ML-Morris County Fair Housing Council V. Sconton Twp

5/179

Deposition of Alan Mallach - Cross-Hamination by Mr. Murphy.

P 22

ML0008905

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MORRIS COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-6001-78 P.W.

MORRIS COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, MORRIS COUNTY BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE and STANLEY C. VAN NESS. PUBLIC ADVOCATE OF THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

ML000890S

Plaintiffs.

DEPOSITION OF

ALAN MALLAC

BOONTON TOWNSHIP, CHATHAM TOWNSHIP, CHESTER TOWNSHIP, DENVILLE TOWNSHIP, EAST HANOVER TOWNSHIP, FLORHAM PARK BOROUGH, HANOVER TOWNSHIP, HARDING TOWNSHIP, JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP, KINNELON BOROUGH, LINCOLN PARK BOROUGH, MAD ISON BOROUGH, MENDHAM BOROUGH, MENDHAM TOWNSHIP, MONTVILLE TOWNSHIP, MORRIS TOWNSHIP, MORRIS PLAINS BOROUGH, MOUNTAIN LAKES BOROUGH, MOUNT OLIVE TOWNSHIP, PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TOWNSHIP, PASSAIC TOWNSHIP, PEQUANNOCK TOWNSHIP, RANDOLPH TOWNSHIP, RIVERDALE BOROUGH, ROCKAWAY TOWNSHIP,

Defendants.

ROXBURY TOWNSHIP and WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP,:

Morris Township, New Jersey Wednesday, April 25, 1979

BEFORE:

MARK SCHAFFER, a Certified Shorthand eporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, at the Morris Township Municipal

KNARR - RICHARDS, ASSOCIATES

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS OFFICES IN MORRISTOWN & NEWTON

10 PARK SQUARE MORRISTOWN, N.J. 07960 539-7150

BOX 241, R.D. 5 NEWTON, N.J. 07860 383-2866

. .

Building, Morris Township, New Jersey, on Wednesday, April 25, 1979, commencing at 11:45 A.M.

APPEARANCES:

THE PUBLIC ADVOCATE PETER A. BUCHSBAUM, ESQ. For the Plaintiffs.

MESSRS. MURPHY & KURNOS JOEL A. MURPHY, ESQ., For the Defendant Borough of Florham Park

MARK SCHAFFER, C.S.R.

$\underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{D}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{X}} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{O}} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{W}} \ \underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}}$

WITNESS ALAN MALLACH By Mr. Murphy CROSS

A L A N M A L L A C H, previously sworn.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MURPHY:

Q Mr. Mallach, my name is Joel

Murphy. I represent the Borough of Florham Park.

As you know, I was here when Mr. Clapp was deposing you on behalf of Harding Township.

And I will try not to be repetitious of the things that he asked you, although I will get a copy of that transcript, as I heard your testimony.

MR. BUCHSBAUM: Mr. Murphy, before you begin your questions, just to put this on the record, as we have done with other counsel, there is a memorandum that has gone out from our office to all counsel stating that during these depositions, counsel for the defendants would agree to pay Mr. Mallach at the rate of \$40 an hour for his time at the deposition, plus proportionately for his travel time to and from Morris Township. I take it you agree to that statement?

MR. MURPHY: I agree.

(A discussion is held off the record.)

Q Mr. Mallach, you prepared a report

1	for the purposes of your testimony involving
2	Florham Park. Is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you have determined a number
5	for least cost housing to be built in Florham
6	Park. Is that correct?
7	A No, I have not.
8	Q Have you made any conclusions for
9	the purposes of your testimony involving the
10	Borough of Florham Park?
11	A I have made conclusions and findings
12	regarding the ordinance of the Borough and regar
13	ing the sites that are zoned under multi-family
14	use under that ordinance. Otherwise, I have not
15	made any conclusions.
16	Q Have you made a physical survey of
17	the Borough of Florham Park?
18	A I have visited, made a field visit to
19	Florham Park. It would not be a complete survey
20	of the borough, but it was a visit.
21	Q When can you make such a visit?
22	A April 4th.
23	Q Of this year?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And that was the same day that yo
1	

