ML Piscotaway July 1984

Expert Report prepared for the purpose of assisting is establishing housing density.

Pp = 5

MLCCC 548E

QUEALE ft LYNCH

INCORPORATED

PROFESSIONAL PLANNERS AND HOUSING CONSULTANTS

JOHN J. LYNCH, P P. A I C P WILLIAM QUEALE. JR., P P. A I C P

PLANNING REPORT

2210 YARDLEY ROAD YARDLEY. PA 19067 45 NOREEN DRIVE

45 NOREEN DRIVE MORRISVILLE. PA 19067 215-736-0081

P. O. BOX 2324 TRENTON, NJ 08007 6O9-392-2324

HOUSING DENSITY ANALYSIS

For: Leonard Lange

Property Located at Old New Brunswick Road near Stelton Road
Piscataway Township, New Jersey

PLEASE REPLY TO:

July, 1984

John J. Lyncy, PP #19, AICE

Introduction

This report is prepared for Leonard Lange for the purpose of assisting in establishing housing densities for multifamily development. The property included in this analysis consists of about 16 acres located in the south-westerly portion of a triangular-shaped block which is bounded by a railroad freight line to the south, Old New Brunswick Road and Stelton Road. While the property involved is known as Block 319, Lot 1A, and Block 317, Lot 11B, many of the findings and conclusions contained herein apply equally to the balance of Block 317, which includes 4 additional parcels over 5 acres in size. These 4 parcels total about 35 acres and are either undeveloped or have no more than a single family dwelling located on them.

Part of the premise of this density analysis is that 20 percent of the units would be made available to households of low and moderate income, as defined by the New Jersey Supreme Court in the Mount Laurel II decision. Further, it is my understanding that a preliminary determination has been made by the court-appointed Master, Carla Lerman, PP, that this location has been determined to be suitable for the construction of housing which would include low and moderate income families. With this basic determination in place, and under the assumption that not all locations determined to be appropriate for low and moderate income housing should be assigned the same density, this report establishes a density rationale for this area.

Site Characteristics

The block in which the property is located is essentially flat, with no critical environmental limitations. There is one small area which is poorly draxaed^—Xt-Xs^lQcated near the tracks. ^aeTeTm^aTtoff^TTI^have^o be made as to thT proper method^^TTanaTing^on-site drainage in the vicinity of this small low area, but it is likely that this area will become part of a detention basin, which would berequired for development in any evenFI

There are some wooded areas in the block, particularly along Old New Brunswick Road. While the woods need to be thinned out, there are some desirable trees which could be saved and worked into the landscaping for new development.

pp

The railroad located along the southerly boundary is alightly used freight linejghich should have little or no effect on theuse of, the property for residential purposes. It provides an effective separation of the site from industrial uses to the south.

Vereffre

Old New Brunswick Road and Stelton Road are important traffic carriers. While additional comments are offered later in this report on Old New Brunswick Road, an important item to point out as a part of the site analysis is that there is a traffic light located at the North Randolphville Road intersection, which is just north of the railroad on Old New Brunswick Road. This J: jraffie light would provide a cootroll^djcje_s8_jgoint^for site-related traffic.

The only area of established single family homes in this block is along Stelton Road. The Old New Brunswick Road frontage is essentially undeveloped, with only one or two single family dwellings found between the railroad and the Stelton Road traffic light.

Neighborhood Characteristics

The properties involved are generally oriented to Old New Brunswick Road, which is developed in garden apartments along the opposite side of the street. The R-M zoning found in that area allows apartment development at op to 15 units per acre, with no requirement for low and moderate income housing.

Along Stelton Road, there are single family dwellings, but the pattern of small lot single family homes is most strongly established between Stelton Road and Washington Avenue.

On Stelton Road north of the intersection with Old New Brunswick Road is a neighborhood commercial area which offers a variety of commercial, financial and professional services within walking distance of the proposed multifamily housing.

Two schools are also within walking distance, one of which is located in the same block but facing Stelton Road, while the other is along North Randolphville Road only a few hundred feet from the traffic light at Old New Brunswick Road.

The properties involved are located near Interstate 287, but more importantly they offer housing opportunities in a residential area which is very conveniently located near the many employment centers along Route 287 in Piscataway Township.

Planning Considerations

The Piscataway Township Master Plan, adopted in October, 1983, recommends certain improvements to Old New Brunswick Road in the vicinity of Route 287. It calls for widening the bridge over 287 to 4 lanes, and calls for establishing a full interchange at that location rather than the partial access available at present. It also calls for a different alignment of Route 18 through the township, falling along Hoes Lane and Old New Brunswick Road south of Route 287, as one alternative. Full interchanges are also called for in the Master Plan at South Randolphville Road and South Washington Avenue, all of which are located near the properties in question.

