UL v. Carteret, PISCONTAWAY 12/28/14 (1984)
Letter requesting that subject property
be included as a Suitable site for
multi-family development w/ Mt. Laurel
set-asides

2 pgs

CA000773L

wie .

NORMAN J. ABRAMS

ROBERT E. HENDRICKS

ANGELO H. DALTO

C. DOUGLAS REINA JANE D. CASTNER

HOWARD GRAN

ABRAMS, DALTO, GRAN, HENDRICKS & REINA

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

COUNSELLORS AT LAW

1550 PARK AVENUE

POST OFFICE DRAWER D

SOUTH PLAINFIELD, NEW JERSEY 07080

(201) 754-9200 (201) 757-4488

December 28th, 1984

BOUND BROOK OFFICE ROBERT E. HENDRICKS RESIDENT PARTNER (201) 356-9400

PLEASE REFER TO

LUSE CONCENERLY CHANGE

Bruce S. Gelber, Esq. N C D H 733 15th Street N.W. Washington, D. C. 20005

re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick v. Borough of Carteret, et als. Docket No. C-4122-73

Dear Mr. Gelber:

This will confirm our telephone conversation of today. The subject of our conversation relates specifically to the application of Lackland Brothers, #84-ZB-23, encompassing Block 371, Lot 1, zoned R-10, and identified as Baldwin Street Extension, in the Township of Piscataway, New Jersey, currently pending before the Piscataway Township Board of Adjustment. The application is for 109 units, essentially within the purview of the standards for the PRD Zone. The applicant proposes, and the presentation contemplates, 10% low and 10% moderate (total of 22 units). At this point the applicant has presented the affirmative case and the matter will be continued during the month of January 1985 with the Board presenting expert staff reports.

You may recall that by letter dated May 24th, 1984, a copy of which I am enclosing, you took a position supporting the suitability of the above site for multi-family residential development, providing that mandatory set-asides were established. Our application, as presently constituted, falls exactly within those prescribed perimeters. During the course of our conversation, I expressed my concern that this site was not included among the inventory of vacant sites identified by Carla L. Lerman,in her report, as suitable sites for multi-family Mt. Laurel type development. You indicated to me that the reason for this oversight lies in the fact that the subject tract had received final approval for development as single-family residential properties. I informed you that that approval had lapsed by virtue of the fact that the owner had not filed a proper final approval. Obviously the reason for not filing the final approval was that Lackland Brothers decided to pursue development of this site as multi-family residential with Mt. Laural set-asides.

Having set forth the foregoing as background information, I am specifically requesting that the subject property be included as a suitable site for multifamily development with Mt. Laurel set-asides.

ABRAMS, DALTO, GRAN, HENDRICKS & REINA

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
COUNSELLORS AT LAW

-2-

I am forwarding a copy of this letter to the parties designated below.

I am requisitioning a copy of the transcript of the hearing to this point, and will also furnish to all interested parties a copy of the written report of Richard Coppolla, which report was submitted to the Board of Adjustment. We feel that this material will amply support our position in this regard.

I thank you for your consideration of this letter, and I look forward to meeting you personally.

Very)truly yours.

Angelo A. Dalto

AHD:jsb Enclosure

cc: Ms. Carla L. Lerman
 Michele Donato, Esq.
 Phillip Paley, Esq.
 Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli
 Lackland Brothers

Barbara J. Williams, Esq.