Ul v. Curret

9-10-74

- CL

- Answer Opp Mor

- Leth ve: affident & brist

- Notice of Notive to join more 1) s

- Aft davit

- Briet

- anothe NOM

15: 27 P.i. # 2001

CA 00 2068A

SANFORD E. CHERNIN

Counsellor at Law

ASSOCIATE: HOWARD FREEMAN

September 10, 1974

FRANKLIN STATE BANK BUILDING FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE EASTON AVENUE SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY 08873

(201) 469-5576

Mortimer G. Newman, Jr., Clerk Superior Court of New Jersey State House Annex Trenton, N.J. 08625

Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, etc., et als vs. Mayor and Council of the Borough of Carteret, et als, Docket No. C 4122-73

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith please find the following:

Summons	Notice of Depositions	
Complaint	Substitution of Attorney	
X Stipulation Extending Time	Stipulation of Dismissal	
<u>x</u> Answer	Warrant to Satisfy Judgment	
Counterclaim/Crossclaim	Releases	
Interrogatories	Doctor's Report & Bill	
Answers to Interrogatories	Brief	
Notice of Motion	Judgment	
Affidavit	Return Envelope	
Order	X Check in the Amount of \$30.00	
Subpoena	Other	
Will you kindly:		
<u>x</u> File Serve	File and return copy	
Hold same in escrow pending	marked "filed" in envelope.	
receipt of check in full	Sign order and return in envelope	
Acknowledge receipt of same	Sign the enclosed and return	
by signing copy here and return	in envelope	
Received by		
Date	_Advise Docket No.	
	_Advise Date of Service	
Yours very truly,		

SANFORD E. CHERNIN

SEC:wk

Enc.

cc: See list attached.

CA002068A

cc: David H. Ben-Asher, Esq. Roland Winter, Esq. Martin A. Spritzer, Esq. Bert Busch, Esq. Guida Brigiani, Esq. Andre Wm. Gruber, Esq. Joseph Burns, Esq. John J. Vail, Esq. Samuel G. Inglese, Esq. J. Schuyler Huff, Esq. Arthur Burgess, Esq. Alan Karcher, Esq. Edward Johnson, Jr., Esq. Edward J. Dolan, Esq. Louis Alfonso, Esq. Richard F. Plechner, Esq. Lawrence Lerner, Esq. William Gazzi, Esq. Charles Booream, Esq. Henry Handleman, Esq. Robert Rafano, Esq. Roy Oake, Esq. Joseph L. Stonaker, Esq.

Copy of the within Answer has been sent to the following:

David H. Ben-Asher, Esq. 134 Evergreen Place East Orange, New Jersey 07018

Roland Winter, Esq. 940 Amboy Avenue Edison, New Jersey 08817

Martin Spritzer, Esq. 414 Main Street Metuchen, New Jersey 08840

Bert Busch, Esq.
99 Bayard Street,
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

Guido Brigiano, Esq. 175 Smith Street Perth Amboy, New Jersey 08862

Andre Wm. Gruber, Esq. 1215 Livingston Avenue North Brunswick, New Jersey

Joseph Burns, Esq. 103 Bayard Street New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

John J. Vail, Esq. Box 238 South Amboy, New Jersey 08879

Samuel Inglese, Esq. 406 Main Street Metuchen, New Jersey 08840

J. Schuyler Huff, Esq. Cranbury-South River Road Cranbury, New Jersey

Alan Karcher, Esq. 61-67 Main Street Sayreville, New Jersey 08872

199 North Main Street Milltown, New Jersey

Henry Handleman, Esq. 380 North Avenue Dunellen, New Jersey 08812

Robert Rafano, Esq. 129 Main Street South River, New Jersey 08882

Roy Oake, Esq. 463 South Washington Avenue Piscataway, New Jersey 08854

Joseph Stonaker, Esq. 245 Nassau Street Princeton, New Jersey 08540 SANFORD E. CHERNIN FRANKLIN STATE BANK BLDG. FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE 1848 EASTON AVENUE SOMERSET, N. J. 08873 (201) 469-5576

ATTORNEY FOR Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of
South Plainfield

Plaintiff

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW SRUNSWICK, etc., et als,

vs.

