

U.L. v. Carteret

6/30

June 30, 1986

Piscataway

Letter from Paley to Judge acknowledging  
receipt of Motion papers.

Pgs 2

CA000873L

88B

886

## KIRSTEN, FRIEDMAN &amp; CHERIN

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
 COUNSELLORS AT LAW  
 17 ACADEMY STREET

NEWARK, N. J. 07102

(201) 623-3600

*rec'd 7/1*  
 June 30, 1986

RICHARD E. CHERIN\*  
 HAROLD FRIEDMAN  
 JACK B. KIRSTEN\*  
 PHILLIP LEWIS PALEY\*  
 DENNIS C. LINKEN  
 ROBERT A. VORT\*

JOSEPH HARRISON (1930-1976)  
 MILTON LOWENSTEIN  
 OF COUNSEL

MARGARET E. ZALESKI  
 JOHN K. ENRIGHT  
 SHARON MALONEY-SARLE  
 LIONEL J. FRANK\*  
 RICHARD M. METH  
 SARAH J. MCCORMACK

\*MEMBER N.J. & N.Y. BARS  
 ◊MEMBER D.C. BAR

Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli  
 Judge, Superior Court of New Jersey  
 Ocean County Court House  
 CN 2191  
 Toms River, New Jersey 08754

Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick  
 et al. vs. The Mayor and Council of  
 the Borough of Carteret et al.  
 Docket No. C-4122-73

My Dear Judge Serpentelli:

This will acknowledge receipt of Motion papers filed by Howard Gran, Esq., on behalf of Lackland Brothers, Inc., in which Mr. Gran moves for the discharge of a restraint previously imposed by this Court as that restraint applies to his client's property. I have not yet reviewed the moving papers, being on vacation, but a copy of those papers is being forwarded to me for my review during the next few days.

My secretary advises me that Your Honor has requested that the Master review the moving papers prior to any decision being made. For the record, I object to Ms. Lerman's participation on this application. Your Honor has previously ruled that the

existing restraints remain in effect until the Affordable Housing Council adopts its rules and regulations. Your Honor has previously stated publicly that Your Court is not involved any further in these proceedings. I think that it is quite clear that Ms. Lerman's function as a Master pursuant to the September 17, 1985, judgment has concluded.

I reach this position without regard to what Ms. Lerman may decide with respect to the Motion. Being familiar with the size of the parcel in question the the size of Piscataway's obligation, I would be terribly shocked if Ms. Lerman concludes that the restraints should not be lifted. Nonetheless, I want to avoid any suggestion that the Township of Piscataway acquiesces in Ms. Lerman's further involvement in these proceedings.

I will be pleased to supplement this letter upon my receipt and review of the moving papers.

Very truly yours,

PHILLIP LEWIS PALEY

PLP:pmmn

cc: James F. Clarkin III, Esq.  
Eric Neisser, Esq.  
Howard Gran, Esq.