ML - Marris County Fair Housing Council v. Boenton Tup Sepostion of Alan Mallach

5/18/79

PX

WL0008739

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MORRIS COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-6001-78 P.W.

MORRIS COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, MORRIS COUNTY BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE and STANLEY C. VAN NESS, PUBLIC ADVOCATE OF THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY.

ML000873G

:

Plaintiffs,

DEPOSITION

vs.

OF ALAN MALLACH

BOONTON TOWNSHIP, CHATHAM TOWNSHIP, CHESTER TOWNSHIP, DENVILLE TOWNSHIP, EAST HANOVER TOWNSHIP, FLORHAM PARK BOROUGH, HANOVER TOWNSHIP, HARDING TOWNSHIP, JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP, KINNELON BOROUGH, LINCOLN PARK BOROUGH, MAD ISON BOROUGH, MENDHAM BOROUGH, MENDHAM TOWNSHIP, MONTVILLE TOWNSHIP, MORRIS TOWNSHIP, MORRIS PLAINS BOROUGH, MOUNTAIN LAKES BOROUGH, MOUNT OLIVE TOWNSHIP, PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TOWNSHIP. PASSAIC TOWNSHIP, PEQUANNOCK TOWNSHIP,: RANDOLPH TOWNSHIP, RIVERDALE BOROUGH, ROCKAWAY TOWNSHIP, ROXBURY TOWNSHIP and WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP.

Defendants.

Morris Township, New Jersey Wednesday, April 25, 1979

BEFORE:

MARK SCHAFFER, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, at the Morris Township Municipal

KNARR - RICHARDS, ASSOCIATES

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS
OFFICES IN MORRISTOWN & NEWTON
10 PARK SQUARE
Box 241,R.D. 5

10 PARK SQUARE MORRISTOWN, N.J. 07960 539-7150 BOX 241,R.D. 5 NEWTON, N.J. 07860 383-2866

$\underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{D}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{X}} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{O}} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{W}} \ \underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}}$

WITNESS	CROSS	RECROSS
ALAN MALLACH		
By Mr. Mills	2	
By Mr. Buchsbaum		76

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MILLS: 3 Okay. My questioning will primarily 4 center around the course of Morris Township whom 5 I represent. Before that, I would like to have 6 established your area of expertise because I am 7 confused as to what you are going to testify to. 8 MR. BUCHSBAUM: Mr. Mills, before 9 we go into questions, you were present when 10 I discussed the arrangements of paying Mr. 11 Mallach with Mr. Murphy on the record? 12 MR. MILLS: And what were they? 13 That he would be MR. BUCHSBAUM: 14 paid for his time here at \$40 an hour plus 15 proportionately for his travel time to and 16 from Morris Township. 17 MR. MILLS: And is that \$40 an hour 18 the same rate that is being charged to the 19 Public Advocate? 20 MR. BUCHSBAUM: That is our stipula 21 tion. 22 MR. MILLS: Okay. 23 MR. BUCHSBAUM: And you agree to 24 that? 25 MR. MILLS: I guess I have no choice.

MALLACH, previously sworn.

ALAN

1

1	Q Mr. Mallach, from what I understand
2	from your first session in the maxi-case is that
3	you are not a planner?
4	A I'm not a Licensed Professional Planner in
5	the State of New Jersey.
6	Q I have your report dated March 12,
7	1979 in which you say it is least cost housing
8	and zoning ordinance provisions.
9	A That's correct.
10	Q And will that then be the limits of
11	your testimony?
12	A With modest additions such as, for example,
13	the supplement dealing with site visits and the
14	like. But any additional work that may be done
15	will be clearly in the context of least cost
16	housing and zoning analysis.
17	Q We do not have to be concerned about
18	the C.D.A. report? A That's correct.
19	Q Or fair share or any of the other
20	factors that are involved?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q Okay. Very good. Well, now, how
23	familiar are you with Morris Township?
24	A I would say moderately familiar.
25	Q Well, what do you mean by moderately

1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5 6 7	
0	
7	
8	
q	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
_ U	
24	
25	

familiar?	A	Well, aside f	rom
having driven	through parts	of the townsh	ip on
various occasi	lons during the	e past couple	of months
in preparation	n for the tria	l, I have paid	two
separate visit	ts to different	t parts of the	township

A. Mallach - cross

Q Could you give me the dates, please?

A Well, the second date was April 4, 1979.

I believe the first date was March 6, 1979.

Q Well, was it or wasn't it? Not whether you believe it was, but was it or wasn't it?

A When I checked my record, my calendar, working backwards, it was not 100 percent explicit on that point, but I'm reasonably certain it was.

Q So you made two visits to Morris
Township? A That's correct.

Q And what was the duration of those visits? A Well, they were in different pieces, but altogether I would say in the two days, I may have spent perhaps three or four hours--No, I think that's excessive--two to three hours in Morris Township.

Q And how many hours did you spend on April 4, '79? A I guess about an hour.

1	Q One hour. And how many other towns
2	did you visit on April 4th?
3	A Let's see. I believe six.
4	Q All right. And how did you visit
5	the township? A Okay. This is
6	specifically with regard to the April 4th visit
7	or generally?
8	Q Yes, okay.
9	A The purpose
10	Q No, I did not ask you the purpose.
11	I said how did you make the visit, by airplane,
12	by automobile? A By automobile.
13	Q Your automobile, somebody else's
14	automobile? A This was Mr. Bisgaier
15	automobile, to be specific.
16	Q Okay.
17	MR. BUCHSBAUM: Excuse me. When you
18	say a certain number of townships you
19	visited, you are referring to a number of
20	towns listed on the report?
21	THE WITNESS: That's correct. This
22	is the towns in
23	MR. MILLS: Yeah, I assume that that
24	is what we were talking about.
25	MR. BUCHSBAUM: Okay.

2		A
3		we att
4		appear
5		for on
6		doing,
7		parts
8		
9		one ho
10		zoned
11		A
12		the fi
13		And I
14		sites
15	·	will.
16		
17		hour v
18		possib
19		famili
20		and Mo
21		A
22		sites
23		the pu
24		
25		nurnos

Q Now, explain now you did this by ca	F
A On both occasions, which were both by car,	
we attempted to look at the different sites that	
appeared on the zoning map as having been zoned	
for one or another multi-family use and by so	
doing, this took us to a variety of different	
parts of the township.	

Q And you are willing to say that in one hour you looked at all of those sites that are zoned in Morris Township?

A No, we spent--We looked at some of them on the first visit, some of them on the second visit.

And I believe there may be one site--one or two sites that we still have not visited, but that I will.

Q Well, would you say that that one hour visit on April the 4th which then leaves us possibly two hours on March 6th, was enough to familiarize yourself with Morris Township's area and Morris Township's zoning?

A As I say, with the exception of one or two sites that we have yet to visit, I would say for the purposes of my work, yes.