1	V1	sitea	otner	munici	pariti	es in r	Morris C	ounty	•
2	Is	that	correc	t?		A	That'	s cor	rec
3		Q	<u>!</u>	And do	you r	ecall b	now much	time	yo
4	sp	ent in	the I	Borough	of Fl	orham I	ark?		
5	A	I	would	l say h	alf an	hour t	to an ho	ur.	
6		Q	<u> </u>	And du	ring t	hat per	ciod of	time,	
7	we	re you	simpl	ly ridi	ng aro	und the	e boroug	h in	an
8	au	tomobi	.1e?		A	In pa	art; we	also	
9	st	opped	at one	point	to lo	ok more	e closel	y at	an
10	ar	ea.							
11		Q	· •	What a	rea is	that?			
12	A	T	his is	an ar	ea tha	t was :	zoned My	-2 &n	d:::
13	is	now u	nder o	onstru	ction	for wha	at I bel	ieve	is
14	kn	own as	the F	learthw	ood Vi	11age d	ievelopm	ent.	
15		Q	<u> </u>	Why di	d you	spend 1	time at	that	
16	10	cation	?		A	Part	of the	inter	est
17	or	the p	urpos	e of th	e visi	t was	to look	at tw	0
18	si	.tes, o	ne an	MF - 1 s	ite an	d the	other, t	he MF	-2
19	si	te. I	he MF	-1 site	was r	eadily	visible	from	th
20	Ca	III SO	there	was no	need	to sto	p. The	MF - 2	sit
21	W. ve	Bot	readi	ly visi	ble, s	o we to	ook some	: time	!
22	fi	nding	it, an	nd then	after	we no	ted the	const	ruc
23	ti	lon act	ivit y	, to fi	nd out	what	the acti	L vit y	was
24		Q	2	Who ma	de thi	s on-s	ite insp	ectio	n
25	w	ith yo	u?		A	Mr.	Bisgaie	er and	

2	Q So the three of you were in Florha
3	Park at the same time? No one else from the
4	Public Advocate's Office was there?
5	A Not on that trip. I don't know. Other
6	times there might have been.
7	Q All right. Did you travel all the
8	roads in the Borough of Florham Park?
9	A Oh, I don't think so, no.
10	Q Did you personally visit or consi-
11	der all of the different types of housing in the
12	Borough of Florham Park?
13	A We certainly had no intention of doing so
14	so if we did, it would have been inadvertent.
15	Q Do you know or did you make an
16	observation as to the different types of housing
17	available in the Borough of Florham Park?
18	A As I say, we made no effort to do a com-
19	prehensive or a complete survey of the borough.
20	I observed those housing units that were visible
21	along our route.
22	Q Did you seek out or are you aware
23	of any least cost housing in the Borough of
24	Florham Park? A I'm not aware
25	of any least cost housing in the Borough of

A. Mallach - cross

Miss Brooks.

3	size is in the Borough of Florham Park?
4	A I know what the smallest conforming lot
5	size is, which is 15,000 square feet. As in any
6	municipality, there's a possibility that there
7	are nonconforming lots.
8	Q But you did not make any study to
9	see if there were any numbers of houses on lots
10	of less than 15,000 square feet?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q Did you personally view any areas
13	where there are existing environmental constrain
14	to least cost housing in the Borough of Florham
15	Park? A I did not note any. It's
16	quite possible there are, but I did not specific
17	allyWell, I'll qualify that. Along Columbia
18	I believe it's Let me make sure. I have this
19	right here.
20	Columbia Turnpike in the western part o
21	the prough I think just before you come into
22	Morris Township, there is what appears to be
23	swamp land or marsh land. Other than that, I
24	didn't notice anything.
25	Q Did you make a physical or a
	2 Dia jou mano a pirjoiour or a
ſ	

Do you know what the smallest lot

A. Mallach - cross

Q

Florham Park.

3	A No.
4	Q Did you study any maps which would
5	designate floodplain or flood hazard areas in the
6	Borough of Florham Park?
7	A No.
8	Q Did you make any determinations as
9	to the available acreage for development in the
10	Borough of Florham Park?
11	A No, I made no separate study. I relied on
12	the D.C.A. study in that regard.
13	Q Did you make any study concerning
14	the availability of utilities in the Borough of
15	Florham Park? A No.
16	Q Did you make any studies or do you
17	have any knowledge concerning the availability of
18	public transportation in the Borough of Florham
19	Park? A Let me refer to this document
20 -	According to the Morris County Planning Board map
21	there is a bus route that serves Florham Park in
22	
23	
	Q Now, you have studied the zoning
24	ordinance or considered the zoning ordinance of
25	Florham Park. Is that correct?
	Tiornam rark. Is that correct.

personal observation of the Passaic River area in

7

A. Mallach - cross

the Borough of Florham Park?

