

~~U.K. <sup>Carters</sup>~~

28 Sep. 1976

CA - S. Plainfield

Letter w/ Order attached

PI # 971

Pgs 3

CA 002149 (L)

RECEIVED

OCT 4 1976

*S. Plainfield*

CHERNIN AND FREEMAN  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
*Counsellors at Law*

SANFORD E. CHERNIN  
HOWARD FREEMAN

VILLAGE PLAZA SHOPPING CENTER  
1075 EASTON AVENUE  
SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY 08873  
(201) 828-7400

SEPTEMBER 28, 1976

TO ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

RE: URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK,  
ETC., ET AL V. BOROUGH OF CARTERET,  
ET AL

---

GENTLEMEN:

ENCLOSED HERewith PLEASE FIND PHOTOCOPY OF  
ORDER DENYING STAY OF THE JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE  
ENTITLED MATTER.

YOURS VERY TRULY,

  
SANFORD E. CHERNIN

SEC:WMK  
ENC.

CA0021490

FILED

SEP 28

DAVID O. FURMAN

CHERNIN & FREEMAN,  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

VILLAGE PLAZA SHOPPING CENTER  
1075 EASTON AVENUE  
SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY 08873  
(201) 828-7400

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT, MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF  
SOUTH PLAINFIELD

*Plaintiff*

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW  
BRUNSWICK, ET AL.,

vs.

*Defendant*

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE  
BOROUGH OF CARTERET, ET AL,

SUPERIOR COURT OF  
NEW JERSEY  
CHANCERY DIVISION  
MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No. C-4122-73

CIVIL ACTION

ORDER

THIS MATTER HAVING BEEN OPENED TO THE COURT ON JOINT APPLICATION OF THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY, TOWNSHIP OF EAST BRUNSWICK, TOWNSHIP OF MONROE, TOWNSHIP OF PISCATAWAY, TOWNSHIP OF PLAINSBORO, BOROUGH OF SAYREVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF SOUTH BRUNSWICK, AND BOROUGH OF SOUTH PLAINFIELD, AND DANIEL A. SEARING, ESQ., ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF, APPEARING IN OPPOSITION THERETO;

AND, WHEREAS, VARIOUS APPEALS AND CROSS APPEALS HAVE BEEN FILED WITH THE APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPERIOR COURT FROM A JUDGMENT OF THIS COURT HERETOFORE ENTERED ON JULY 9, 1976, WHICH

JUDGMENT DIRECTED THE VARIOUS MUNICIPALITIES TO ADOPT NEW ZONING ORDINANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DIRECTIVE CONTAINED IN SAID JUDGMENT;

AND, WHEREAS, THE MOVING PARTIES SEEK AN ORDER OF THIS COURT STAYING THE EFFECTIVENESS AND OPERATION OF THIS COURT'S JUDGMENT OF JULY 9, 1976 PENDING THE OUTCOME OF THE VARIOUS APPEALS FILED WITH THE APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPERIOR COURT;

AND, WHEREAS, THIS COURT HAS READ AND CONSIDERED THE MOVING PAPERS TOGETHER WITH THE AFFIDAVITS AND DOCUMENTS ANNEXED THERETO AND HAVING GIVEN DUE CONSIDERATION TO THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY ALL COUNSEL;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ON THIS 28 DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1976, O R D E R E D THAT THE MOTIONS MADE BY THE VARIOUS MUNICIPAL DEFENDANTS FOR A STAY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS COURT ENTERED ON JULY 9, 1976 BE AND THE SAME IS HEREBY DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THE RIGHTS OF ANY MUNICIPALITY TO SEEK AN EXTENSION OF THE TIME FOR COMPLIANCE BY PRESENTING SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES TO THIS COURT ON SEPARATE APPLICATION; AND,

IT IS FURTHER O R D E R E D THAT A COPY OF THIS ORDER BE SERVED UPON ALL INTERESTED PARTIES WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS OF THE DATE HEREOF.

*David D. Furman, J.S.C.*

---

DAVID D. FURMAN, J.S.C.