Old Bridge 10- Alay 19 85

Stengraphie Transcript of the Court's decision

193 8.

A

QA002570S



SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION - OCEAN COUNTY DOCKET NO. C-4122-73

DOCKET NO. C-4122-RECEIVED

JUL 12 1985

O & Y OLD BRIDGE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,

NUDGE SERPENIELLI'S CHAMBERS

Plaintiff

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT

vs.

0

TOWNSHIP OF OLD BRIDGE,

THE COURT'S DECISION

Defendant

and

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK,

11

12

13

14

10

8

1

2

3

Plaintiff

vs.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF BOROUGH OF CARTERET,

15

Defendant -

16

Place: Ocean County Courthouse

Toms River, New Jersey

18

17

Date: May 10, 1985

19

20

HONORABLE EUGENE D. SERPENTELLI, J.S.C.

2,1

TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:

22

DEAN A. GAVER, ESQ.

23

24

-

25

CA002570S

1 APPEARANCES:

2

3

4

11

12

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

BRENER, WALLACK & HILL, ESQS., Attorneys for Woodhaven Village BY: RONALD L. SHIMANOWITZ, ESQ. THOMAS J. HALL, ESQ.

THOMAS J. HALL, ESQ. HENRY A. HILL, JR., ESQ.

HANNOCH, WEISMAN, STERN, BESSER, BERKOWITZ & KINNEY, ESQS.
Attorneys for O & Y

6 BY: DEAN A. GAVER, ESQ.

7 HUTT, BERKOW & JANOWSKI, ESQS.
Attorneys for Woodhaven Village
BY: STEWART M. HUTT, ESQ.

9 MEZEY & MEZEY, ESQS.
Attorneys for Kaplan
BY: FREDERICK C. MEZEY, ESQ.
JEFFREY L. SHANABERGER, ESQ.

NATIONAL COMMITTEE AGAINST DISCRIMINATION IN HOUSING Attorneys for the Plaintiff Urban League of Greater

New Brunswick
BY: ERIC NEISSER, ESQ.
JOHN PAYNE, ESQ.

HEILBRUNN, FINELSTEIN, HEILBRUNN, ALFONSO & GOLDSTEIN, ESQS. Attorneys for the Intervenors
BY: JONATHAN M. HEILBRUNN, ESQ.

MEYNER & LANDIS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Trafalgar Square Developers and 516 Realty, Inc.
BY: WILLIAM A. L. ECKSTEIN, ESQ.

THOMAS NORMAN, ESQ.

Attorney for Old Bridge Planning Board

MICHAEL A. NOTO, ESQ.
Attorney for Township of Old Bridge

ANTONIO & FLYNN, ESQS.
Attorneys for Old Bridge Municipal Utilities Authority
BY: WILLIAM E. FLYNN, ESQ.

A. J. KARCHER, ESQ.
Attorney for Edward Rondinelli
BY: LOUIS N. RAINONE, ESQ.

APPEARANCES: (cont'd)

GASIOROWSKI & ZAPCIC, ESQS.
Attorneys for Gatlif Builders and Charles Vanover, Inc.
BY: RONALD S. GASIOROWSKI, ESQ.

RAYMOND R. & ANN W. TROMBADORE, ESQS. Attorneys for Foxborough (Not a party) BY: RAYMOND R. TROMBADORE, ESQ.

.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

I have read these papers thoroughly and I feel no need for oral argument. I will permit oral argument after I have ruled, if you would like.

I think the motion was entirely premature and expended a great deal of the Court's time, which could have been accomplished, or which could have been utilized for more positive purposes.

Had there been a request for a case conference, so that I could read the riot act, if that was necessary, and I don't find it necessarily is, but if it was, it would have been honored.

Had there been a request that I ask the master to report as she did today, it would have been honored. Had there been any indication here that there was a fall down in an effort to comply, the Court would have pressed it.

Now, there is some concern, on the part of the Court, that we haven't moved as quickly as we should. I don't mean to indicate that this town has done everything I would like it to do, but a lot of towns have not.

The relief sought is extremely drastic, as is evidenced by the responses received from numerous property owners in the town. I get the impression

that this town is moving, be it grudgingly or not, towards its recognition of its fair share, and if I am wrong, there is a remedy for that.

I think that the way to go about this is not by way of a motion of this magnitude, which has compelled the Court to spend a great deal of time reviewing the papers and, I think, lost time and lost sight of the real issue involved.

Nobody really gains much by these type of motions, and I am not so sure that, even as a leverage mechanism, it accomplishes much.

I am going to deny the motion, there being no showing whatsoever on the basis under the rules applicable to a restraining order or in the principles of law, that any of them are satisfied.

On the other hand, I want it to be clear that we really can't tolerate too much additional delay here, and this thing has got to get wrapped up.

I am going to direct that the direct parties in interest here remain when I am finished, and I don't think that's an imposition, because I have just saved an hour's oral argument or more, and that's why I moved as I did, and that they stay to discuss with Miss Lerman the present status of the matter, pin down specifically the questions that she has and

^ · · .

chart a future course and set specific deadlines for completion of those things that have to be done.

I am not going to try to set them, based on oral argument, but if you can't agree, I would ask then that you come back into Court and I will hear the master's recommendations and the comments with regard to them, and that that deadline or those deadlines will be specifically and strictly adhered to.

If at anytime in the future the master should be of the impression that the township is failing to abide by the deadlines in good faith, she is now, and on the record, to inform the Court with notice to all the parties. Otherwise, if the matter proceeds, these types of motions will not be entertained.

Of course, all of the unfortunate property owners who had to obtain counsel to be here present, they had to do nothing with that aspect of the matter, but nonetheless, the application for restraint, of course, is denied, and I would ask that until I complete the other aspect of this motion, of course, counsel for the individual owners, are released.

Urban League has another aspect of the motion, but the other parties can take the small room out in the hallway and start discussions, and

0=

then as soon as I can clear the Court of those motions remaining, if you are too cramped, you can come in here and complete your work.

So, Miss Lerman, the Urban League is going to be tied up a little bit and a few of the parties until we take care of the other motions.

Anyone else is free to go.

I guess everybody feels they have an interest in this next motion. It did not appear to me that way.

CERTIFICATE

I, JUDITH R. MARINKE, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey,
do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of the proceedings as recorded by me
stenographically at the time and place hereinbefore set
forth.

gudiel R Manna

JUDITH R. MARINKE, C.S.R. License No. XI00392

Dated: July 11, 1985