Defendant

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET, et als,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No. C 4122-73

CIVIL ACTION

ANSWER

Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield, by way of answer to the complaint filed herein, says:

- 1. This defendant has no knowledge as to the identification of the parties contained in Paragraphs #1, #4, #5, #6, #7, #8, #9, #10, #11, #30 (e), and, therefore, provides no answers to the same and leaves plaintiffs to their proofs.
- 2. The allegations contained in Paragraphs #13 and #14 of the complaint are denied.
- 3. As to the Appendix to the complaint, and particularly, Paragraph #20 is denied. No answer is made to the remaining paragraphs of the Appendix to the complaint as the same does not pertain to this defendant.

Dojoi

4. Each and every other allegation contained in the complaint is denied.

FIRST SEPARATE DEFENSE

The complaint filed does not set forth a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND SEPARATE DEFENSE

The plaintiffs do not constitute a true and legal class who should be brought together in one cause of action.

THIRD SEPARATE DEFENSE

The complaint as it pertains to this defendant should be severed as each municipality has enacted separate and independent zoning ordinances.

FOURTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The complaint is defective as it has failed to join indispensible parties to this litigation.

FIFTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The complaint must be dismissed as the same is pre-

SIXTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The allegations and the demands contained in the complaint request action from this Court which would constitute an expenditure of public funds for private purposes and, therefore, the same is unconstitutional.

SEVENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The plaintiffs in this action are represented by counsel not authorized to appear in these proceedings.

EIGHTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The complaint must be dismissed as in violation of Rule 4:32-2.

MINTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The complaint must be dismissed as there has been no established proof or authority to act on behalf of a class.

TENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The complaint should be dismissed as it does not properly fall within the "Declaratory Judgment" act in such case made and provided, as there is a separate and available remedy as to each defendant which should be pursued.

ELEVENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

The zoning laws enacted by this defendant constitute a valid exercise of the police power and there has been no assertion that such exercise has been arbitrary, unreasonable or prejudicial and, accordingly, the complaint must be dismissed.

TWELFTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

This Court does not have jurisdiction over the subject matter.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the within Answer has been duly filed and served in accordance with the provisions of Rule 4:6.

/s/ Sanford E. Chernin
SANFORD E. CHERNIN
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor
and Council of the Borough of
South Plainfield

SANFORD E. CHERNIN Counsellor at Law

HOWARD FREEMAN

FRANKLIN STATE BANK BUILDING FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE 1848 EASTON AVENUE SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY 08873

(201) 469-5576

September 10, 1974

Mortimer G. Newman, Jr., Clerk Superior Court of New Jersey State House Annex Trenton, New Jersey 08625

> Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, etc., et als vs. Mayor and Council of the Borough of Carteret, et als Docket No. C 4122-73

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith please find Notice of Motion with supporting Affidavit and Brief for filing in the above matter.

Yours very truly,

SANFORD E. CHERNIN

SEC:wmk

cc: Clerk, Middlesex County Superior Court

cc: All counsel listed on attached Proof of Mailing

SANFORD E. CHERNIN FRANKLIN STATE BANK BLDG. FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE 1848 EASTON AVENUE SOMERSET, N. J. 08873

(201) 469-5576

ATTORNEY FOR Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield

Plaintiff

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER HEW BRUNSWICK, otc., et als,

vs.

Defendant

MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARRERET, et als,

SUPERIOR COURT OF MEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION: HIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No. C 4122-73

CIVIL ACTION

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: DAVID H. BEN-ASHER, ESQ.