2

of Florham Park, have you formed any opinions 3 concerning its reasonableness? 4 5 Yes, I have. A 6 And can you tell me what those Q 7 opinions are? The zoning 8 ordinance of Florham Park as it presently exists 9 does not make any provision for least cost housing. 10 Q And are there any other problems 11 with regard to the zoning ordinance of Florham 12 Park from your point of view? 13 MR. BUCHSBAUM: Do you mean problems 14 relative to Mount Laurel compliance or just --15 MR: MURPHY: If he can answer it. 16 I've only reviewed the ordinance in the A 17 context of its relationship to the standards set 18 down in Mount Laurel and Madison Township. 19 other words, say hypothetically the Florham Park 20 ordinance conforms to all the procedural standards 21 of the Municipal Land Use Law or the like has 22 been beyond the scope of my study. 23 Q Within the scope of your study, do 24 you have any opinions concerning the zoning 25 ordinance of Florham Park other than the fact

8

The zoning ordinance of the Borough

A. Mallach - cross

Yes.

Q

2

3

4	scope of its failure to provide for least cost
5	housing. But all of my opinions of the ordinance
6	are within that context.
7	Q Did you make a study or are you
8	aware of the types of commerce that exist in the
9	Borough of Florham Park?
10	A I have not done a specific study, but I'm
11	aware generally that there is shopping along
12	Columbia Turnpike, in particular in the general
13	vicinity of what I believe is Ridgedale Avenue.
14	I'm not familiar with what additional commercial
15	facilities there might be.
16	Q Do you have any specific knowledge
17	as to what land is available in Florham Park for
18	least cost housing?
19	A As I stated, with the exception of the
20	general figures from the D.C.A. report, I have no
21	apecific knowledge on land availability in the
22	borough.
23	Q Did you form an opinion as to whether
24	Florham Park is or is not a developing community?
25	A Again with the same caveat that has been

that it does not provide for least cost housing?

lot more specific observations within the overall

Well, clearly within that point, I have a

9

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expressed wherein terms of its being a legal matter and so on, on the basis of my interpretation of the relevant standards I have formed an opinion that it is a developing municipality.

Q Within the range of that qualified statement, if you will, can you tell us your understanding of when a community is developing and when a community is developed?

I think the only cases that the Supreme Court has handed down that provided a basis for drawing that distinction are those known as the Washington and Demarest cases. And I believe that on the basis of those cases, the concept of what is developed, leaving aside the obvious exclusions such as core cities such as Newark and East Orange or Trenton, but among suburban communities what is developed is those which fit within the fact pattern of communities such as Demarest and Washington, in other words, communities that are both very small, two, three square miles or less in area, and communities which have a negligible amount of vacant land available for development. In the case of both of those, I believe the total acreage that might be construed as available for development in either of those towns was in the

Q

1

Maxi-trial.

11

2	understand it at this point.
3	Q And in the mini-trial, and I am
4	speaking now specifically about the Borough of
5	Florham Park, you are going to testify as a hous-
6	ing expert. Is that correct?
7	A As a housing and planning expert.
8	O And as such, you are going to
9	present certain conclusions with regard to the
10	Borough of Florham Park and the zoning ordinance.
11	Is that correct? A That's correct
12	Q All right. Can you tell me what
13	those conclusions are going to be?
14	A Well, the central conclusion from which
15	all others flow is that the zoning ordinance of
16	the Borough of Florham Park makes no provision
17	for least cost housing.
18	Q All right. What else?
19	A And then I expect to explain why none of
20	the zones in the borough provide for housing that
21	con be construed as least cost.
22	Q All right. What else will consti-
23	tute your testimony?
24	A And I assume at that point, I would testif
25	on the availability planned for any form of

