Transcript of Deposition upon Oral Examination of Mary E. Brooks

Pg. 108

ML000914 &

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MORRIS COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-6001-78 P.W.

ML000914G

MORRIS COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, MORRIS COUNTY BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE and STANLEY C. VAN NESS, PUBLIC ADVOCATE OF THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

Plaintiffs,

DEPOSITION UPON OPAL EXAMINATIO

-vs-

 $\underline{\text{of}}$ 

: MARY E. BROOKS

BOONTON TOWNSHIP, CHATHAM TOWNSHIP,
CHESTER TOWNSHIP, DENVILLE TOWNSHIP,
EAST HANOVER TOWNSHIP, FLORHAM PARK
BOROUGH, HANOVER TOWNSHIP, HARDING
TOWNSHIP, JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP, KINNELON
BOROUGH, LINCOLN PARK BOROUGH, MADISON
BOROUGH, MENDHAM BOROUGH, MENDHAM
TOWNSHIP, MCNTVILLE TOWNSHIP, MORRIS
TOWNSHIP, MORRIS PLAINS BOROUGH, MOUNTAIN
LAKES BOROUGH, MOUNT OLIVE TOWNSHIP,
PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TOWNSHIP, PASSAIC
TOWNSHIP, PEQUANNOCK TOWNSHIP, RANDOLPH
TOWNSHIP, RIVERDALE BOROUGH, ROCKAWAY
TOWNSHIP, ROXBURY TOWNSHIP and
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP,

Defendants.

KNARR - RICHARDS, ASSOCIATES

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS
OFFICES IN MORRISTOWN & NEWTON

10 PARK SQUARE MORRISTOWN, N.J. 07960 539-7150

BOX 241,R.D. 5 NEWTON, N.J. 07860 383-2866

## BEFORE:

ROBERT MIRABELLA, a Certified Shorthand

Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey,

at the MORRIS TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL BUILDING, Morris

Township, New Jersey, on Monday, January 28, 1980,

commencing at 10:00 a.m.

## APPEARANCES:

THE PUBLIC ADVOCATE
BY: CARL C. BISGAIER, ESQ.
Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

MESSRS. WILEY, MALEHORN & SIROTA
BY: FREDRIC J. SIROTA, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendant Rockaway Township
and the Common Defense Committee

MESSRS. SHANLEY & FISHER
BY: GLENN PANTEL, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendant Harding Township

MESSRS. MATTSON, MADDEN & POLITO BY: M. LYNNE MC DEPMOTT, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant Passaic Township

> ROBERT MIRABELLA Certified Shorthand Reporter

25

## $\underline{I}$ $\underline{N}$ $\underline{D}$ $\underline{E}$ $\underline{X}$

2		Witness		Direct		
3		MARY E. BRO	OOKS			
4		By Mr. S	Sirota	3		
5						
6	· .					
7						
8		<u>E</u> <u>X</u>	<u>H I B I T S</u>			
9		Number	Description			Page
10		DB-1	Mary E. Broo	oks' Report st 30, 1979		6
11		DB-2				
12		DB-2	Mary E. Broodated Septe	ember 10, 19	979	7
13 14		DB-3		oks' Report mber 14, 19 Comparison (		
15 16			Housing Al	locations w e Population	ith	7
						,
17 18		DB-4	entitled "	mber 14, 19 Programs to	79,	
19			Increase Ho Suburban M	ousing in unicipalitie	es	
20			for Lower	Income Perso	ons"	8
21						
22						
23						

B R O O K S , first being duly sworn,

1

testified as follows: 2 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIROTA: Miss Brooks, my name is Fredric Sirota. 5 I'm an atorney and a member of the firm of Wiley, 6 Malehorn & Sirota. We represent the defendant, 7 Rockaway Township in this matter. 8 You recall, do you not, that I deposed 9 you earlier in 1979, late spring, I believe, 1979? 10 Do you recall that? Yes. 11 And that related to this case, which 0 12 is generally referred to as the Fair Housing Council 13 v. a group of municipalities in Morris County. 14 you recall that? Yes. 15 And are you generally familiar with the 16 case? Yes. 17 You have rendered reports, have you not, 18 in that case? Α Yes. 19 Just to be somewhat duplicative, 20 in our earlier deposition, I advised you that your 21 testimony was under oath and, of course, therefore, 22 you are swearing that what you are saying is accurate 23 and true. 24 Further, in the event I ask any question 25 that you don't understand, please tell me.

25

If Mr. Bisgaier raises an objection, please permit him to make that objection before you answer the question. Your answers must be oral, out loud. The reporter can't take a shake of the head or nod. Do you understand all that? Α Yes. Do you understand, further, that my questions and your answers may be transcribed at a later date and utilized at the trial of this matter? Yes. Thank you. Did you bring with you today your earlier reports? Yes. That is, those that you filed in the spring of 1979? Yes. My memory is that we left off with your advice that -- at least, at that time, that an additional report would have to be rendered, which you would, presumably, utilize the theories you had proposed earlier to calculate new allocations. that essentially where we left off? Do you recall? No, I don't recall. Α MR. BISGAIER: Off the record.

(There is a short discussion off the record.)

1	MR. SIROTA: Back on the record.
2	Q Do you have something additional to say?
3	A No.
4	Q Do you recall whether it was your
5	intention, at that time, to follow through in your
6	methodology and insert new numbers, which would,
7	presumably, produce a revised allocation?
8 9	A I believe that was true, yes.
	Q And does your report of August 30, 1979
10	represent that effort? A Yes.
11 12	Q Subsequent to June of 1979, have you
13	rendered any reports to the plaintiffs in this matter,
14	 other than your August 30, 1979 report, your December
15	14, 1979 reports? Are there any other reports that
16	you have rendered to the plaintiffs in this matter?
17	A No.  Q With respect to your August 30, 1979
18	report, is it the case that this report is simply a
19	follow through on the methodology established in your
20	earlier reports?  A Yes, it is.
21	Q Are there any deviations from that
22	statement? A No.
23	MR. SIROTA: May we have the report
24	marked?
25	(The August 30, 1979 report referred to was
- 11	

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

Bisgaier? MR. BISGAIER: No. along with everybody else. I'm not disputing --MR. BISGAIER:

received and marked DB-1 for Identification.) MR. SIROTA: In answer to my prior question, Miss Brooks has given Mr. Bisgaier, and Mr. Bisgaier has directed to me, a copy of a letter from Miss Brooks, to Mr. Bisgaier, dated September 10, 1979, a one page letter, which encloses an addendum report dated September, 1979, entitled "Current Housing Costs in Morris County, New Jersey, Preliminary report on Demographics in Morris County, New Jersey," prepared for Mr. Bisgaier by Miss Brooks, again, dated September, 1979. I, for one, did not receive that report.

Perhaps others have. May I receive a copy of that report? Do you have an extra copy, Mr.

You were sent one,

MR. SIROTA: Well, I didn't receive it.

You were sent one.

MR. SIROTA: I really don't want to get into a big battle on whether you sent it or not, whether or not some secretary didn't put it in an envelope. I didn't receive it.

MR. BISGAIER: Why don't you use Miss
Mc Dermott's copy or Mr. Pantel's copy. It's
really not an analytic report. It's mostly
just data.

MR. SIROTA: We have marked as DB-1 for Identification the August 30, 1979 report.

Miss Mc Dermott has directed to me her copy of Miss Brooks' September, 1979 report, which I would like marked as DB-2.

(The September 10, 1979 Report referred to was received and marked DB-2 for Identification.)

Q May I have your copies of the December reports? I would like to mark them. Mine have notes on them. You can have them back.

MR. SIROTA: I would like to also mark
a memorandum or report from Miss Brooks, to
Mr. Bisgaier, the subject of which is "Comparison
of Housing Allocations with Alternative
Population Projections," dated December 14, 1979.
I guess that would be DB-3.

(The December 14, 1979 Reports referred to was received and marked DB-3 for Identification.)

MR. SIROTA: And also a report of the same date, from Miss Brooks, to Mr. Bisgaier, the subject of which is "Programs to Increase

Housing in Suburban Municipalities for Lower Income Persons."

(The December 14, 1979 Report referred to was received and marked DB-4 for Identification.)

MR. SIROTA: Thank you.

Mr. Bisgaier, there are DB-3 and 4.

I'm giving them to you and Miss Brooks.

Can we go back to the last substantative question and answer? I believe I asked if there were any deviations from the methodology established in the earlier reports.

(The following was read by the reporter:
"QUESTION: Subsequent to June of 1979, have
you rendered any reports to the plaintiffs in
this matter, other than your August 30, 1979
report, your December 14, 1979 reports? Are
there any other reports that you have rendered
to the plaintiffs in this matter?

ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: With respect to your August 30, 1979 report, is it the case that this report is simply a follow through on the methodology established in your earlier reports?

ANSWER: Yes, it is.

QUESTION: Are there any deviations from that

\_

statement?

ANSWER: No.")

that you rendered in approximately April of 1979, you established a methodology, which you continued to this report, but simply plugging in the numbers?

A That's correct.

Q By "this report" I'm referring to DB-1.

What I would like to do, Miss Brooks,

with your permission and cooperation, is to utilize

one municipality and actually follow how you made

the changes from the DCA Report to arrive at the

figures you have in your August 30, 1979 report.

Is that agreeable?

A Okay.

Q All right. Since I represent Rockaway
Township, it would be most logical to use Rockaway
Township. With respect to Rockaway Township, would
you please explain in detail what adjustments you
made to the DCA Report and demonstrate, if you will,
the mathematics associated with that, which produced
the numbers you have in your August 30, 1979 report?

MR. SIROTA: We will go off the record

a second.

(There is a short discussion off the record.)

A I'll try to do it as simply as I can.

25

1

Q That's not necessary. It doesn't have to be simply. As a matter of fact, I request you not do it simply. Show us every mental machination that was necessary. Do it as you did it. If you did it in a complicated fashion, we are willing to listen.

MR. BISGAIER: Let her talk. If you have more questions, you will amplify.

The easiest way to understand this is: Α any given jurisdiction, you start with present housing I took the identified number in the New Jersey needs. DCA Housing Allocation Report and adjusted that by taking the vacant units that they identified and reflected those only for low and moderate income persons, which basically reduced the number of vacant units they identified in the 1970 housing need. In addition, I added to that estimate an estimate of financial housing needs, which represents those households paying more than 25% of their income for I then calculated an overlap among the housing. criteria that comprised the 1970 housing need. resultant figure is the estimated 1970 housing need that I used.

Q Now, you are referring to Page 2 of your report, are you not?

A Uh-huh. Yes.

I'm going to ask specific questions. 1 2 What was the vacant unit figure, in 3 the DCA Report, for Rockaway Township? 4 I'm not sure all this basic data is going to 5 be here. 6 MR. BISGAIER: Did you say vacant unit 7 or --8 MR. SIROTA: Yes. 9 MR. BISGAIER: You mean vacant unit? 10 MR. SIROTA: Yes. 11 Wherever that figure comes from, they have Α 12 a series of -- we talked about them before, a series 13 of reports, from which they took those figures. 14 figure that you have in the report is an allocation --15 not really an allocation, but an estimate need to the 16 individual municipality. If you want the basic data, 17 you would have to go back to the reports. 18 I want the numbers that you used. 0 19 made adjustments in the numbers that the DCA came up 20 with. Is that correct? Α Yes. 21 DCA came up with a number for Rockaway 0 22 Township? 23 MR. BISGAIER: A number of what? 24 MR. SIROTA: Allocation number. 25 A What do you mean by "allocation number"?

1		$\Omega$	Housing allocation	LOI ROCK	away lownshi
2	presen	t need,	prospective need.		
3	 A	They i	dentified a 1970 hous	sing nee	d for each
4	indivi	dual mu	nicipality.		
5		· · Q	And you adjusted tha	at to co	me up with
6	a figu	re in y	our report. Is that	correct	?
7	A	That's	correct.		
8		Q	What was the housing	need fo	or 1970, for
9	Rockaw	ay Town	ship, arrived at by D	CA?	
10	A	436.			
11		Q	Is that number in yo	our repo	rt?
12	A	It's i	n the New Jersey DCA	Housing	Allocation
13	Report	•			
14		Q	What was the number	again?	
15	A	436.			
16	• •	Q	This is present hous	sing nee	ds?
17	A	Yes.			
18		Q	Now, you, in your re	eport, c	ame up with
19	 a numb	er for	present housing needs	, for R	ockaway
20	Townsh	ip, did	you not?	A	Yes.
21		Q	What was that number	?	
22	A.	I don'	t think I have it wit	h me.	
23		Q	Is it on Page 3 of y	our rep	ort?
24	A	You wa	nt just the total fig	jure?	
25		Q	Yes.	A	546.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Now, there's a difference between and 546. Is that correct?	1.
2 and 546. Is that correct?	436
3 Yes, there is a difference.	
4 MR. BISGAIER: I think there may	be
5 some	
6 MR. SIROTA: The figures I'm refe	rring
7 to	
MR. BISGAIER: Excuse me. Let me	finis

nish There may be some confusion with the number you derived for Rockaway's 1970 housing need and DCA's allocation of 1970 housing need for Rockaway. It's a different number. you should clarify that.

Earlier you used the word "allocation" sort of Α incorrectly, so I wasn't exactly sure what you were talking about.

Well, correct me.

There are two figures that DCA uses and attaches present needs to it. One is what they refer to as the present -- or, in fact, 1970 housing needs, and the second is an allocation of those present needs to individual jurisdictions.

- And those are the A and B Columns on Page 3 of your report? That's correct.
  - If I misstated the question, I'm sorry.

1 The numbers I've just given you are the 1970 Α 2 present housing needs. 3 You have given me 546 as your equivalent 4 of the DCA's 436. Is that correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 MR. SIROTA: Let's go off the record. 7 (There is a short discussion off the record.) 8 Does the DCA Report have an allocation 9 of present needs that is an equivalent to your Column 10 B in your report? Yes. 11 And what is that number? 12 For Rockaway Township? 13 0 Yes. Α 533. 14 And does the DCA Report have an Q 15 allocation of prospective needs for Rockaway Township? 16 Yes, it does. Α 17 What is that number? Q 18 A 1,611 19 Q And does the DCA Report have a 20 figure for unadjusted allocation, an equivalent of 21 your Column E on Page 4 of your report? 22 P. Yes, it does. 23 What is that number? Q 24 For Rockaway Township?  $I_{i}$ 25 Ω Yes. A 1,708.

14

Q I	Does the DCA	Report,	relating	to Rockaway
Township, have	an equivale	ent of Co	lumn F in	your report
development lin	nit?	A	Would you	ı repeat
the question?				

- Q You have a Column F in your report, development limit. With respect to Rockaway Township, does the DCA have an equivalent number?
- A Yes. It's not stated in the report.
  - O What is the number?
- A The report -- the number is not stated in the report.
- Q You say DCA has one, but it's not stated in its report? A They identify each municipality either as an adequate development limit or a specific number for that development limit. For Rockaway Township, they indicate the development limit is adequate.
  - Q No specific number?
- A That is true.
- Q Why did they come up with specific numbers for some municipalities and not for others?

  A They identified the number when the development limit was exceeded.
- Ω How many municipalities in Morris County that are defendants in this suit had exceeded their

1		development limit? A One.	
2		Q What municipality was that?	
3		A Madison.	
4		Q Does the DCA Report give a definition	
5		of adequate? A Not that I recall.	
6		Q Do you have an understanding of what	
7		adequate means? A It means the	
8		development limit has not been exceeded.	
9		Q Is it as broad as that? Can it mean,	
10		for example, that there's room for two more houses,	
11		two more single residences in the municipality? And	
12		if that's the case, would it be adequate?	
13		A It is just as identified. They don't identify	
14		the development limit until that number is exceeded.	i
15	·	Q So that, presumably, my statement would	
16		be correct; that is, if there was room for one more	
17		house, the development limit would not have been exceed	.ed
18		and, therefore, the determination of DCA would have	
19		been "adequate"?  A I believe so.	
20		Q Does DCA have an equivalent of your	
21		Column G, allocation based on development limit?	
22			
23			
24		Q And what was that equivalent for	
25		Rockaway Township? A 1,708.	
		Q How did they arrive at an allocation	

1		based on the development limit if they didn't have
2		the development limit? A You didn't
3		understand what I said. They did have the development
4		limit, but they didn't identify it in this column.
5		Q Is it identified elsewhere?
6		A You can compute it.
7		Q Have you computed it?
8		A Yes.
9		Q What is the number for Rockaway Township
10		that comes from DCA's own figures?
11		A 44,984.
12		Q So you have made no changes in the
13		DCA developmental limit, is that correct, in your
14	-	report of September 30? A That's true.
15		Q And they arrived at the development
16	·	
17		limit by utilizing the criteria we discussed in the
18	1	prior deposition? A That's true.
19		Q Does DCA have an equivalent column to
20	· •	your Column I, redistribution of units?
21		A Yes.
22		Q And what was that figure for Rockaway
23		Township? A The one identified in
24		Column 9 of the report is 646.
25		Q You are referring to the DCA Report?
		A Yes.