BAUMGART & BEN-ASHER, ESQS.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
134 Evergreen Place
East Orange, New Jersey 07018

ROLAND WINTER, ESQ.
JACOBSON & WINTER, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of Edison
940 Amboy Avenue

Edison, New Jersey 08817

MARTIN A. SPRITZER, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of Metuchen
Al4 Main Street
Matuchen, New Jersey 08840

BERT BUSCH, ESQ.
BUSCH & BUSCH, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of East Brunswick
39 Bayard Street
Haw Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

TO: GUIDO BRIGIANI, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of Spotswood
175 Smith Street
Perth Ambov, New Jersey 08861

ANDRE WM. GRUBER, ESQ.
SEIFFERT, FRISCH & GRUBER, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of South Brunswick
1215 Livingston Avenue
North Brunswick, New Jersey 08902

JOSEPH BURNS, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of North Brunswick
103 Bayard Street
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901

JOHN J. VAIL, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
City of South Amboy
Box 238
South Amboy, New Jersey 08879

SAMUEL C. INGLESE, ESQ.
MOSS & INGLESE, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of Monroe
406 Main Street
Metuchen, New Jersey 08840

J. SCHUYLER HUFF, ESQ.
HUFF & MORAN, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of Cranbury
Cranbury-South River Rd.
Cranbury, New Jersey 08512

, ARTHUR BURGESS, ESQ.
TOOLAN, ROMOND, BURGESS & ABBOTT, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of Woodbridge
214 Smith Street
Porth Amboy, New Jersey 08861

TO: ALAN KARCHER, ESQ.

KARCHER, REAVEY & KARCHER, ESQS.

Attorneys for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the

Borough of Sayreville

61-67 Main Street

Sayzeville, New Jersey 07762

EDWARD JOHNSON, JR., ESQ.
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of Middlesex
1 Greenbrook Road
Middlesex, New Jersey 08846

EDWARD J. DOLAN, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of Carteret
1 Holmes Street
Carteret, New Jersey 07008

LOUIS ALFONSO, ESQ.
ALFONSO, GROSSMAN & ALFONSO, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of Madison
325 Highway 516
Old Bridge, New Jersey 08857

RICHARD F. PLECHNER, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of Helmetta
351 Main Street
Metuchen, New Jersey 08840

RUBIN & LERNER, ESQS.

LAWRENCE LERNER, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of Highland Park

101 Bayard Street

New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

WILLIAM GAZI, ESQ.
FOLEY & GAZI, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of South River
1430 Oak Tree Rd.
Iselin, New Jersey 08830

TO: CHARLES BOOREAM, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of Milltown
199 North Main Street
Milltown, New Jersey 08850

HENRY HANDELMAN, ESQ.
HANDELMAN & JACOBS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of Dunellan
380 North Avenue
Dunellan, New Jersey 09812

ROBERT RAFANO, ESQ.
RAFANO & WOOD, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant, Mayor and Council of the
Borough of Jamesburg
129 Main Street
South River, New Jersey 08882

ROY OAKE, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of Piscataway
463 So. Washington Avenue
Piscataway, New Jersey 08854

JOSEPH L. STONAKER, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant, Township Committee of the
Township of Plainsboro
245 Nassau Street
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

5 I R S:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Friday, October 13, 1974, at 9:00 A.M. in the forence or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, the undersigned attorney for the defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield, shall apply before the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, Middlesex County, at the Court House, New Brunswick, New Jersey for an Order directing that the plaintiffs join the City of Perth Amboy, the

City of New Brunswick, County of Middlesex and State of New Jersey, as necessary and essential parties to this litigation, or in the alternative dismissing the complaint for failure to join such indispensible parties.

Defendant shall rely upon the affidavit and brief annexed hereto at the time of hearing.

Dated; September 11, 1974

/s/ Sanford E. Chernin
SAMFORD E. CHERMIN
Attorney for Defendant, Mayor and
Council of the Borough of South
Plainfield

SANFORD E. CHERNIN FRANKLIN STATE BANK BLDG. FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE 1848 EASTON AVENUE SOMERSET, N. J. 08873 (201) 469-5576

ATTORNEY FOR Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield

Plaintiff

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, etc., et als,

vs.

Defendant

1

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET, et als.

SUPERIOR COURT OF MEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No. C 4122-73

CIVIL ACTION

APPIDAVIT

STATE OF NEW JERSEY:

៖ និង

COUNTY OF SOMERSET :

SANFORD E. CEERNIN, of full age, being duly sworn according to law, upon his oath, deposes and says:

- 1. I am attorney for the defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield.
- 2. A review of the complaint filed herein indicates on its face that the plaintiffs have purposely elminated the City of New Brunswick, the City of Perth Amboy, the County of Middlesex, and the State of New Jersey, based upon their own conclusion, not necessarily justified in fact, that they are not

in any way discriminating against any of the plaintiffs and the class which they allegedly represent.

- has permitted the Boroughs to enact appropriate zoning laws and ordinances and for regulations which peculiarly pertain to the limitations upon such zoning laws. The effect of the charges as set forth in the complaint would be to destroy the overall zoning laws and the standards created thereby on which the State of New Jersey has an express and implied interest. Therefore, the State of New Jersey becomes a very interested and indispensible party to this litigation.
- 4. Likewise, the County of Middlesex approves much of the zoning procedures enacted in every municipality and has an inherent interest in the same.

In the event that there is a challenge to the zoning laws as they presently exist, the entire zoning structure of the County would be affected. Accordingly, the County of Middlesex is also a necessary party to this litigation.

5. The plaintiffs have, for reasons best known to themselves, come to the decision that the cities of Perth Amboy and New Brunswick are not acting in any discriminatory fashion. There is no factual support at this juncture for such conclusion. As a matter of fact, any conclusions made by the plaintiffs are not

dants. A determination made or to be made concerning the legality or constitutionality of the present zoning structure and its effect should be also equally binding upon these two municipalities and they should be obliged to participate in these proceedings. These two municipalities, namely New Brunswick and Perth Amboy, have enacted and will continue to enact zoning laws, rules and regulations as authorized by the legislature of the State of New Jersey. They too would be affected by any decision of this Court and should be made party to this litigation as necessary parties.

- 6. There can be no true and just adjudication of the allegations contained in the complaint unless and until the State of New Jersey, through the Attorney General's Office, the County of Middlesex, and the municipalities of Perth Amboy and New Brunswick are joined and obliged to participate.
- 7. This affidavit is made in support of the Motion now pending by the Borough of South Plainfield to join these parties as necessary and essential parties to this litigation.
- 8. I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are wilfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Dated: September 11, 1974

/s/ Sanford E. Chernin SANFORD E. CHERNIN SANFORD E. CHERNIN FRANKLIN STATE BANK BLDG. FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE 1848 EASTON AVENUE

SOMERSET, N. J. 08873

(201) 469-5576
ATTORNEY FOR Defendant, Mayor and Council of the Borough of South Plainfield

Plaintiff

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, etc., et als,

vs.

Defendant

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET, et als,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISIN: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No. C 1122-73

CIVIL ACTION

BRIEF ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT, MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF SOUTH PLAIN-FIELD IN SUPPORT OF MOTICE OF MOTION

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Plaintiffs bring suit, allegedly as representatives of a class, for a determination that the various zoning ordinances enacted by the defendants-municipalities are illegal and violative of the Equal Protection Clause of the State and Federal Constitutions. Other allegations as to general illegality are also asserted and would be equally partinent and applicable to any municipality enacting zoning laws. On the face of the complaint, the plaintiffs have purposely avoided and eliminated the joinder of the State of New Jersey, (the body enacting the enabling legislation), the County of Middlesex, (which has its own zoning body), and the municipalities of Perth Amboy and New Brunswick. The latter two have for some reason been deemed by the plaintiffs. for their own purposes, not to be in violation of either the State or Federal Constitution or any applicable laws in such case made and provided. The failure to join these parties was a conclusion, unilaterally made, by the plaintiffs for whatever purposes.

The defendant, Borough of South Plainfield, has brought a motion to compel the joinder of the State of New Jersey, the County of Middlesex, and the municipalities of New Brunswick and Perth Amboy inasmuch as they occupy a common interest and enact legislation, rules and regulations in accordance with the

legislative purpose pertaining to zoning and zoning laws.

The municipalities of Perth Amboy and New Brunswick are obliged to enact zoning laws in accordance with both the State and Pederal Constitutions together with the statutory enactments in such case made and provided. In this sense they are in a common position with all other defendants. Likewise, so too, is the County of Middlesex.

Inasmuch as the source of zoning eminates from actions of the state legislature, they too are necessary parties.

STATEMENT OF LAW

The action brought is one in the nature of a request for a Declaratory Judgment. Effectively, the plaintiffs state that a certain set of facts have arisen which have given rise to a conflict and/or the possibility of personal damage and/or deprivation of rights and have sought relief from this Court. The gravamen of the compakint is that various municipalities have enacted illegal legislation whether the same be in violation of the Equal Protection Code of the State or Federal Constitutions or otherwise. To the extent that they constitute a challenge to the general zoning powers of the municipalities, the effect of a judicial determination would be binding on all.

Rule 4:28-1 provides that any person (party) who would or should be bound or effected by a judicial determination "shall be" joined as an essential party to the litigation. If such party has not been so joined, the Court shall order they so be made a party. The party is deemed to be an "indispensible party" if it has an interest inevitably involved in the subject matter before the Court. See Jennings v. M & M Transportation Co., 104 N.J. Super 265 (Ch. Div., 1969). See also 1966 Amendment of Federal Rules Civil Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A., embodying the same terms.

interpreted. Wherever feasible, the parties materially interested in the subject of an action should be joined so that they may be heard and a complete disposition made. Moreover, the approach should be one of desirability rather than absolute necessity. In this context, it cannot be stated that the State of New Jersey, which enacted the enabling legislation, the County of Middlesex, which has its own zoning powers, and the municipalities of New Brunswick and Perth Amboy, which operate and effect particular zoning laws, have an equal standing with each of the other defendants. Although, each separate municipality has enacted zoning laws peculiar to its own needs, overall there is a common question of legality and constitutionality.

There is no justifiable reason two of the municipalities are so singular in their employment of their zoning powers that they should be excluded from these proceedings.

Rule 4:28-4 provides that if the validity of any statute or constitutional provision of this State is questioned in any action to which the State is not a party, the parties raising the question shall give notice of the pendency to the Attorney General. The pleadings do not reflect that such notice has been given and an opportunity afforded for intervention by the State of

New Jersey, (or the County of Middlesex), as provided under Rule 4:28-4(d).

CONCLUSION

State of New Jersey, the County of Middlesex, and the municipalities of New Brunswick and Perth Amboy, have a common interest in these proceedings. Their rights and powers are derived from the actions of the New Jersey State Legislature and are subject to the same limitations as contained in the State and Pederal Constitutions as well as such legislative enactments. Their general use and employment of zoning powers is common to all. There is no basic reason justifying the exclusion of these parties as necessary parties to these proceedings.

It is urged that an Order be entered directing that the plaintiffs amend the complaint to include the State of New Jersey, the County of Middlesex, and the municipalities of New Brunswick and Perth Amboy, as necessary parties to this litigation.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sanford E. Chernin
SANFORD E. CHERNIN
Attorney for Defendant, Hayor and
Council of the Borough of South
Plainfield