It is going to be more general as I

12

25

present ordinance and the land--or rather the

present zoning map and the land that is zoned

13

What I

2	family uses. Although in the case of Florham
3	Park, those uses are not least cost.
4	Q Are you taking into consideration
5	whether the land as zoned is developed or has be
6	committed to development?
7	A Yes.
8	Q But you have not done that to date
9	A Well, as I may have mentioned, there are
10	two sites that are zoned under the present
11	ordinance for multi-family housing. One of them
12	I have established is committed for development
13	and is indeed in the early phases of constructio
14	And the second, I have not yet established that,
15	whether that is or is not the case. The second
16	is certainly in a use of a sort at present.
17	Q You have not to date, I take it,
18	made any study as to large land areas available
19	in the Borough of Florham Park for least cost
20	housing development?
21	That's correct.
22	Q Is that knowledge critical to your
23	testimony in the mini-trial?
24	A Not necessarily.
25	Q If it were brought out that there

under that ordinance for higher density or multi-

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2Ò

21

22

23

24

25

15

Well. I think my concern is that the zoning ordinance as it stands is patently exclusionary and that I have no data in terms of the vacant land other than, of course, the D.C.A. data, which suggests that the land is available. Now, if Florham Park -- I'm sort of talking hypothetically. If Florham Park felt that it could demonstrate that there was no vacant land available for development in the township and this is vacant land suitable for development and, of course, the issue of whether that development is least cost or not is really immaterial, vacant land that is reasonably suitable for residential development, let's say, and it could make such a case and thereby arguing that it was not a developing municipality. I would think it could either try to convince the plaintiffs' attorneys of that fact

would involve constructing some kind of alloca-2 tion formula to arrive at fair shares of least 3 cost housing for defendant municipalities. 4 Is anyone in this case going to do 5 that? Miss Brooks is going to 6 testify on the fair share issue and is evaluating 7 the D.C.A. fair share plan. As to whether she 8 is developing her own numbers is something I 9 really don't know. I think you'll have an oppor-10 tunity to depose her next week. 11 I am sure we will. 12 Have you had any discussion with her con-13 cerning the fair share numbers as they apply to 14 the various municipalities? 15 A No. 16 Have you made any study of your own Q 17 or given any consideration to the D.C.A. projec-18 tion for the Borough of Florham Park? 19 No. 20 Is it your recommendation in your 21 tion of the zoning ordinance of the Borough 22 of Florham Park to provide for overzoning in the 23 Borough of Florham Park? 24 Α Yes. 25 And are the numbers three to five Q

17

2	you indicated earlier for the lownship of harding.
3	A Yes, well, I specified that those were
4	general numbers based on the overall regional
5	dynamics, if you will, but that certainly if and
6	when Florham Park sits down to revise its zoning
7	ordinance towards compliance with Mount Laurel
8	and Madison, then a specific study of factors that
9	might affect Florham Park would probably be
10	appropriate. But that three to five times was a
11	reasonable ballpark figure at this point.
12	Q Is it accurate to state that your
13	projection of overzoning for the Borough of
14	Florham Park is a general projection at least in
15	concept and that you are not going into any in-
16	depth study as to what overzoning really means
17	for the Borough of Florham Park?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q You have made no study, I take it,
20	ef the job market in the Borough of Florham Park.
21	Is that correct? A That's correct
22	Q Have you made any studies or do you
23	have any conclusions with regard to the region
24	that the Borough of Florham Park is located in?
25	A I have an opinion on that matter, but this

times the same for the Borough of Florham Park as

18

is	not	necessarily	within	the	scope	of	my
tes	stimo	ony.					

Within the scope, that means that you are not going to testify with regard to region vis-a-vis housing needs in the Borough of Florham Park?

A That's right. That's my understanding at present.

testimony in the mini-trial with regard to Flor-ham Park will specifically concern itself or be limited to the opinions or conclusions that the zoning ordinance of the Borough of Florham Park is exclusionary with regard to least cost housing?

A As well as the point I mentioned regarding the sites or the zoning of certain parcels, which is actually part of the zoning ordinance.

MR. MURPHY: I have no further questions.

MR. BUCHSBAUM: I have nothing.

(The witness is excused.)

*

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MORRIS COUNTY 2 DOCKET NO. L-6001-78 P.W. 3 MORRIS COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, et al, 4 Plaintiffs. 5 CERTIFICATE vs. 6 BOONTON TOWNSHIP, et al, 7 Defendants. 8 I, MARK SCHAFFER, a Certified Shorthand 9 Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New 10 Jersey, certify the foregoing to be a true and 11 accurate transcript of the deposition of ALAN 12 MALLACH who was first duly sworn by me at the 13 place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. 14 I further certify that I am neither attor-15 ney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by, 16 any of the parties to the action in which this 17 deposition was taken, and further that I am not a 18 relative or an employee of any attorney or counsel 19 employed in this case, nor am I financially inter-20 sted in the action. 21 22 23

Dated: 5/77

2425