Piscotara 18-Ja-1985 D's Notice of Motion for Leave to appeal on Interlocutor Orde and In Stay of Enforcement Pendig appel.

pg= = 3

MLCCO563N EOM3

## **KIRSTEN, FRIEDMAN & CHERIN**

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

17 ACADEMY STREET NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (201) 623-3600 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, TOWNSHIP OF PISCATAWAY SUPREME, COURT OF NEW JERSEY URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, ET AL., DOCKET NO. Plaintiff/Appellee, CIVIL ACTION vs. THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET, ET AL., NOTICE OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL AN INTERLOCUTORY ORDER Defendant/Appellant. AND FOR STAY OF ENFORCEMENT PENDING APPEAL

TO: Mr. Stephen W. Townsend Clerk Supreme Court of New Jersey Trenton, New Jersey 08625

> Barbara Williams, Esq. Constitutional Litigation Clinic Rutgers Law School 15 Washington Street Newark, New Jersey 07102

> > And the second s

National Committee Against Discrimination in Housing 733 15th Street N.W. Suite 102B Washington, D. C. 20005

The Honorable Melvin P. Antell
The Honorable James H. Coleman
The Honorable Arthur J. Simpson, Jr.
Appellate Division
Hughes Justice Complex
CN 006
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

The Honorable Eugene D. Serpentelli Superior Court of New Jersey Ocean County Court House CN 2191 Toms River, New Jersey 08753

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on a date and time to be set by the Court, the undersigned, Attorneys for the Defendant/
Appellant, Township of Piscataway (herein "Piscataway") will move for an Order (a) granting Piscataway leave to appeal an Interlocutory Order dated December 11, 1984, issued by the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division (Middlesex/Ocean Counties) restraining Piscataway from granting preliminary or final approval or taking any other actions with respect to the development of any site within the Township of Piscataway designated as "suitable" for Mount Laurel development in the rjeport of Carla Lerman, the Court-appointed expert, (b) staying

enforcement of the Order of the Court below pending appeal, and (c) reversing an Order of the Appellate Division, filed January 14, 1985, denying leave to appeal and stay of enforcement pending appeal.

The basis for the within application is that the relief granted by the Trial is overly broad and will cause irreparable harm to Piscataway, and further, that an interlocutory appeal is required in the interests of justice, as is more particularly set forth in the accompanying Brief in Support of Motion for Leave to Appeal an Interlocutory Order and for Stay of Enforcement Pending Appeal, previously submitted to the Appellate Division, and on Certification of Phillip Lewis Paley, Esq., dated January 18, 1985, submitted herewith.

KIRSTEN, FRIEDMAN & CHERIN
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT/APPELLANT,
TOWNSHIP^OE PISCATAWAY

1 1/2000

PHILLIP ĻEWIS PALEY

DATED: January 18, 1985