

CA - South Plainfield 2-Feb-76

Order pertaining to discovery
with cover letter and response letter.
~~order regarding to preclude expert
testimony by P~~

- request to exclude P's expert testimony denied
- order the P's to supply reports of experts in time prior to trial, etc.

ps2 = 4

CA0021410

SANFORD E. CHERNIN

Counsellor at Law

HOWARD FREEMAN

FRANKLIN STATE BANK BUILDING
FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE
1848 EASTON AVENUE
SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY 08873

(201) 469-5576

FEBRUARY 2, 1976


TO ALL COUNSEL:

RE: URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK,
ETC., ET AL V. MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE
BOROUGH OF CARTERET, ET AL

GENTLEMEN:

ENCLOSED HERewith PLEASE FIND COPY OF ORDER WITH
REFERENCE TO THE ABOVE MATTER.

YOURS VERY TRULY,



SANFORD E. CHERNIN

SEC:WMK
ENC.

CA0021410

FILED

JAN 24 1976

DAVID C. FURMAN, J.S.C.

SANFORD E. CHERNIN
FRANKLIN STATE BANK BLDG.
FRANKLIN MALL OFFICE
1848 EASTON AVENUE
SOMERSET, N. J. 08873
(201) 469-5576
ATTORNEY FOR

DEFENDANT, BOROUGH OF SOUTH PLAINFIELD

Plaintiff

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK,
ETC., ET AL,

vs.

Defendant

MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF
CARTERET, ET AL,

SUPERIOR COURT OF
NEW JERSEY
CHANCERY DIVISION
MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No. C 4122-73

CIVIL ACTION

**ORDER PERTAINING TO
DISCOVERY**

THIS MATTER BEING OPENED TO THE COURT ON APPLICATION OF
SANFORD E. CHERNIN, ESQ., ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT, BOROUGH OF
SOUTH PLAINFIELD, BEING JOINED IN BY ALL COUNSEL REPRESENTING
REMAINING DEFENDANTS-MUNICIPALITIES, AND DANIEL SEARING, ESQ.,
APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS IN OPPOSITION THERETO, AND
THE COURT HAVING READ AND CONSIDERED THE MOVING PAPERS TOGETHER
WITH THE ATTACHMENTS THERETO AND ARGUMENT OF COUNSEL; IT IS,
THEREFORE, ON THIS 21 DAY OF JANUARY, 1976,

O R D E R E D, THAT THE REQUEST BY THE DEFENDANT,
BOROUGH OF SOUTH PLAINFIELD, TO PRECLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY BY THE
PLAINTIFF FOR FAILURE TO SUBMIT EXPERT REPORTS BE AND IS HEREBY
DENIED; AND IT IS FURTHER,

O R D E R E D, THAT THE PLAINTIFFS SUPPLY REPORTS OF
EXPERTS IN SUFFICIENT TIME PRIOR TO TRIAL TO PERMIT THE TAKING OF
DEPOSITIONS OF SUCH EXPERTS AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY DEFENDANTS-
MUNICIPALITIES; AND IT IS FURTHER,

O R D E R E D, THAT THE REQUEST OF THE DEFENDANTS-
MUNICIPALITIES FOR AN ADJOURNMENT OF THE TRIAL DATE BE AND IS
HEREBY DENIED AT THIS TIME; AND IT IS FURTHER,

O R D E R E D, THAT A COPY OF THE WITHIN ORDER BE SERVED
UPON THE ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFFS AND THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE
DEFENDANTS-MUNICIPALITIES WITHIN ³SEVEN ~~(7)~~ DAYS OF THE DATE
HEREOF.

David D. Furman, J.S.C.

DAVID D. FURMAN, J.S.C.

GUIDO J. BRIGIANI
COUNSELLOR AT LAW
1 OAKLAND ROAD
JAMESBURG, NEW JERSEY 08831
(201) 521-0369

January 20, 1976

Hon. David D. Furman
Middlesex County Court House
P. O. Box 964
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, et als. vs.
Mayor and Council of the Borough of Carteret, et als
Docket No. 4122-73

Dear Judge Furman:

In response to a letter dated January 9, 1976 from Daniel A. Searing, Esq., attorney for the plaintiffs in the above, please be advised that the Borough of Spotswood and the Borough of Jamesburg object to the Court taking judicial notice of the designation of Middlesex County as a Standard Metropolitan Statistical area to the extent that such judicial notice shall be binding upon the Borough of Spotswood or the Borough of Jamesburg.

The Boroughs of Spotswood and Jamesburg hereby join in the objections of the Township of Cranbury as set forth in their letter of January 14, 1976.

Respectfully yours,


GUIDO J. BRIGIANI
Attorney for Borough of
Spotswood and Borough of
Jamesburg

GJB/en
cc: All attorneys of Record