Judge reprimands counsel to
Submitt arguments why he should not
mark as brithdrawn motions to
reconside the shalls decision of the
Court bit regard to woodbridge.

pg = 2

MLCCC 53/L

CHAMBERS OF JUDGE EUGENE D. SERPENTELLI ASSIGNMENT JUDGE



OCEAN COUNTY COURT HOUSE C.N. 2191 TOMS RIVER, N.J. 08754

November 13, 1987

Thomas Jay Hall, Esquire Brener, Wallack & Hill 210 Carnegie Center Princeton, New Jersey 08543-5226

Stewart M. Hutt, Esquire Hutt, Berkow & Jankowski Park Professional Building 459 Amboy Avenue P. O. Box 648 Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095

Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick et al«. v. Township of Old Bridge et al.

Docket No. L-009837-84-PW

Dear Counsel:

I continue to hold two (2) Motions filed by Messrs. Hall and Hutt for Reconsideration with regard to the Old Bridge decision. Pursuant to the request of counsel, I have withheld assigning a date to those Motions so that counsel may confer. Frankly, I see no basis for the Motions in the first instance and it is my intention to mark them withdrawn unless, by letter response, either Mr. Hall or Mr. Hutt or both can *provide some preliminary argument as to why

(Continued)
Messrs. Thomas Jay Hall
and Stewart M. Hutt

November 13, 1987

the court should reconsider.

Very truly yours,

Eugene D. Serpentelli
// A.J.S.C.

EDS:toe

cc: Thomas Norman, Esquire,
Norman & Kingsbury
Frederick C. Mezey, Esquire,
Mezey & Mezey
Dean Gaver, Esquire,
Hannoch Weisman
Barbara Stark, Esquire,
Rutgers School of Law
Jerome J. Convery, Esquire
William Flynn, Esquire,
Antonio & Flynn
George Raymond,
Court-appointed Master