AND L-6001-79 PW, et. a) 11/13/85 Morris County Fair House Council

Morris Country Four House Council V. Bounday Township

Order granting leave to appeal to NJ Supreme Ct

Pa I

MLCC04940

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY A-125 September Term 1985

| et al., | 24,783 | ML0004940 |
|--|-------------------|-----------|
| Plaintiffs, | | |
| v. | (L-6001-78 P.W.) | |
| BOONTON TOWNSHIP, et al., | | |
| Defendants. | | |
| AFFORDABLE LIVING CORPORATION, INC., a New Jersey Corporation, | | |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, | | |
| v. · | (L-42898-84 P.W.) | |
| MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE TOWNSHIP OF DENVILLE, | | |
| Defendant-Appellant. | | |
| ANGELO CALI, | | |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, | | |
| v. | (L-55343-85 P.W.) | |
| THE TOWNSHIP OF DENVILLE, etc., et al., | | |
| Defendants-Appellants. | | |
| SIEGLER ASSOCIATES, etc., | | |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, | | |
| v. | (L-29176-84 P.W.) | |
| MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE TOWNSHIP OF DENVILLE, | | |
| Defendant-Appellant. | | |
| MAURICE SOUSSA and ESTHER H. SOUSSA, | | |
| Plaintiffs-Respondents, | | |
| v. | (L-38694-84 P.W.) | |
| THE TOWNSHIP OF DENVILLE, etc., et al | L., | |
| Defendants-Appellants. | | |

STONEHENGE ASSOCIATES,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

(L-86053-84 P.W.)

THE TOWNSHIP OF DENVILLE, etc et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

It is ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 2:12-1, the motion for leave to appeal from the Order of the Superior Court, Law Division, Morris County, entered in this cause on October 28, 1965, and now pending in the Superior Court, Appellate Division, is hereby certified directly to this Court; and it is further

ORDERED that leave to appeal is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of the Appellate Division shall transmit briefs, appendices, and transcripts filed in that court to the Clerk of this Court, and all parties shall forthwith file with the Clerk of this Court four additional copies of all briefs and appendices that have previously been filed with the Appellate Division; and it is further

ORDERED that jurisdiction in these matters otherwise remains in the Superior Court, Law Division; provided, however, that any party may make an application to the Law Division to stay further proceedings in that court pending the resolution of the within appeal and provided further that direct review of the disposition of such a stay application may be sought from this Court by any aggrieved party.

WITNESS, the Honorable Robert N. Wilentz, Chief Justice, at Trenton, on this 13th day of November, 1985.

CLBfcK OF THE SUPREME COURT