ARCA Old Bridge 3- Aug -1981 O&TV. Old Bridge

Ordering quartiz Defendants Motion for particl sammay judgment with respect to parts of counts SIX, Swa, Eight, Nine, Hen i elever

CA 002325 0

Pyr = 2

CA002325O

YACKER, GRANATA & CLEARY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 210 MAIN STREET / P. O. BOX 389 MATAWAN, NEW JERSEY 07747 (201) 583-3636 ATTORNEYS FOR Defendant

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION MIDDLESEX COUNTY

O & Y OLD BRIDGE DEVELOPMENT CORP.

vs.

Defendant

Plaintiff

THE TOWNSHIP OF OLD BRIDGE, et als.

Docket No.L-32516-80

CIVIL ACTION

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court on June 19, 1981 by Yacker, Granata, and Cleary (Louis E. Granata, Esquire appearing), attorneys for defendant, Old Bridge Township Sewerage Authority (herein Sewer Authority), with William E. Flynn, Esquire appearing for the Old Bridge Township Municipal Utilities Authority (herein Utility Authority) and Brener, Wallack & Hill (Henry Hill, Esquire and Guliet D. Hirsch, Esquire) appearing for the O & Y Old Bridge Development Corporation on Defendant, Sewer Authority and Defendant, Utility Authority's Motion for Summary Judgment on all of Plaintiff's claims, and the Court having considered the moving papers and argument of counsel; IT IS on this 3, 1 day of August , 1981; ORDERED that:

1. Defendant's, Sewer Authority, Motion for Summary Judgment as to the allegations contained in <u>Sixth Count</u> of the Complaint is granted as to all issues except: "Whether the application and inspection fees contained in the Sewer Authority's rules and regulations are reasonable?" To that issue, denied.

2. Defendant's, Sewer Authority, Motion for Summary Judgment on the allegations of <u>Seventh Count</u> is denied.

3. Defendant's, Sewer Authority, Motion for Summary Judgment as to the allegations of the <u>Tenth and Eleventh Count</u> of the Complaint is carried until July 2, 1981.

4. Defendant's, Utility Authority, Motion for Summary Judgment as to the allegations contained in the <u>Eighth Count</u> is granted as to all issues except: "Whether the application and inspection fees contained in the Utilities Authority rules and regulations are reasonable?" To that issue, denied.

5. Defendant's, Utility Authority, Motion for Summary Judgment as to the allegations contained in the <u>Ninth Count</u> is granted as to all issues except: "Whether the Utility Authority has an obligation of duty, to apply to the State Water Policy and Supply Council for water diversion rights?" To that issue, denied.

6. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to preclude the amendment of any pleading to conform to the proofs.or to later discovered evidence.

J. S. J. Norris Hardi

ية جوري_{ين} ج