

UL v. Piscataway
Letter discussing Ste II

2/1

(1985)

1 pg

CA000 ~~80~~ 3L
820

RECEIVED

FEB 7 1985

JUDGE SERPENTELLI'S CHAMBERS

KUNZMAN, COLEY, YOSPIN & BERNSTEIN

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

15 MOUNTAIN BOULEVARD

WARREN, NEW JERSEY 07060

(201) 757-7800

EDWIN D. KUNZMAN
 JOHN E. COLEY, JR.
 HARRY A. YOSPIN
 STEPHEN J. BERNSTEIN

*ADMITTED IN NEW YORK ONLY:

HAROLD J. LEVY*
 JOHN V. BIVONA*
 SIDNEY COHEN*

STEVEN A. KUNZMAN
 HAROLD DRUSE
 ANNE LORUSSO CASCONE
 MICHAEL J. MCCAFFREY

February 1, 1985

ASSOCIATED WITH:

LEVY, BIVONA & COHEN

A PARTNERSHIP OF NEW YORK ATTORNEYS

10 EAST 40TH STREET

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016

(212) 689-2520

ADDITIONAL OFFICES:

LOS ANGELES, CA

(213) 553-4114

PHILADELPHIA, PA

(215) 735-2890

WASHINGTON, D.C.

(202) 466-6044

Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli, J.S.C.
 Ocean County Court House
 CN 2191
 Toms River, New Jersey 08754

RE: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick vs. Piscataway
 Site 11 - *who owns it*

Dear Judge Serpentelli:

I would gather by Carla Lerman's report received in this past Friday, that site 11 may be eliminated from the litigation as a potential high-density site. Our client is only interested in developing this land as a light industry, i.e. office space, light industrial and or warehouse which will provide the buffer that Ms. Lerman recommends for this particular site.

We are concerned with the exact boundary lines of site 11 and I have forwarded to Ms. Lerman as well as to Mr. Gelber a survey of the area being purchased by Lackland Brothers, Inc. The lands being purchased will be the most southerly lands owned by Union Carbide as its properties front on River Road. This will further confirm that as part of the contract to purchase as confirmed again by meeting with Union Carbide officials this past week, Union Carbide will not sell these lands if they are to be developed residentially as they to require a buffer zone to any proposed residential area.

It would therefore appear that this site may be eliminated from the land inventory previously filed with the court that is subject to the present restraining order and that our client may proceed with minor subdivision in order to obtain this land followed by application for site plan approvals in the normal course of procedures of the township.

Very truly yours,

KUNZMAN, COLEY, YOSPIN & BERNSTEIN

Ed Kunzman
 Edwin D. Kunzman (*mdj*)

EDK/mdj

cc: Bruce S. Gelber, Esq