approved prior to the November 12 compliance hearing.

Please let me know promptly if I misunderstood you on these points. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation.

Sincerely yours,



Eric Neisser
Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs

THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
RUTGERS
Campus at Newark

School of Law-Newark • Constitutional Litigation Clinic
S.I. Newhouse Center For Law and Justice
15 Washington Street • Newark • New Jersey 07102-3192 • 201/648-5687

October 30, 1985

Peter Calderone, Esq.
19 Holly Park Drive
South Plainfield, New Jersey 07080


Re: Urban League v. Carteret, No. C 4122-73

Dear Peter,

This is to confirm today's telephone conversation in which I requested additional information about the nature of development proposed by application 85-9, listed as Item L on the November 7 Planning Board agenda, so that our expert can determine whether it would have an adverse impact upon development of the Pomponio Avenue site to the south and the Frederick Avenue site to the north, both of which have been rezoned for Mount Laurel development. You said you'd send me a copy of the application, that in any case the only action that would be taken on November 7 on this application is the setting of a date for the public hearing on the preliminary subdivision approval, and that the matter would not be heard or approved prior to the November 12 compliance hearing.

Please let me know promptly if I misunderstood you on these points. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation.

Sincerely yours,



Eric Neisser
Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs