Order denying all motions to relating to the builders remedia constanted without prejudice

pg. 6

UL 000849 J

RDER 3-28-85

HUFF, MORAN & BALINT
Cranbury - South River Road
Cranbury, N.J. 08512
(609) 655-3600
Attorneys for Defendant, Township
Committee of the Township of Cranbury

Plaintiff,

LAWRENCE ZIRINSKY,

v.

Defendants,

THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY, A Municipal Corporation, and THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY

Plaintiffs,

JOSEPH MORRIS and ROBERT MORRIS,

v.

Defendants,

TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY IN THE COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX, a municipal corporation of the State of New Jersey

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX
COUNTY

Docket No. L 079309-83 P.W.

Civil Action

Docket No. L 054117-83

Plaintiffs,
GARFIELD & COMPANY,

v.

Docket No. L 055956-83 P.W.

Defendants,

MAYOR AND THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY, a municipal Corporation, and the members thereof; PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY, and the members thereof.

Plaintiffs,

CRANBURY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a Corporation of the State of New Jersey,

Ÿ,

Docket No. L 59643-83

Defendants,

CRANBURY TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD and the TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY,

Plaintiffs,

BROWNING-FERRIS INDUSTRIES OF SOUTH JERSEY, INC., A corporation of the State of New Jersey, RICHCRETE CONCRETE COMPANY, a corporation of the State of New Jersey and MID-STATE FILIGREE SYSTEMS, INC., a Corporation of the State of New Jersey,

v.

Defendants,

CRANBURY TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD and THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY,

Docket No. L 058046-83 P.W.

Plaintiff,

URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, et al.

v.

CHANCERY DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No. L 070841-83 P.W.

Docket No. C 4122-73

Defendants,

THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET, et al.

Plaintiff,

Defendants,

CRANBURY LAND COMPANY, a New Jersey Limited Partnership,

. v.

CRANBURY TOWNSHIP, a municipal corporation of the State of New Jersey located in Middlesex County, New Jersey

Plaintiff,

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.

Docket No. L 005652-84

v.

Defendant, TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY IN THE COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX, A municipal corporation of the State of New Jersey, THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY and THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWNSHIP OF CRANBURY.

This matter being opened to the court on plaintiff, Garfield's motion for an order declaring it to be entitled to a builder's remedy and for a further order declaring it to be entitled to a first priority among those plaintiff builders entitled to a builder's remedy and on plaintiff Zirinsky's motion for an order declaring him entitled to a builder's remedy and on plaintiff Cranbury Land Company's motion for an order declaring it entitled to a builder's remedy and on Toll Brother's motion for an order declaring it entitled to a builder's remedy and on the motion of Silbert for permission to intervene as party plaintiff and on the motion of Morris Brothers for an order permitting them to assert a claim for a builder's remedy and William Warren, Esq. appearing and arguing in favor of the Garfield motions, and Michael Herbert, Esq. appearing and arguing in favor of the Zirinsky motion, and Carl Bisgaier, Esq., appearing and arguing in favor of the Cranbury Land Company motion, and Guliet D. Hirsch, Esq., appearing and arguing in favor of the Toll Brothers motion, and Steven Barcan, Esq., appearing and arguing in favor of Silbert's motion, and W. Scott Stoner, Esq. appearing and arguing in favor of Morris Brothers motion, and John Payne, Esq., of the Rutgers Constitutional Litigation Clinic appearing in opposition to Silbert's motion to interVene and in support of the Garfield, Zirinsky and Cranbury

***Lord Darther's remain Suffered to the Motion and William

C. Moran, Jr., Esq. appearing on behalf of the defendant,

Township of Cranbury in opposition to the motions for

builders remedies, and Harry Pozycki, Esq. having filed a

brief on behalf of the amici, Cranbury Historical and Preservation

Society, and Cranbury Landmarks, Inc. having filed an affidavit

and brief in opposition to the motions for builders remedies,

and the court having considered the papers filed and the

arguments of counsel, IT IS

ON THIS 29 day of March, 1985 ORDERED as follows:

- 1. All motions relating to the builders remedies are denied without prejudice.
- 2. Silbert's motion to intervene is granted on the limited basis that it may present testimony and participate in the trial on the limited question of whether or not the proposed rezoning of its property creates a realistic opportunity for the construction of low and moderate income housing on that site.
- 3. The motion of Morris Brothers is denied with the proviso that Morris Brothers will be permitted to continue to participate in this suit on the limited basis set forth in the court's order of August 3, 1984.

EUGENE D. SERPENTELLI A.J.S.C.