Q Well now, you qualify it for the purposes of your work. What is the purposes of

1	your work? A Well, to paraphrase
2	what I said to MrI forget his name.
3	MR. BUCHSBAUM: Mr. Murphy?
4	THE WITNESS: Mr. Murphy.
5	The
6	Q Well, let us not go back on testi-
7	mony to somebody else.
8	A Well, when it's a repetitive questionNo
9	let me explain.
10	The purpose of my analysis of the zoning
11	ordinance out at the sites was in the context of
12	the provision of the municipality for least cost
13	housing and so it's in that context that I believe
14	that my survey was adequate, again with the exce
15	tion previously noted.
16	Q And what are they?
17	A That there are either one or two sites
18	remaining to be visited.
19	Q And did you look at all the zones
20	did you say? A No.
21	Q Did you not look at all the zones?
22	A I stated that I looked at those zones in
23	which provision for multi-family housing is made
24	in the language of the ordinance.
	$m{u}$

And could you tell me what zones

1	they are A These include
2	Qby reference to the zoning map of
3	the Township of Morris?
4	A These include four zones: the GR-5 zone,
5	the SC zone, the TH-6 zone and the TH-8 zone.
6	Q All right. So you say you visited
7	the four zones? A Yes.
8	Q The GR-5, the SC, the TH-6 and the
9	TH-8? A Yes.
10	Q Why did you not look at the RA-7,
11	which is single-family detached residences and
12	the B-7, which is single-family detached residences
13	A Well, these are not multi-family zones, nor
14	are they least cost single-family zones.
15	Q Well, have you not said previously
16	in your report that 50-by-100 lots would be in
17	your opinion part of least cost housing?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q And don't those two zones that I
20	just mentioned provide for that?
21	A No.
22	Q And do any of those two zones I just
23	mentioned provide for two-family residential use?
24	A Yes.
25	O Which one?

1	A The RB-7 zone.
2	Q But you still did not look at it?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And for what reason?
5	A That it did not provide for that use under
6	least cost standards.
7	Q Specifically in what respect?
8	A The lot size requirement.
9	Q Well, what lot size are you now
10	referring to? A I'm referring
11	to 10,000 square feet.
12	Q So that you are saying then that in
13	your opinion a two-family house zone of 10,000
14	square feet with 70-foot frontage is not least
15	cost housing? A That's correct
16	Q Okay. Now, let's go specifically
17	then to Morris Township, now, as a whole in
18	relationship to its least cost housing. Are you
19	saying that Morris Township does not provide for
20	least cost housing?
21	A I am saying thatI believe that Morris
22	County provides for very little, if any, least
23	cost housing.
24	Q I think you mean Morris Township
25	when you said Morris County?

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10 11
,	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21 22
	22 23
	24
	25

2

3

A	Morris	Township.	The	same	is	true	of	the
county,	, but ti	hat's beside	e the	poir	nt.			

Q You do not consider that the garden apartments in the township and the townhouse zone and the-- A I think they have to be treated separately.

Q Excuse me. --and the two-family house zone and the small single-family lot zones do not provide any least cost housing?

A Well, I said very little, if any.

Q Very little, if any?

A Yes, I did not say that none of them provided any least cost housing.

Q Do you have any idea of how much acreage is involved in those particular zones?

A In some of them, yes.

Q All right. Recite which ones.

A Okay. With regard to the senior citizens' zone, the SC zone, there is one tract of land that contains, and this is not based on a precise measurement, but an approximate one, roughly six acres. There are three sites zoned for townhouses, either TH-6 or TH-8, which total some 30 to 35 acres. Of these, I inspected two. There are to the best of my knowledge no sites zoned and

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

. Mallach - cross available for development in the garden apartment zone.

All right. Now, in your statement that Morris Township does not provide for least cost housing, then you are saying what is already built in Morris Township in a way that could qualify for least cost housing coes not count on Morris Township's quota for least cost housing? The point of my answer when I said that Morris County --

Morris Township.

--Morris Township, I'm sorry, at present does not provide is regarding what opportunity for present and future development exists under the zoning ordinance and the zoning map as they are presently constituted.

So that you give no credit whatso. ever to what has already been supplied in the way of least cost housing in Morris Township?

THE ALL AND not familiar with whether any of the housing in Morris Township is least cost.

Well, Lf, ngg Well (1) fact that your one-hour visit apparently two hours on March the bill do you think that then that qualifies you to then make

of whether the zoning provides for it?

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Before you answer, we are and have provided --

MR. MILLS: Wait. Hold it. You cannot testify.

MR. BUCHSBAUM: I am not testifying.

MR. MILLS: Are you objecting to
the question?

MR. BUCHSBAUM: I am just trying to put the question in context, which is that we have provided data concerning each of the townships in Morris County which we will rely on at trial. And also in terms of the fair share issues which I think you are getting at, Miss Brooks will be the prime witness.

MR. MILLS: Oh, I understood that in the beginning, in my questions. I am just concerned now as to what Mr. Mallach's thought is as to how much should be supplied by Morris Township.

Q All right. But understanding that then at the moment, Mr. Mallach, do you have any idea how much vacant land is available in Morris Township?

A I have relied on the

7	involved in that study are competent professional
8	and that sort of thing and as professionals
9	employed by a reputable State agency, I believe
10	it's likely to be reasonably accurate.
11	Q But you have no idea at all as to
12	its accuracy? A Not from
13	personal knowledge.
14	Q Okay. Of the vacant land, do you
15	know how much of that vacant land is available
16	for development? A The study
17	excluded
18	Q No, forget about the study. I am
19	asking you. Do youknow?
20	A Exactly what do you mean by available I
21	guess is my question.
22	Q Well, I think you perfectly well
23	understand that there can be vacant land in a
24	municipality, but that some of the land may be
25	taken up by other governmental uses. I probably
	ll .

betteve generally speaking the people

7	the figure in the D.C.A. report?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Okay. But you have no idea your-
10	self? A From personal investigation?
11	Q Yes. A No.
12	Q All right. And then following that
13	if there is any vacant land available for develop
14	ment, you have no idea where it is either; do you
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And again assuming that there is
17	vacant land available for development, you do not
18	even then know whether or not the land would be
19	buildable; do you?
20	A Well, again the two principal criteria
21	dealing with that fact have already been taken
22	into account and excluded from the total in the
23	D.C.A. study.
24	Q Well, again you keep referring to
25	the D. C. A. atuatry. And T. on actions you as a

it has been my understanding throughout my
professional career and with every other profes-
sional with which I dealt that standard reference
from established governmental sources, whether
they be the United States Census or others, can
be relied upon. If I want to know the population
of Morris County or Morris Township or New York
City, I refer to the U.S. Census. I do not go
out and count heads. So that This whole point
has to be understood in that context.

Q So that you rely then completely upon the D.C.A. study?

A For that specific item of information.

Q Well, I think we had several specific items; did we not?

A No, we did not.

Q The amount of vacant land, the amount of vacant land which is available and the amount of vacant land that is available if it is

	all lands with steep slopes, all lands in litou
6	plains and all lands in farmland had been exclude
7	from the total.
8	Q All right. And you would then
9	reach different conclusions if it subsequently
10	were proved that the D.C.A. report was inaccurate
11	or incorrect in those areas?
12	A Different conclusions in what regard?
13	Q Well, in regard to what we were
14	talking about as to how much vacant land is avail
15	able, how much vacant land is buildable.
16	A Well, obviously those numbers change over
17	time.
18	Q All right. Now, I am now referring
19	to your report of March the 12th. Unfortunately,
20	the pages were not numbered, so I numbered them
21	myself. I did not number the title page, but the
22	I started with the first page so that we can find
23	ourselves quickly here. Okay?
24	So going to the first page in your report,

okay, you state there that housing standards

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

units to the acre and that certainly many of the kinds of land use provisions that would be possible at ten units to the acre are not perhaps strictly speaking required.

And, in fact, for example, if you talk about something like front yards on townhouses, now, clearly from a standpoint of health, safety, welfare and so on, there is no inherent need for a setback from, say, the sidewalk to the townhouse unit of more than three or four feet involved with a short flight of stairs or the like. On the other hand, in deference to what one might consider suburban character, the standards that I have provided here would make possible a setback of as much as 25 feet from the sidewak to the front door of a townhouse.

Now, sticking then for All right. the moment with townhouses, I think in your report,

į	
8	Q Where do you get that standard from?
9	A Which standard?
10	Q That we just talked about, the ten
11	dwelling units per acre.
12	A That standard was based on an analysis of
13	the functional requirements of a townhouse site.
14	In other words, if you are going to build town-
15	houses, you have to provide land for the townhouse
16	themselves, for the front yards or setbacks,
17	rather, for rear yards for the use of homeowners,
18	for adequate parking and for modest buffers and,
19	if possible, a small passive open area. The
20	Q What do you mean? Excuse me. I
21	thought you were finished.
22	A These uses can be easily accommodated in a
23	development that provides a density of ten units
4	to the acre.
25	Q But in your response, you just said
- 1	

ż

BAYONNE.

...

small open spaces if possible. Doesn't that run contradictory to what you just said? First you say that you can do it and now in your answer you say if possible?

A Well, the point is open spaces in a townhouse unit is not essential since each individual
townhouse is provided with a yard that provides
open space for the individual family and since
one assumes that an enlightened municipality will
provide such park and recreational facilities as
is generally considered the obligation of a
municipality.

However, if the configuration of the land so permits and if it can be done economically, I believe it is a good feature of such development to provide a small open area which can be a sitting area where people in the development can gather, which can provide common space for infant play and things of that sort.

Q And this can all be done on the ten dwelling units per acre?

A Yes.

Q But then you said if not, then you think that the municipality should supply that recreational area and the sitting area you are

	$M_{ m mass}$. The state of $\Omega_{ m mass}$, $\Delta 1.1$, in the part of the state of the state of $M_{ m mass}$.
	A. Mallach - cross
1	speaking of? A I don't think that's
2	a matter of "if not." I think whatever a developer
3	may be doing on a site, a municipality I believe
4	it is generally accepted should provide recreation-
5	al facilities for the residents of the community.
6	Q You are a housing expert as we
7	established in the beginning. Have you ever
8	designed a townhouse development with ten dwelling
9	units per acre? A I'm not an
10	architect.
11	Q Okay. Have you ever laid one out,
12	let's say, not as an architect, but
13	A I've laid one out for hypothetical purposes.
14	Q Only for hypothetical. Have you
15	ever supervised the building of one?
16	A I have not.
17	Q You have not. Okay. All right.
18	Okay. Mr. Mallach, at Page 4 of your report,
19	I guess the only way I can reference it would be
20	Paragraph A in brackets, (Subparagraph 2). In
21	talking about cost-generating features, you say
22	there that requirements designed to meet visual,
23	aesthetic goals. These include such requirements
24	as, and you have listed them there, work against

A

And how do they do that?

By increasing the cost of the units.

	ŀ	ŀ
	ı	
Ŕ	l	
Ŭ	l	
	ı	
q	ı	l
,	ŀ	ľ
	ŀ	l
10	ŀ	l
10	١	l
	l	l
11	l	١
11	١	
	١	l
12	l	
	ı	
	Ì	
13	Ì	
	l	١
	l	
14	١	ŀ
	l	
	ı	
15	l	l
	l	l
16	l	
16	l	ľ
••	l	l
	١	ŀ
17	١	١
17	ĺ	ŀ
	l	l
18		l
	l	ŀ
	١	
19	١	
	١	l
	ĺ	ı
20	l	
	l	ı
	l	
21	l	l
	ı	l
	١	1
22	١	ļ
_	I	
23	١	
	l	
	l	Ì
24		ļ
	١	

be attractive or that aesthetics need not be considered -- A On the contrary.

Q Hold it -- on the part of the overall housing of the municipality?

A On the contrary. What I'm saying is that these standards, these zig-zag and these no-look alike standards, have no meaningful relationship to aesthetics in any serious way. They are arbitrary.

They are based on a judgment as to--an aesthetic judgment that is certainly not any kind of informed consensus. And they do not in themselves provide beauty and their absence would not preclude beauty.

These are no more firmly grounded in any sound aesthetic standards than would be an ordinance provision that would require a ten-foot high Greek god marble statue to be located in the center of the front yard of every house in the

	grounded than these standards in describer
8	principles.
9	Q So that you feel then and this, of
10	course, is your personal opinion, that aesthetic
11	goals are not required at all then in low or least
12	cost housing? A No.
13	MR. BUCHSBAUM: He did not say that.
14	I will let the witness answer. He remember
15	his testimony.
16	A I did not say that. I believe that
17	aesthetic goals are as important in least cost
18	housing as any other type of housing. I simply
19	state for the third time I believe that these
20	standards do not promote aesthetic goals in any
21	meaningful sense.
22	Q Okay. What aesthetic standards then
23	or goals do you recommend in least cost housing?
24	A Unfortunately, no one has yet been able to
25	come up with a meaningful aesthetic standard in a
j	

	8	
	9	
1	0	ŀ
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
	.	
1	4	
1	5	
	,	
1	6	
	.	
1	7	
1	- 1	
1	9	
	-	
20	0	
•		
.2	1	
22	,	
~		
23	3	
24	1	ļ
25	5	

others is the quality of the architect who designs it. And I know no way to impose that in the zoning ordinance.

Q Well, what does he design in it then to solve this question of aesthetics?

A He creates a beautiful design based on his training, talent, competence and experience and sensitivity.

Q Which according to you does not include any zig-zag standards or any setbacks or any look-alike standards or any requirements for open space? Is that so?

A No, again you are missing the point. The point is that if an architect, a talented architect, sits down and designs a building, depending on the site, depending on the nature of the use, depending on a whole variety of factors that may include a variation in the setbacks if it's such, then again it may not. In other words, this is a

2 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

understanding that this deposition was for the purpose of the mini-trial and specific comments with respect to Morris Township.

MR. MILLS: Well, I think these things --

MR. BUCHSBAUM: I do not know that I would cut you off, but I would certainly appreciate it if you would direct the questions to--

MR. MILLS: I do not think you was 14

A. Mallach - cross

specific aesthetic judgment that's based in the context of a specific design for a specific site requiring an architect or a builder or an engineer to have a certain variation in setback every number of. That does not in itself make the design better or worse.

The same is true in a subdivision or townhouse development where there are ordinance requirements that the unit shows significant variation from one another in doors, windows, facades, the like. A well-designed development may have such variations. Then again, it may not.

Some of the most awful developments that have come into being in my experience have been those where bad architecture combined with nolook-alike requirements has resulted in a develop-

Paragraph 3, under these cost-generating features you say, "Requirements designed to displace cost onto developers and by extension residents of new housing are cost-generating factors." Is that right?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. And just specifically what are they? I mean those things, the way you state it there is very general. What are you talking about?

A Well, in the first case, this deals very specifically as noted in the report with the discussion in the Madison case about the site improvement costs and in that example, the observation of the Madison Court that the P.U.D. sites in Madison Township appeared to have been selected with an eye towards forcing the developers to bear the burden of expanding infrastructure for the benefit of the community

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

A

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Mallach - cross as a whole. The second category is I believe also

straightforward. Many municipalities have, particularly when dealing with large-scale developers, imposed requirements whereby the development must take responsibility for a variety of services that are customarily the purview of municipal governments. These add costs specifically onto the development.

Okay. Morris Township does not do that in its zoning ordinance; does it? Not to my recollection.

Well, does it or doesn't it? studied the township ordinance.

Well, I believe the answer not to my Α recollection is fairly clear.

Okay. So that does not then apply to Morris Township then. So then the first elements there, the facility improvements, are you stating then that the developer of least cost housing should not be required to make any of these on-site improvements?

On-site improvements are generally made by a developer, certainly.

> Well, you say then that they Q

8	
9	
10	
	Ì
11	
12	
	١
13	l
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
- I	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Q	Ho	ow about	t stre	ets o	n whi	ch the	
prope	rty f	ronts	if the	ere is	no s	treet	there?	
A	How	can t	the prop	perty	front	on a	street	if
there	is n	o stre	et the	re?				

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Could we go off the record for a second?

(The last question and answer are read.)

(A discussion is held off the record.)

(The luncheon recess is taken.)

And I had asked you a question which you objected to which I think rightfully so in that I did not make myself clear in that we were talking about infrastructure or facility improvements and we are talking about streets. And I think I said what if the development was not on a street.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

What I meant was if it is not on a regular. improved street such as a paved street, would you not feel then that that requirement should be placed upon the developer of low cost housing? It's a question of degree. This Okay. goes back to another issue where I believe in the general deposition I said in looking at sites. trying to decide which are the best sites for least cost housing, clearly the sites that are most accessible to things like streets, sewer, water and so on are preferable. So the difference would be if it's a matter of running a couple of hundred feet to provide frontage or to provide access to a major kind of road, that's a reasonable kind of expenditure. If it's a matter of. say, a quarter of a mile, then it's a highly debatable proposition. And I would not venture to suggest exactly where the break point between them would be.

Q If, let's say, it does become a matter of a quarter of a mile, what then?

A Well, then it goes back to looking at the overall zoning. If a municipality, for example, has zoned purportedly to provide least cost housing and when one looks at the sites, one finds

1		t
2	∦	t
2		
3		r
4		Z
- - 3		ا بد
5		i
6		P
7		
8		a [·]
9		
		A
10		
11		a
12		a
13		A
14		a.
15		
13		
16		_
		U.
17		D.
		P
18		A
19		
20		
20		p
21		Α
		A
22		
23		t
24		c
25		
اسما	E	Δ

that they are remote from existing roads and yet
there is land that is not so remote from existing
roads, that really raises a question of what the
zoning is doing. This is the point of the Court
in Madison, where the Town had placed in that case
P.U.D. zones.

A. Mallach - cross

Q And what if there is no other land available? Then what?

A Then, of course, one does the best one can

Q Okay. So in that case, where it is a matter of necessity, then you do not consider it a cost-generating provision?

A That's correct, when there is literally no alternative.

Q Right. Where in Morris Township's ordinances are there any of these cost-generating provisions that we are talking about?

A That one I am not familiar with any such--

Q If I told you there was no such provision, would you agree with that?

A I have no reason not to.

Q Okay. And I presume that the same thing we are talking about as far as streets are concerned would apply also to water and sewer?

A Yes.

- 1	1
2	1000
-]
	ļ .
3	
ა	· ,,
ا ہ	
4	- 1
	100
5	
6	
- 1	
7	
· • •	
6	1.0
0	
1	
	1
9	
	1 2 32
10 11 12	
-0	
1.30	0.0
11	1
1	
12	
13	i
13	
-	'
14	
4 7	'
	1
ا ہے ا	1
15	
.]	1
16	
16	1
	1
ŀ	l
17	
* *	[
10	
18	l
]	
10	
19	1
l	
20	
21	1
41	1
22	
23	
	1
24	
24	1
.]	1
امدا	
Z 5 l	.1

Q	And you would adopt that same, let
say, peri	haps a quarter of a mile basis?
A	wouldn't want to suggest, you know, a
specific	amount. I was just giving that for
hypothet:	ical purposes.

Q Okay. Well, do you think then if it is beyond a quarter of a mile, that the municipality should then bear the cost of a new street and water and sewer?

A Well, from a practical standpoint, there is a standard of sorts provided in the Land Use Law which is embodied in some zoning ordinances dealing with the pro rata sharing of such off-site improvement costs, which is often applicable to such situations.

Q Well, would you say the same bearing then of off-site improvement costs should
apply equally to regular housing developments as
well as low cost, least cost housing?

A It's a grey area. I would think, for example, that if you had a municipality that literally had no developable sites, that could not be developed for least cost housing or presumably, for that matter, for anything else without requiring major infrastructure costs and, as

is likely, those infrastructure costs benefitted
other developers, other landowners and so on in
addition to the specific owner trying to build a
least cost housing development, then under such a
circumstance, a municipality that was actively
interested in encouraging least cost housing
ought to consider picking up itself that pro rata
share of the extension that was attributable to
the least cost housing.
그는 것이 많은 그는 것이 되었다. 그는 그는 그들은 그는 그를 가는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 되었다.

Q And what if it did not benefit other housing or other properties?

A Well, it should still consider it. Obviously, how realistic it would be would be a matter of how much money it would be.

Q And if the municipality did do that for the low cost, wouldn't that then be discrimination?

A No.

Q No. You feel a municipality could spend public funds to extend these facilities to the low or least cost housing and not have to do the same thing for normal housing developments?

A I think again without presuming to be a lawyer, I think there is an abundant basis for the use of public funds for support of low and moderate income housing on the basis that it is

	۱
	l
2	ļ
	I
100	l
.3	I
-	I
4	
	11
5	
•	I
	l
6	H
	I
7	II
1 to 1	
0	
0	l
	l
Q	
	1
10	l
10	
100	
11	
7.7	
12	ŀ
12	ļ
13	
	l
14	ı
14	l
15	
15	١
16	
- 0	
	ı
17	
••	l
18	I
	ll
19	l
1)	1
	l
20	
21	
	1
	1
22	1
00	1
23	1
24	
44	
	1
25	Ħ

A. Mallach - cross clearly a public purpose and -- and of public value to the community.

Do you know of any such law? Well, for example, the Community Development --

No. that is not the question. you know of any such law?

I am citing a law. Bear with me. interrupt.

The Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 which deals with the expenditure of in this case federal funds explicitly requires that the funds be used principally to benefit the housing and living conditions of low and moderate income families and establishes a series of tests which are embodied in H.U.D. regulations to ensure that the funds are used towards that end. This is a program which is, as I am sure you are familiar, Morris County and through the County a number of County municipalities participate in.

Q And that is all very well and good for federal funds. But what if there is no such Well, the point is not program? whether there is a program or not. The point is whether it is legal to expend funds for the

principal--principally to benefit low and moderate income housing and by extension low and moderate income people. And I think it's a reasonable assumption that if it's legal to spend federal funds for that purpose, it is legal to spend funds from other levels of government. And again I'm not portending to give a definitive legal opinion here, but it seems to be a basis.

Q Also under the cost-generating features on Page 4 in Paragraph A-1, you state the requirements here which you say are designed to enhance house value such as basements rather than slabs and extensive parking spaces.

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Which page are you on? There is a number on top.

MR. MILLS: Okay.

A You are referring to Page 2 in my numbering at this point. Is that correct?

A I guess I did not see those pages up there.

Okay. A Yes, yes, the general section is numbered, even though the individual municipal ones are not.

Q Page 2? A Yes, that's correct.

Q Now, does Morris Township require

1	basements rather than slabs?
2	A Not to my recollection.
3	Q Does Morris Township require
4	excessive parking spaces? A Yes.
5	Q Morris Township requires excessive
6	parking spaces? A Yes.
7	Q How many do they require?
8	A Two per unit.
9	Q Isn't that what you recommended,
10	two per unit? A No, it is not.
11	Q How many do you recommend?
12	A As I stated, I suggest that 1.5 for garden
13	apartments and 1.8 for townhouses are adequate.
14	O And what about single-family?
15	A Two in that case.
16	Q Two for single-families?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q So Morris Township is in line as
19	far as single-families is concerned. All right.
20	And does Morris Township have a requirement that
21	they have enclosed garages?
22	A No.
23	Q Okay. And does Morris Township
24	require more open space dedication than bears a
25	reasonable relationship to the needs of the

1	occupants? A In the townhouse zone
2	yes.
3	Q To what extent?
4	A It requires that 25 percent of the site of
5	a townhouse development must be dedicated for open
6	
7	Q And what would be your recommenda-
8	tion? A Well, again as I stated
9	before the lunch recess, within a townhouse develop
10	ment, strictly speaking, there is no need for
11	dedicated open space. If one felt it was impor-
12	tant, certainly no more than ten percent.
13	Q And I cannot find the page, but I
14	thought that in your report you stated that 20
15	percent was A I suggested as
16	a general standard 20 percent, but that is with
17	particular reference to garden apartments.
18	Q So Morris Township is not that far
19	off. And what
20	MR. BUCHSBAUM: Is that a question?
21	MR. MILLS: Yes, okay.
22	Q Answer it. Is Morris Township that
23	far off? Put it that way.
24	A That's not quite as simple or entertaining
25	as all that. I mean the point is obviously at

A. Mallach - cross

25

some point one has to draw a standard. And again as I stressed, the standards that I'm recommending in my report here are not absolute minimum standards. So you can say if it's a little worse, if it's a little more than, that it's not that far off.

The point is these points have been drawn well down the line as it were, so the difference between 20 percent and 25 percent is potentially significant because the 20 percent is already, as I've said, more than strictly speaking required.

What are the standards that you have reference to? What is the basis for those standards? The basis for these standards is a very extensive or varied number of sources and practical experience dealing with different aspects of living in these units. point is and the point that I'm trying to stress throughout this is when you are looking for standards and you are trying to go about this in a rational fashion, you don't pick numbers out of a hat and say 25 or 20 percent or whatever. look at what a unit, a yard, a housing site, a what-have-you has to do in terms of providing adequate, sound living conditions for its

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residents and then work backwards from that to looking at questions of numbers. So there are very few firmly-grounded absolute numbers in this business.

A. Mallach - cross

But you just came out with some Q numbers? That's correct.

And we were discussing this --Α Yes.

-- about excessive parking spaces. Q And where did you get those numbers?

Okay, those numbers -- And I believe if you look at the Common Defense transcript you will find some specific source references for those, but these are based on literature and available information about the number of cars that people living in different kinds of units. different sizes of units, are likely to have, making some allowance for additional space for visitors and service vehicles.

Well, then you have no quoted source for this. Is that right? I mean it is something You will find in the you have -transcript the specific quoted sources.

Supposing you give this to me? Q The two sources that are referenced for Α

2		and Design Criteria wh
3		Koppleman; and a New .
4		memory serves, Site P
5		to that effect.
6		Q And who
7		Site Plan Review Guide
8		A The New Jersey
9		Affairs.
10		Q Now, ale
11		recommended H.U.D. min
12		applicable?
13		Q And the
14		mention, are they in
15		minimum property stand
16		A No.
17		Q And are
18		nationally established
19		Q But ear
20	-	recommended that supp
21		ments here be sensiti
22		in the area in which,
23		to be constructed?
24		A Yes.

this purpose include the volume entitled Planning
and Design Criteria which is by DeChiara and
Koppleman; and a New Jersey source entitled, if
memory serves, Site Plan Review Guide or something
to that effect.

Q And who put out this New Jersey
Site Plan Review Guide?

A The New Jersey Department of Community
Affairs.

Q Now, along the line here, you also recommended H.U.D. minimum property standards be applicable?

A That's correct.

Q And these other guides that you mention, are they in conflict with the H.U.D. minimum property standard?

Q And aren't the H.U.D. standards nationally established? A Yes.

Q But earlier in your report, you recommended that supposedly, you know, the requirements here be sensitive to the local inhabitants in the area in which, you know, these units are to be constructed?

Q Would not then there be some

8

9 10 11

13

12

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

deviation from these minimum standards because of No, the local inhabitants of Α that? Morris County are not different in those regards from the local inhabitants of any part, for all practical purposes, of the United States in reference to same size. They eat and sleep in roughly the same fashion.

Well, then your statement then --Let me see if I can find it. I am quoting what should have been Page 1, but is not numbered, the first unnumbered page of your report where you say, in essence, recognizing that housing standards contain a strong cultural element, we have attempted to frame those presented here with at least some sensitivity to the suburban orientation of the communities to which it is addressed. That statement then makes no sense in view of what you said?

> MR. BUCHSBAUM: Maybe I can put this in context because the particular subject of the H.U.D. standards is minimum square footage. And maybe Mr. Mallach can clarify this. As I read his report on Morris County, there was no standard for that particular characteristic in Morris

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Township.

MR. MILLS: I appreciate your willingness to testify here, but I think you completely miss the point also. And if it is going to take all afternoon, we will get back to it.

MR. BUCHSBAUM: I object to that remark for the record.

A The cultural standards that I referred to and that I have already explained deal with a choice within density ranges of lower minimum densities and more liberal standards than might strictly speaking be required in terms of certain questions of density and land use. In the case of the floor area requirements of H.U.D. that are recommended here, I see no relationship between that and any plausible cultural variations.

Okay. Okay. Now. turning to your Q Page 4, you are suggesting, and I guess it is the bottom paragraph, you are suggesting that one acre recreational space could be created for every 40 to 45 units, common amount suitable for small child's play activities. And you are talking about this under standards for detached single-family houses. Where did that standard

A. Mallach - cross

how you arrived at that 1.8 parking spaces. then in townhouses, I believe you recommend 1.5--

sorry. And I am wondering, in making that recommendation, did you take into consideration that in an area like Morris Township which we are talking about now, which is a suburban area, the fact that if both parents are working that live in that housing unit, that two cars would be required, one for the parent that has to commute to a job someplace and another for the other parent who may be working somenlace alea 45

This is not being proposed here as an explicit standard. I'm not advising that a municipality adopt an ordinance that one acre of small child play space be created for every 40 to 45 small lot units. I'm merely suggesting that this is an approach that could be taken towards creating additional open space while maintaining least cost standards in lot size and frontage.

Well, isn't this recommendation considerably below that recommended by the National Recreation Association?

This is not a recommendation for the total Α

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

have the same number of cars as families of similar size and economic level living in other communities.

Q And you have given that deep consideration? A Yes.

Q And you are aware of the fact that there is no public transportation in Morris Township? A If memory serves, there is public transportation in a number of locations in Morris Township. You should be more informed.

Q Yes, there is a railroad at Convent Station. A There are also a number of bus routes through town.

O The bus routes are on Madison

Avenue I believe. But are you aware of the fact
that if you live, say, in the western section of

Morris Township, you are four to five miles away
from those places?

A Well, actually that is the case at the moment. The County is proposing a bus route along Route 24. And if there were intensive development of multi-family housing in that area, I don't doubt that that proposal would be implemented in short order.

25

1

But that does not exist; right? And you still--Well, there are two answers to the question. First, where there is a reasonable prospect of providing public transportation, it can be provided. One of the advantages of a bus route over a train, for example, is that there is a great deal of flexibility in the planning process, especially as is the case in Morris County where you have a county that is taking an active role in providing and extending public transportation services. The second point is in the final analysis, the likelihood of representative families of a given size and a given economic level of having a given number of cars is independent of, to a large degree, the availability of public transportation.

Now, one might be able to argue that if there is no public transportation and no prospect of there being public transportation, it's unfortunate that such families do not have more cars. But that is another matter.

Q And if the public transportation never gets built, what happens then?

A You don't have to build public transportation.

Q All right. Supplied, if you wish

is in the final analysis an irrelevant consideration, how many cars a family is likely to have.

Now, again holding family size and economic level reasonably constant, I mean again the point is you can say that it should not be, but it is.

Q I do not understand that, you see, it should not be, but it is.

A Whether a given family holding economic level and size constant is likely to have one or two cars or three or four is not dependent upon whether there is public transportation in the area.

Q Yes, but we are talking about the reverse, where there is not public transportation, they need those cars.

A Not necessarily.

I give you the proposition that if the party commutes by the railroad and has to go to Convent Station and lives in the western part of Morris Township, that he would then walk five miles to get to that railroad station? Is that what you are saying?

A Obviously that would vary with the individual.

Q Okay. Okay. On Page 6, your Page

6, now, under F, Standards for Senior Citizens'
Housing, you make the statement there that, quote,
"There is no particular justification to single
out zones for senior citizen housing." Why do you
make that statement?

A Because if a site is suitable for senior citizen housing, it will almost invariably be suitable for high-density housing for non-senior citizens.

Q But don't you think this runs somewhat contrary to our again Municipal Land Use Law which states that the municipality can zone to encourage senior citizen housing?

A If you will read the rest of that sentence, and I forget the exact phrasing, at standards and densities consistent with other housing. That particular phrase could hardly be quoted in contradiction to this statement.

Q Well, then if you do not have a senior citizen housing zone, how do you guarantee that it is going to be built for senior citizen housing?

A Actually, it's sort of ironic that you should ask such a question because the problem has been the exact opposite, that because of municipal pressures, the only

subsidized housing that is being built in communities such as Morris Township is senior citizen housing. And the problem which has been commented upon by almost everybody concerned with low income housing needs is that no housing or virtually no housing for low income non-senior citizens is being built. I think it is quite safe to assume that given municipal preferences and given the political conditions of New Jersey, that the needs of senior citizens will still be met at least in proportion to their share of the total housing need.

Q I do not understand what you mean by that. Would you elaborate on that, please?

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Can you?

A Well, I thought it was more or less entirely self-explanatory, but I will try. What I'm
saying is that if you create zones in which senior
citizen and non-senior citizen housing can be
built, there are many factors operating to ensure
that at least a fair share of that, if I can
slightly misuse that term, will be for senior
citizens rather than exclusively for non-senior
citizens.

Q But you cannot guarantee that that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would occur?

A As much as anything
in the field of housing, land use, can be guaranteed, that can be.

Okay. Well, what difference does it make if you do zone separately for senior citizen housing? Why do you single that out and make the statement in your report there is no particular justification? What difference does it make if you do zone separately for senior citizens? Because it has been a common practice for many municipalities to zone for senior citizens as a way of singling out that need as one to be met and disregarding the other Now, in the context of that entire section, Section F on Page 6, it's quite clear that my point is, first, that there is a need for senior citizen housing as part of the total and, secondly, that there are reasonable standards for that.

However, given the fact that zones suitable for senior citizen housing are also in the main suitable for non-senior citizen housing from a straight land use standpoint, there is rarely any basis for saying this zone should be purely for senior citizens.

Q Yeah, but what difference does it

make if you do have a separate zone for senior citizens? What is the difference?

A Well, leaving aside whether that can legally be done under the Municipal Land Use Law, if a municipality has more than ample land zoned for other uses and has a--such as family low income housing, townhouses, garden apartments, small lot single-family units and the like, then from a practical standpoint it would probably not make a difference. However, from a standpoint of planning and housing standards, such a distinction is not rationally-grounded.

Decause you just admitted it makes no difference?

A It's not purely academic. I'm saying there are circumstances under which it conceivably wouldn't make any difference, but again given practices that are common in municipal zoning, it could easily as is the case today in many municipalities, make a difference.

Q Well, then couldn't you have the same comment to make then if you zone for a garden apartment zone and you zone for a townhouse zone?

Aren't you doing the same thing?

A I would certainly argue that if you zone

for multi-family, that such a zone again except for perhaps unusual circumstances, but as a general rule such a zone should provide either garden apartments or townhouses certainly.

A. Mallach - cross

Q And according to you, senior citizens?

A Yes.

Q But you do not make the comment as far as Morris Township is concerned where we have separate zones for townhouses--

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Wait a second.
What exact comment are you talking about here?

THE WITNESS: The first sentence after--

MR. BUCHSBAUM: No, no.

MR. MILLS: On Page 6 of Mr.

Mallach's report, Paragraph F, under

Standards for Senior Citizens' Housing,
he made the comment, quote, "Generally

speaking there is no particular justification to single out zones for senior citizen housing."

MR. BUCHSBAUM: What I am trying to understand is are you assuming garden apartment zoning necessarily excludes

senior citizens?

MR. MILLS: No, no, the question was if he can make that statement under Standards for Senior Citizens' Housing, why does he not make the same under the Standard for Townhouses and Standards for Garden Apartments?

A I think it's self-evident. Again, senior citizens, you are dealing with a population group. And there is no rational basis here to single out that such-and-such housing which may be of physically different types should be occupied only by a single population group. Townhouses and garden apartments are uses that differ so that even though I believe there is no particular reason to distinguish, they're nonetheless separate uses, so that it is a legitimate at least in principle distinction to make.

Q On Page 10 of your report on the fourth paragraph down, you are talking about fixing the level of overzoning for least cost housing. And you state that it must be done in the context of market demand analysis for least cost and other housing in the community. What community are you talking about there?

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11 12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		

23

24

25

A	In	the	commu	inity,	which	never	comm	unity	is
analy	zing	pre	cisely	how	much 1	cezon	ing i	s appr	.0 -
priat	e in	its	proce	ss of	fram	ing a	n ord	inance)
that	would	1 me	aningf	ully	comp1	y wit	h the	Madis	on
and M	ount	Lau:	rel st	andar	ds.				

Q Well, then in the case of Morris

Township which we are talking about, you are

talking about Morris Township then?

A Yes, that would be correct.

Q Then doesn't that conflict with the whole theory of the eight-county region which the Public Advocate has been stressing there?

A No.

No, the point here is, and this report provides a framework and as stated previously the framework makes clear that, the general level of overzoning in the region should provide between three and five times the amount of land needed to meet the fair share. However, for a variety of reasons, there may be local variations in the pattern of land ownership, the pattern of housing demand and so on which would require either more or less overzoning in order for the fair share that is appropriate for that municipality to be

achieved. So that the exact amount of overzoning would take those factors into account. But over-all, it would fit within the regional context.

- Q Where in your report do you recommend this three to five times the amount for overzoning?

 A I do not know that it appears explicitly in the report.
- Q Isn't it a fact that on Page 10 in the sixth paragraph which starts out with the figure one, you, in effect, are recommending 50 percent overzoning?

 A No.
- Q Well, what are you saying then in Paragraph 1 where you say, "Overzoning must make possible enough housing for families in need of least cost housing, recognizing that many units (generally around 50 percent) will not be occupied by such families."?

A Okay. What this means is that one of the reasons for overzoning taken in and of itself requires a factor of two-to-one. If you were merely overzoning just to deal with the fact that approximately half of the units that are likely to be built as least cost housing will not be occupied by the families in need, you would have to overzone by a factor of two-to-one. That would

assume that all of the land that you zoned for would be available, readily available on the market at a reasonable price and that all of the people buying that land would then proceed to build least cost housing on it.

So if you had a perfect housing market for least cost, which is an ideal state that clearly does not exist, you would have to zone by--overzone by a two-to-one factor simply to deal with that aspect of it. Now, given these imperfections in the housing market which I have discussed, my conclusion is that a reasonable range for where the zoning would likely to lie is three to five times the amount of land needed to satisfy the fair share. That, however, would vary somewhat from municipality to municipality based on the way the housing market works in that community.

Q Well, if I were to suggest to you that there is a greater demand for more expensive housing in Morris Township because of it being a very desirable community, would you then say that this overzoning would have to be increased beyond that three to five times?

A Quite possibly, yes.

not more so that anything less would have presum-

60

A. Mallach - cross

1

2

There are no community funds avail-2 able in Morris County. 3 Community development funds? 4 5 6 Township. 7 MR. BUCHSBAUM: 8 9 10 can ask your questions. 11 12 before I finished. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 can answer that if you want. 24 Α Okay. 25 First, I am by no means as certain as it.

Yes, there are no community development funds available in Morris County for Morris That is not a question. That is a statement. This counsel objects to it on that basis, but you MR. MILLS: He started to answer What I was stating was that there are no community development funds available for Morris Township. Given that fact, how else can Morris Township assist in this least cost housing? MR. BUCHSBAUM: First off, you have noted our general reservation of rights for the record in terms of the record, but in this case, I am going to take the trouble to single out this question because it starts with an incorrect premise. There are two or three answers to

counsel, Mr. Mills, that there are indeed no community development funds being made available at present to Morris Township. Secondly, even assuming that's the case, community development funds are provided on an annual basis. And there is the opportunity to obtain funds next year, if not this year. Thirdly, even if the amount that's allocated to the County through which Morris Township would apply for its share is inadequate this year or next year, there are discretionary funds that are made available directly through H.U.D.

Indeed, since H.U.D. has a very strong policy concerned with the provision of low and moderate income housing, I believe if Morris Township were willing to undertake a bona fide commitment to use its resources, its good offices and so on affirmatively to encourage low and moderate income housing in the township, I believe that there would be very little difficulty in obtaining funds to at a minimum match costs with municipal funds and quite likely pay for the greater part if not the entirety of the special costs involved.

Q And you think that would be legal

1	to do that? A Certainly.
2	Q In Morris Township?
3	A Certainly.
4	Q Can you cite any legal authority
5	for that?
6	MR. BUCHSBAUM: Well, you can answer
7	if you can.
8	MR. MILLS: If he knows, he is mak-
9	ing a statement that the municipality can
10	use money to
11	MR. BUCHSBAUM: We are talking about
12	a matter that really should be in a brief.
13	Your initial question started with that.
14	And I do not want to see us get sidetracked
15	into matters that we can brief at some
16	point. The witness is testifying as to
17	facts and expert opinions.
18	MR. MILLS: What are you saying?
19	MR. BUCHSBAUM: He can answer if he
20	can.
21	MR. MILLS: Okay.
22	MR. BUCHSBAUM: I am not instructing
23	him not to answer, but we are wasting time.
24	That is what I am saying.
25	A I believe the authority can be found in

the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 and the accompanying federal regulations.

Q Now, supposing Morris Township does these things that you are talking about to encourage or assist in least cost housing and least cost housing becomes constructed. Is there any way that Morris Township can guarantee that the housing will be always available for low and moderate income people?

A Well, if the housing is constructed under a government subsidy program, the matter is not really a problem. For example, if you have a subsidized housing development constructed under the federal Section 8 Program, the commitment of subsidies to that development and the attendant control on the qualifications of who lives in that development can be made by H.U.D. for terms up to 40 years, which although it's not always, is as long a period as is relevant in this kind of situation.

When dealing with least cost housing that is not subsidized, in other words, that's built at moderate--built to sell or rent at moderate cost by private developers, the situation is more complicated. There is relatively little

25

experience with this matter. There are a number 1 2 3 experience over the long haul. 4 5 6 7 8 did encourage and assist in--9 MR. BUCHSBAUM: 10 11 12 13 subsidy programs. 14 15 this. 16 17 18 Α What I am saying now --19 20 21 22 23

of approaches that have been discussed, but I'm not familiar with very much actual practical Well, then you are saying it is your opinion that you see no way then that Morris Township could then ensure itself that if they This is about the 15th time that counsel has mischaracterized the previous statement of the witness. That is not what he said. He talked about I just wish counsel would not do The witness is quite capable of correcting counsel, but I do not see why we have to keep going through it. MR. MILLS: Hold everything now. Mr. Reporter, go back and read his answer. And I think you will find you are wrong. because he mentioned federal and H.U.D. first and then after that he said --

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Then you made a flat statement --

record.)

MR. MILLS: Then he mentioned unassisted. That is when I came in with my question. Can you read it back, please.

(A discussion is held off the

A We are dealing with two types of least cost housing. With regard to housing that's built under a subsidy program, there is no problem with ensuring its continued occupancy to benefit lower income households. With regard to the second type, which deals with that that is not subsidized, there is—it is a more complicated issue.

There are techniques to ensure that at least some percentage of the units in a least cost development would be continually occupied by lower income households. However, as I stated, they are relatively untried, so one can't be certain. So, in other words, it's not that they can't do it at all, but that at least with regard to the unsubsidized units, there is still some uncertainty because of the relative newness of the concept and the approaches involved.

