

South Plainfield 1986

Letter re: UL objection to approval of the American Cellular Network Corporation application

Pg. 1

ML000354L

School of Law-Newark • Constitutional Litigation Clinic  
S.I. Newhouse Center For Law and Justice  
15 Washington Street • Newark • New Jersey 07102-3192 • 201/648-5687

February 28, 1986


William V. Lane, Esq.  
324 East Broad Street  
Westfield, NJ 07091

Dear Mr. Lane:

Please be advised that the Urban League objects to approval of the American Cellular Network Corporation application. As set forth in our letter of November 27, 1985, it is our view that the zoning ordinance does not permit such a tower as a primary use. Nor is the tower "incident" to any permitted use. Moreover, the proposed use will not be subject to the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, as required by the Ordinance. Finally, we remain concerned about possible health hazards posed by the tower and submit that the burden should be on American Cellular to clearly establish that the tower will not pose such risks.

Furthermore, we continue to object to the Buccellato application because it fails to provide for the mandatory 20% set aside required by the Judgment.

Very truly yours,



cc/Mr. Sal Buccellato  
Frank Santoro, Esq.  
Peter Calderone, Esq.  
Angelo Dalto, Esq.  
John George, Esq.  
Donald Daines, Esq.  
Ms. Carla Lerman