Dated: September 11

Office Address & Tel. No.: Franklin Mall N.J. 03373 Attorney(s) for Defendant, Mayor and Council	Office, 1848 Easton Avenue, Somerset (201) 469-5576
URDAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICE etc., et als, Plaintiff(s) vs. MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET, et als,	
Defendant(s)) / CIVIL ACTION
A copy of the within Notice of Motion has been filed at the Court House, New Brunswick,	with the Clerk of the County of Middlesex New Jersey
The original of the within Notice of Motion has been ton, New Jersey.	SAMFORD E. CHERNIN/WAA Attorney(s) for Defendant, Borough of Bouth Plainfield filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in Tren-
	/s/ Sanford E. Chernin SANFORD E. CHERNIN / Attorney(s) for Defendant, Borough of South Plainfield /
Service of the within	
is hereby acknowledged th	is day of 19 .
	Attorney(s) for
I hereby certify that a copy of the within Answer w	as served within the time prescribed by Rule 4:6.
	Attorney(s) for
PROOF OF MAILING: On September 11 1974	, I, the undersigned, mailed to
Attorney(s) for SEE LIST ATTACHED	
$egin{array}{c} at \ by \end{array}$	mail, the following:
First Class Mail, a copy of the wi with affidavit a	thin Notice of Motion and supporting brief.
I certify that the foregoing statements made by me a ments made by me are wilfully false, I am subject to punis	

19 74

/s/ Weslie M. Kunz WESLIE M. KUNZ David H. Ben-Asher, Esq. Roland Winter, Esq. Baumgart & Ben-Asher, Esqs. Jacobson & Winter, Esqs. 134 Evergreen Place 940 Amboy Avenue East Orange, N.J. 07018 Edison, N.J. 03817

Martin A. Spritzer, Esq. Bert Busch, Esq. 414 Main Street Eusch & Busch, Esqs. #14 Wain Street Metuchen, N.J. 08840

Guido Brigiani, Esq. 175 Smith Street Perth Amboy, N.J. 08861

Joseph Burns, Esq. 103 Bayard Street Mew Brunswick, N.J. 08991

Actiur Burgess, Ecq. Toolan, Romond, Burgess & Abbott, Rags. 214 Smith St., Perth Amboy, N.J. 09861

Alan Karcher, Esq. Edward Johnson, Jr., Esq. Karcher, Reavey & Karcher, Esqs. Johnson & Johnson, Esqs. Alan Karcher, Esq. 61-57 Main Street 1 Greenbrook Road Sayreville, N.J. 07752 Middlesex, N.J. 08846

Edward J. Dolan, Esq. l Molmes Street Carteret, N.J. 07008

William Gazi, Esq. Poley & Gazi, Esqs. 1430 Oak Tree Road Iselin, N.J. 08830

39 Bayard Street Hew Brunswick, N.J. 08903

Andre Wm. Gruber, Esq. Seiffert, Frisch & Gruber, Esqs. 1215 Livingston Avenue Worth Brunswick, N.J. 03902

> John J. Vail, Esq. Box 238 South Amboy, N.J. 03879

Moss & Inglese, Esq.

Moss & Inglese, Esqs.

Moss & Inglese, Esqs.

Moss & Main Street

Metuchen, N.J. 08840

J. Schuyler Huff, Esq.

Euff & Moran, Esqs.

Cranbury-South River Rd.

Cranbury-N. 7

Louis Alfonso, Esq.
Alfonso, Crossman & Alfonso, Esqs. 325 Highway 516 Old Bridge, N.J. 08357

Richard F. Plechner, Esq.

351 Main Street

Metuchen, N.J. 08840

Rubin & Lerner, Esqs.

101 Bayard Street New Brunswick, N.J. 03903

Charles Booream, Esq. 199 Worth Main Street Milltown, N.J. 08850

Henry Handelman, Esq.
Handelman & Jacobs, Esqs.
380 Horth Avenue
Dunellen, N.J. 08812

Roy Cake, Esq. 463 So. Washington Avenue Piscataway, N.J. 08854 Robert Rafano, Esq.
Rafano & Wood, Esqs.
129 Main Street
South River, N.J. 08882

Joseph L. Stonaker, Esq. 245 Nassau Street
Princeton, N.J. 08540

CITY PERO, CUS