

UL v. Carteret, Piscataway

5/24(?) Exhibit

(1986)

Order (Piscataway) for discovery; schedule for

5 pgs.

CA000870 0

~~870~~

~~1883~~ 876

ERIC NEISSER, ESQ.
 JOHN M. PAYNE, ESQ.
 BARBARA STARK, ESQ.
 Constitutional Litigation Clinic
 Rutgers Law School
 15 Washington Street
 Newark, New Jersey 07102
 201-648-5687
 ATTORNEYS FOR URBAN LEAGUE PLAINTIFFS

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
 CHANCERY DIVISION
 MIDDLESEX/OCEAN COUNTY

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER
 NEW BRUNSWICK, et al.,

 Plaintiffs,

 vs.

 THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF
 THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET,
 et al.,

 Defendants.

Civil No. C 4122-73
 (Mount Laurel)

ORDER (Piscataway)

This matter having been opened to the Court by Eric Neisser, Esq., John M. Payne, Esq. and Barbara Stark, Esq., attorneys for plaintiff Urban League, on notice to Phillip Paley, Esq., James E. Clarkin, III, Esq., Chris Nelson, Esq., Raymond Trombadore, Esq., Lawrence Vastola, Esq., Lawrence Litwin, Esq., Angelo Dalto, Esq., Donald Daines, Esq., Edwin Kunzman, Esq., Thomas Kelso, Esq., and Douglas K. Wolfson, Esq. and the Court having considered the entire record in this action and all the papers appearing at the foot hereof, and having heard oral argument, and good cause having been shown,

It is, on this day of April, 1986 ORDERED:

1. That discovery shall be scheduled as follows:

a. Plaintiffs shall serve interrogatories on defendant within two weeks from the date of this Order.

b. Defendant shall provide plaintiffs with complete and fully responsive answers to such interrogatories within thirty (30) days of receipt of same.

c. Defendant shall advise plaintiffs in writing of any objections to such interrogatories within ten days of defendant's receipt of same.

d. Depositions shall be noticed within fifteen days of plaintiffs' receipt of defendant's answers to interrogatories.

2. That the restraints set forth in this Court's Orders of June 7, 1984, and December 11, 1984 and continued in the Judgment dated September 17, 1985, shall be continued in full force and effect pending substantive certification by the Affordable Housing Council ("the Council") or as otherwise ordered by this Court following a plenary hearing.

3. That no development shall be approved with regard to any of the sites set forth in Schedule A, annexed hereto, pending substantive certification by the Council or as otherwise ordered by this Court following a plenary hearing.

4. This matter shall be set down for a plenary hearing on May __, 1986 to determine additional restraints necessary to preserve scarce resources pending substantive certification by the Council.

5. That the Rutgers Constitutional Litigation Clinic shall be permitted to continue its representation of the Urban League before the Council in this matter.

6. For such further relief as this Court may deem equitable and just.

Hon. Eugene D. Serpentelli, A.J.S.C.

PAPERS CONSIDERED

___ Notice of Motion
___ Movant's Affidavits
___ Movant's Brief
___ Answering Affidavits
___ Answering Brief
___ Cross Motion
___ Movant's Reply
___ Other _____

CLASSIFICATION OF VACANT PARCELS IN TOWNSHIP OF PISCATAWAY

CATEGORY	SITE NUMBER	ACREAGE
CATEGORY I	9 (part)	7.4 ¹
	11	26.7
	18	7.83
	19	7.79
	20	25.0
	21	14.54
	22	5.0
	23	28.79
	24	10.74
	25	8.0
	26	40.98
	27	31.0
	36	2.17 ¹
	41 (part)	48.76 ¹
	50	2.88
	55	105.9
	56	16.0
	58	0.62
	59	29.27
	64	1.65
70	9.1	
71	5.0	
72	5.1	
73	6.99	
74	3.8	
CATEGORY II	5	40.0 ¹
	9 (part)	47.6 ¹
	13	26.0
	15	6.5
	39	7.8
	61	10.42
	62	6.63
	65	4.8
	66	3.5
67	3.4	
CATEGORY III	1	10.7
	2	125.1
	3	24.9
	4	10.0
	6	55.62
	7	88.0
	8	35.6
	10	34.0
12	34.0	

CATEGORY	SITE NUMBER	ACREAGE
CATEGORY III (CONT.)	- 14	66.25
	- 16	14.29
	- 17	17.21
	- 28	6.35
	- 29	1.09
	- 30	50.58
	31	10.9
	32	43.62
	33	63.85
	34	14.3
	35	74.65
	37	7.82
	38	48.0
	- 40	29.18
	41 (part)	7.2 ¹
	42	32.4
	43	14.7
	44	20.0
	45	40.94
	46	55.64
	47	9.4
	48	6.16
	49	17.29
	51	4.3 ²
	52	12.77 ²
	53	9.4
	54	6.2
	57	40.0 ²
	60A	49.7 ²
	60B	18.69 ²
	60C	13.29 ²
	63	2.85
	68	2.81
	75	4.0
	76	6.54 ²
	77	6.45
	78	2.99
	79	7.2

NOTES:

¹Site has been divided between two categories and acreage in each category has been estimated.

²Site is made up of multiple separate parcels. Not all separate parcels have been inspected.

Site 69 has not been inspected, and cannot be classified on the basis of available map/table data.