

UL v. Carteret, Old Bridge

June 9, 1987

Attorney correspondence re appointment of a master

pg. 1

CA 002389L

**NORMAN AND KINGSBURY**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
JACKSON COMMONS  
SUITE A-2  
30 JACKSON ROAD  
MEDFORD, NEW JERSEY 08055

THOMAS NORMAN  
ROBERT E. KINGSBURY

T. N. (609)654-5220  
R. E. K. (609)654-1778

June 9, 1987

Thomas Hall, Esq.  
Brenier Wallack & Hill  
210 Carnegie Center  
Princeton, NJ 08543-5226


Re: O&Y et al vs. Old Bridge Township et al

Dear Tom:

In your letter of June 8th to Judge Serpentelli you refer to the appointment of a Court Master and a Master's report on the factual issues. The Planning Board position is that a Court Master is not needed nor is a Master's report on the factual issues required to resolve the motion of the Planning Board and Township to set aside the settlement. We believe that based upon the wetlands analysis submitted by O&Y and Woodhaven and based upon legal arguments concerning the impact of the wetlands analysis on the viability of the settlement the court will be in a position to decide the motions.

In any event, June 25th at 10 AM is available and we shall see you then.

Sincerely yours,



Thomas Norman, Esq.

TN:gk

CC: Service List