

U.L. v. Carteret, Piscataway 11/24 1985

- - Signed order granting extension to Piscataway for the submission

Pg. 3

P.I. 3000

CAC000 ~~8490~~
~~868~~
865

Cross-Motion of the defendant, Township of Piscataway, for an Order seeking an extension of time for the said Township of Piscataway to submit a compliance plan to the Court, and the Court having scheduled and heard oral argument in support of the Motion and Cross-Motion on Friday, November 8, 1985, John Payne, Esq., appearing on behalf of the plaintiff, Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, and Phillip Lewis Paley, a member of the firm of Kirsten, Friedman & Cherin, A Professional Corporation, attorneys for the defendant Township of Piscataway, appearing, and the Court having considered the papers filed in support of the respective applications, and the Court having concluded that good cause exists for the entry of the within Order:

1. IT IS ON THIS 22 day of November, 1985, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The time period for submission by the Township of Piscataway of a compliance plan, which time period was set forth in paragraph 4 of the Judgment as to Piscataway was entered in this matter on September 17, 1985, is hereby extended until December 2, 1985. The said defendant, Township of Piscataway shall be required to report weekly to Carla Lerman, Court-appointed Master, commencing on Friday, November 15, 1985, orally or in writing, regarding the Township's progress in preparing its plan. The Township of Piscataway shall also notify the attorneys for the Urban

League weekly that it has communicated with the said Master, and shall, further, freely consult with the said Master in connection with the said plan.

2. On December 2, 1985, this Court will confer with the Master and the parties by telephone. If this Court is satisfied that the Township of Piscataway has made reasonable progress towards compliance, this Court will extend the time within which the Township of Piscataway must submit its compliance plan until December 23, 1985, without formal application, subject to the right of the plaintiff Urban League of Greater New Brunswick to object. If this Court finds that reasonable progress towards compliance has not been made, Ms. Lerman shall be directed, without formal application by the Urban League, to submit a compliance plan forthwith, subject to the right of the Township of Piscataway to object.

3. If the time for compliance is extended to December 23, 1985, in accordance with paragraph 2 above, but the Township of Piscataway does not submit a compliance plan by that date, the Master, Ms. Lerman, shall be directed to submit a compliance plan forthwith, without the necessity of a formal application by the Urban League, which shall, however, submit an order confirming that this paragraph 3 has been invoked

4. Except as specifically modified by this Order, all provisions of the Judgment as to Piscataway dated September 17, 1985, shall remain in full force and effect.


Eugene D. Serpentelli, A.J.S.C.