Q Okay. What is this concept or approach that you are suggesting?

A Well, I think that there are a number of possibilities. For example, if, for example, you are talking about a rental development that is initially rented at modest rents and that has been assisted in some fashion, given the general prevalence of rental control, rent leveling ordinances affecting all kinds of housing, there would be certainly in my judgment no serious impediment to a municipality insisting on some form of continued control of rents to assure that the units stayed in the least cost range over time.

With regard to sales units, there are a variety of techniques. One point, if a unit has received some form of internal subsidy or assistance from community development funds or other funds, that could be then taken back in the form of, say, a second mortgage on the development on the unit, that if the person sold it, the money could then go back into a pool to subsidize an additional unit elsewhere.

There are many techniques. They tend to be complex in housing.

The Bergen County Housing Authority, incidentally, is wrking to apply some of these

5

techniques to its own program of constructing
least cost housing where the land is bought with
community development funds. Units are constructed and sold on that land.

Q Okay. Now, I listened very carefully to what you are saying, but I still want to get where there is no subsidy to this housing.

What controls can a municipality possibly have?

A Well, okay. As far as the rental development is concerned, that can be imposed with or without subsidies involved. But with regard to least cost housing generally, I think there are a number of issues. And some of these are made quite clearly by the Court in the Madison case really.

First is at least part of the purpose for least cost housing is the provision of filtering. I believe it's understood that not all least cost units will be occupied by lower income people and that to some degree the benefit of generating that construction is by opening up additional existing housing in the community. The second point is that to think of a least cost housing objective as a kind of once and for all thing, we build the units, here they are and that's that

in perpetuity, I think is misleading. I think what we are talking about here is an ongoing program of providing housing.

As long as housing at all income levels is at short supply, then there will be problems seeing to it that the less affluent people benefit from the housing. So this has to be seen in the context of a process whereby housing continues to be provided as housing needs exist, as households are formed.

Are you answering my question that a town cannot protect itself against this type of thing or are you saying something else?

A I'm not sure what you mean by protect itself.

Q Well, I said leaving out the absence of any kind of subsidies, whether they be federal, state or local, and a municipality zones for least cost housing and encourages least cost housing, how can the municipality guarantee that this will actually be least cost housing?

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Other than rent control? You are talking about single-family houses actually?

MR. MILLS: I did not say other

25

than rent control. I said how can they. 1 This goes back basically to part of the 2 overzoning issue. If a municipality simply zones 3 for least cost housing --4 (A discussion is held off the 5 6 record.) Did you answer that question or 7 8 shall we go back to it? Why don't you read back just the last part of the question. 10 (The second previous question and 11 12 answer are read.) 13 --it does so, and the Madison Court clearly À 14 understands this point, without the certainty that the units will be used or that the land will 15 16 be used rather in its entirety for least cost 17 housing. This is part of the purpose for over-18 zoning. 19 20 21 22 23

You have to recognize or accept that some of the housing built in a zone that permits least cost housing will not be least cost and, furthermore, that some of the housing that may be least cost will be occupied by families who could afford more expensive housing. This is why the whole thing has to take place within the market

10.

demand. The only exception for this, of course, is where one has affirmatively gone about promoting subsidized housing.

Q Well, we prefaced the whole question leaving out the subsidy.

A Okay.

Q I did not get from your answer then that there is any real control that a town can enforce that would then protect against this low cost housing either being built or if it is built and occupied to continue to be occupied?

A To some degree. That's the point of overzoning.

What I have reference to is the State of New
Jersey where the State owns in a number of municipalities State-owned land. Should not the State also be required to use that for low cost housing or least cost housing?

A Well, this goes somewhat far afield. I think it is an interesting question.

Usually the land that the State owns is used for a very particular purpose. It's a park.

It's an institution. And it may or may not be

amenable for use for housing.

On the other hand, I would certainly think it desirable to the degree that the State owns land that it can be used for housing, least cost housing in particular, and that is not needed for other purposes, that it could be used for development of least cost housing.

Again, in the final analysis, and I think

Madison is very clear on this point, too, the

principal obligation of the municipality is to

make the opportunities available for private

organizations, be they developers, builders, non
profit housing sponsors, but through its zoning

provisions or its overzoning provisions, if you

will. But to the degree that the municipality,

through use of municipally-owned land or the

State through suitably State-owned land contri
buted to that, so much the better.

opinion that the only real and practical way to solve the whole problem of least cost housing for low and moderate income families is for the State to come in and do it on a state basis where the State can provide the funds and infrastructure and all of the requirements. Do you agree with

9

10

11

2046

07002

00

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think so. that or not? Α There are a lot of factors involved.

I think in terms of providing really low income housing, that a low income person, typically defined as people earning 50 percent or less of the median income in the area, can really afford has got to have some kind of subsidation involved. And from a practical standpoint, even though there are ways that a municipality can help a project along, if you will, the amount that is really needed is beyond a municipality's resources to provide on its own. And if it's going to be built in any large numbers, probably the only place the subsidies are really going to come from is the federal government.

Now, that doesn't mean that least cost housing doesn't benefit some significant population groups. And again this is what the Madison Court comes back to, that to the degree that there are subsidies that can be made available, heaven knows they should be used. And to the degree that you can get the state and federal governments to move towards meeting housing needs, they should do so,

But since that's unpredictable, the Court

finally concluded that in the here and now one had to at the municipal level provide the opportunity for least cost housing because that was the closest that could be got to, that goal.

Q But now you are stating what you felt that the Court said. But my question was or my question is do you agree or disagree that isn't really it the only practical way, for the State to come in and do it?

A In regard to low income housing, as you say, state and federal, perhaps, but in terms of full spectrum of housing needs—I mean if housing in a given area, say, is at present being built for prices, say, at \$80,000 and up, say, and through zoning for least cost housing, one could produce a fair amount of housing that people could buy, say, for \$45,000 or \$50,000 or whatever, that's not low income housing. But it does benefit some people who are not otherwise being benefitted. And through filtering, as the Court goes into in great detail, it would facilitate other people improving their housing elsewhere. So it's not either/or really.

Q All right. Now, weren't you the author of this book, Housing and Suburbs?

A. Mallach - cross
Allturayes.

Deing the author. And in Pair 12. You made a recommendation. And I will read it. It says, this is your recommendation, "The creation of a New Jersey community facilities agency empowered, one, to sell bonds for the construction of new community facilities and infrastructure and to provide financial support independent of use surcharges and municipal contributions for the repay ment of the bond; and, two, to administer a program of state assistance to measure sperating costs in the municipalities. And the rest of it I do not think makes too much difference.

authored this report; wasn't it?

A That was the position of the County Municipal Government Study Commission.

Q Well, I believe that on Page II it says that the principal author of this report was Alan Mallach. Are you Alan Mallach?

A Yes. thene.

Q So you now are deliver making that recommendation? No. I am mere ly pointing out what I believe is a significant

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MORRIS COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-6001-78 P.W.

MORRIS COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, et al,

Plaintiffs.

CERTIFICATE

BOONTON TOWNSHIP, et al.

Defendants.

I. MARK SCHAFFER, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the deposition of ALAN MALLACH who was first duly sworn by me at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in the action.