

CA - Plainsboro 20, Oct - 76

Letter - re: in response to the motion
to consolidate all appeals. Plainsboro
has no objection to consolidating
all Middlesex County appeals.

pgs = 2

CA001848L

Plainsboro

JOSEPH L. STONAKER
COUNSELLOR AT LAW
245 NASSAU STREET
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

TELEPHONE: 921-2155
RECEIVED
OCT 22 1976

October 20, 1976

OCT 22 1976

Office of the Clerk
Superior Court of New Jersey
Appellate Division
Room 316
State House Annex
Trenton, New Jersey, 08625

RE: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, et als.,
vs. The Mayor and Council of Carteret, et als.
Docket No. A-4683-75

Gentlemen:

In response to the plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate all of the appeals arising out of the above entitled matter, and on behalf of the defendant-appellant, Township of Plainsboro, I have no objection to the consolidation of all of the appeals involving the 11 Middlesex County communities which were given quotas of low income housing; namely:

South Plainfield	A-4720-75
Cranbury	A-4681-75
Sayreville	A-4723-75
Piscataway	A-4722-75
East Brunswick	A-4721-75
Monroe	A-4759-75
Plainsboro	A-4683-75
South Brunswick	A-4685-75
Edison	(Cross-appeal filed by Urban League)
North Brunswick	(Cross-appeal filed by Urban League)
Old Bridge	(Cross-appeal filed by Urban League)

It is my understanding that the other municipalities settled their differences with the Urban League before the trial court; and, therefore, the purported appeal by the Urban League is improper. I feel that the Court should determine the Motions to dismiss prior to consolidating the case to cover

Office of the Clerk
Superior Court of New Jersey
Appellate Division

page 2

October 20, 1976

the non-quota municipalities. In the event that the Motions to Dismiss are denied, then I would favor consolidation of all appeals.

Very truly yours,

Original Signed By

JOSEPH L. STONAKER
Joseph L. Stonaker

JLS:nc

cc: All Attorneys of Record