.8 noted earlier in this report, the R-M District located across from this site is developed in multifamily in a district which permits a gross density of 15 units per acre, as indicated in the township zoning ordinance.

An amendment to the ordinance in November, 1983, established an R-20A District, which has a base density of 8 units per acre, with a bonus density of 2 per acre if 20 percent of the units are low and moderate income. Assuming some form of that designation would apply to this site because of its highly desirable development characteristics, it is suggested that the bonus provisions of the R-20A are inadequate to provide an incentive for the construction of lower cost housing. If a developer is faced with the prospect of building market rate housing at 8/acre, and sees the possibility of developing two additional units per acre, but only for for lower income households, the property would generate a lower profit margin because of the internal subsidy involved, and there may be a marketing problem presented by the presence of lower income units. All things considered, it is unlikely that the addition of only 2 units per acre would offer any incentive for a private developer, and therefore does not make the development of lower cost housing realistically achievable. In fact, bonuses would have to be significant in order to serve as an incentive to builders. While there is no hard evidence of the amount of bonus needed to become attractive, it is clear that it would have to offer the development of additional market rate units in order to overcome the negative financial and marketing aspects of developing lower cost housing.

Density Recommendations

With a density of 15 units per acre across Old New Brunswick Road, the presence of high intensity employment centers to the south, direct access to both Old New Brunswick Road and Stelton Road, adequ rvices, and the presence of nearby shopping, this area^o"freTrsal1 the ingredients necessary to warrant high density development.

In attempting to define high density, it could be related to the 15 units per acre which served as the basis for the apartment development across from the site. However, much of that development occurred with a high percentage of one bedroom units, which allows for more housing units and lower impact than those with a higher proportion of larger units. At the same time, the gross density of 8 units per acre set forth in the R-20A District, even with the density bonus of 2/acre, offers a gross density which is only two-thirds that of the apartments.

Within the context of other sites under consideration for Mount Laurel II housing in the township, this site appears to offer locational advantages not found in many other areas. As a result, it should receive the highest density designation permitted. Assuming a base density of 8 units per acre, as set forth in the R-20A District, it is not unreasonable to look toward either a 50% density bonus for providing 20% percent of the units as low and moderate income, or a g3K^^^^^^^^©i_i2flcTexwith a mandatory setaside of 20 percent. By assigning this highe?Tenstt"y~°Co*The desirable sites in the township, and establishing lower densities, say a gross of 6 units per acre on the less desirable sites, it will allow the township to accommodate more units than would be possible if the maximum gross density were set as low as 10/acre, as provided in the R-20A.

SCERBO, KOBIN, LITWIN & WOLFF

COUNSELLORS AT LAW
IO PARK PLACE
MOnmsTowM, N. J. oraeo
S36-4220
AREA COOC «O»

ARTHUR R. KOBIN
JACK L. WOLrr
LAWRENCE B. LITWIN
MCMMR OF MOT TOME AND
NEW JERSEY BAR

FRANK C. SCERBO LOUIS S. FREEMAN or COUN««U

HILARY B. ROSENBERG

June 28, 198[^]

Ms. Carla Lerman
Bergen County Housing Authority
190 Moore Street
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Re: Urban League of Greater New Brunswick, et. al. v. Borough of Carteret and Township of Piscataway, et. al.

Dear Ms. Lerman:

Judge Serpentelli has authorized me to communicate with you with respect to the Langes¹ property which is located in Piscataway. I understand that you are evaluating the vacant land in Piscataway for the Court in the context of the above litigation. The Langes are the owners of Lot 1AQ in Block 319 in the Township of Piscataway as well as being the contract purchaser of an adjoining parcel of land, Lot 11B in Block 317. The properties contain approximately *l*& acres. The property is located in the R-20 zone in the Township of Piscataway.

At the time of adoption of the R-20A zoning ordinance in Piscataway, I appeared **and** requested that the Lange property be placed in the R-2QA zone. The property should be placed in the R-20A zone for the following reasons:

- 1. The property is readily accessible to local shopping areas.
- 2. The property is within walking distance of an existing school.
- **3. The property** is directly across the street from numerous multiple family dwellings.
- **. The property is readily accessible to major highway arteries.
- 5. The land is essentially developable
- 6. An appropriate scheme of development would attempt to preserve and restore an existing building which has historical significance.

Philip Caton has been counseling Mr. Lange and will be supplementing my remarks. Mr. Caton is presently on vacation.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or Mr. Caton.

Very truly yours,

LAWRENCE B. LITWIN

LBLrsbr

cc: All counsel Philip Caton Leonard Lange