


ML - Cranbury
(Morris v. Cranbury)

3 - Aug - 1984

Order Denying Leave to Apply for Builder's Remedy, etc.

Pg. 3

ML0004610



WARREN, GOLDBERG, BERMAN* LUBITZ
 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
 112 NASSAU STREET
 P. O. BOX 645
 PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08542
 (609) 924-8900

ATTORNEYS FOR Plaintiff, Garfield & Company

JOSEPH MORRIS and ROBERT MORRIS,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY IN THE
 COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX, a
 municipal corporation of the
 State of New Jersey,

Defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
 LAW DIVISION
 MIDDLESEX COUNTY
 DOCKET NO. L-54117-83 P.W.
 CONSOLIDATED WITH:
 C-4122-73
 L-55956-83 P.W.
 L-59643-83 P.W.
 L-58046-83 P.W.
 L-70841-83 P.W.
 L-79309-83 P.W.
 L-5652-83 P.W.

CIVIL ACTION

(Mount Laurel)
 Assigned to the Honorable
 Eugene D. Serpentelli, J.S.C.
 by Order of the New Jersey *
 Supreme Court

ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO APPLY
 FOR BUILDER'S REMEDY, ETC.

THIS MATTER having been opened by telephone conference to the Court by McCarthy and Schatzman, P.A., attorneys for plaintiffs, Joseph and Robert Mqtris, (Richard Schatzman, Esquire) on an application for an Order permitting amendment of the Complaint, or alternatively, participation in the builder's remedy portion of Urban League of Greater New Brunswick v. Carteret, et al. action (Docket No. C-4122-73) and other actions against Cranbury Township previously consolidated therewith, and with the participation of the following counsel: William C. Moran, Jr., Esquire of Huff, Moran & Balint, Esqs., attorneys for defendant Township of Cranbury; Joseph L. Stonaker, Esquire of Stonaker & Stonaker, Esqs. for defendant Township of Cranbury Planning Board;

William L. Warren, Esquire, of Warren, Goldberg, Berman & Lubitz, Esqs., attorneys for plaintiff, Garfield & Company; John N. Payne, Esquire for Eric Neisser, Esquire, attorneys for plaintiff Urban League; and Guliet F. Hirsch, Esquire of Brener, Wallack & Hill, Esqs. attorneys for plaintiff Toll Brothers; and the Court having considered both oral and written responses and argument of opposing counsel and having considered the moving and responding papers submitted on behalf of the parties, and good cause appearing for the entry of, this Order;

IT IS on this 3 day of ^ * #U . 1984, ORDERED that: [


Plaintiffs Robert and Joseph Morris are denied amendment of the Complaint and participation in the builder's remedy portion of Urban League of Greater New Brunswick v. Carteret, et al. action (Docket No. C-4122-73) and other actions against Cranbury Township previously consolidated therewith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs Joseph and Robert Morris are to be given, full notice of any hearings to be held with respect to revising and amending defendant Cranbury Township's Land Use Ordinances and said plaintiffs will be given an opportunity to participate in any such hearings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all plaintiffs who have qualified for a Mount Laurel builder's remedy shall have preference with respect to Mount Laurel construction over Joseph and Robert Morris.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs Joseph and Robert Morris are permitted to submit to defendant Cranbury Township and/or the defendant Planning Board of Cranbury Township, and/or a master, if one is appointed by the Court, any proposal these plaintiffs have with respect to so-called Mount Laurel construction with respect to its parcel of land.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any copies of any proposed land use ordinances revisions or amendments that result from the revision process shall be supplied to plaintiffs Joseph and Robert Morris.


Eugene D. Seffpentelli, J.S.C.