	j.							- 1
1			Q	Does the	DCA Repo	rt have ar	n equivale	nt
2		to your	c Column	n J, adjus	sted hous	ing alloca	ation?	
3		<b>A</b>	Yes.					
4			Q	And what	is that	number?		
5		<b>A</b>	For Roo	ckaway Tow	nship?			
6			Q	For Rocka	way Town	ship.		
7		A	2,354.					
8			Q Q	Does the	DCA have	an equiva	alent of yo	our
9		Column	L, seco	ond redist	ribution	of units?	? ?	
10		A	They we	ent throug	the sai	me process	s, but it's	5
11		not ide	entifie	d in the r	report.			
12.			. <b>Q</b>	What is t	he proce	ss they we	ent through	h?
13	*.	A	A secon	nd redistr	ibution o	of the uni	its.	
14			Q	And are t	here con	clusions i	in the DCA	
15		Report	as to t	the alloca	ation of	that redis	stribution	?
l <b>6</b>		A	What do	o you mear	by "con	clusions":	?	
17			Q	Any numbe	ers?		А	No.
18		It is 1	reflecte	ed in the	final al	location,	but they	
19	a	don't i	identify	y the numb	er.			
20			Q	The 2,354	include	s a second	d redistril	oution
21		of unit	s with	respect t	o Rockaw	ay Townshi	ip?	
22		A	I belie	eve so.				
23	-		Q	So is it	the case	that the	equivalen	t
24		of you	c Column	n M, in th	ne DCA Re	port, with	h respect	to
25		Rockawa	ay Towns	ship, is t	the alloc	ation of 2	2,354?	

Š

BAYONNE,

. 8

1 Α Yes. 2 Does the DCA Report have an equivalent Q 3 of your Column N, indigenous 1970 share? 4 Α Yes. 5 And what is that number in the DCA Report, 6 with respect to Rockaway Township? 7 Α 436. 8 And is it the case that, with respect 9 to the DCA Report, for Rockaway Township, that 436 is 10 added to 2,354 to arrive at a final allocation? 11 Α That's correct. 12 And what is that number? 13 The final allocation? Α 14 Q Yes. Α For Rockaway 15 Township, it's 2,790. 16 And the equivalent in your report to 17 that 2,790 is Column Q, entitled "Final Allocation"? 18 Α Yes. 19 And your number is 6,102? 20 Α Yes. 21 Utilizing actual numbers, can you explain Q 22 the differential in Column A, with respect to Rockaway 23 Township, between your figure of 546 and the DCA Report 24 figure of 436? I did explain Α Yes. 25 that to you in the estimates for present housing needs.

19

I adjusted the estimated vacant units to represent a proportion of the population for low and moderate income persons, and I added to those figures estimated housing needs based on households paying more than 25% of their income for housing costs.

Q I would like to take you through the actual numbers, if you will show me mathematically how you took 436 and adjusted it to arrive at 546.

A I do not have those figures with me. It is not very complicated. It's an addition of numbers.

Q Well, can you do it here?

A I said I don't have those figures with me.

MR. SIROTA: Go off the record.

(There is a short discussion off the record.)

(There is a short recess.)

MR. SIROTA: I would like to put something on the record.

This is the second renewed deposition of Miss Brooks.

At the last deposition, which was approximately two weeks ago, Mr. Bisgaier advised that his office made an error and had cancelled Miss Brooks while we were all here, other than Miss Brooks. Miss Brooks did not appear, through no fault of her own.

On the second day we have commenced depositions, I've been advised that Miss Brooks does not have the necessary material with her in order to give depositions with respect to the differentials between her subconclusions and those contained in the DCA report.

She agrees and confirms my memory, that the purpose of the additional report was to actually plug in the numbers and to issue a report using the methodology on which I've already deposed her to come up with new numbers involving Rockaway Township and the other municipalities.

She now advises she is unable to testify with respect to that today. This has resulted in an imposition upon the defendants and, of course, more meaningful, great expense.

What I would like to do, Mr. Bisgaier -- MR. BISGAIER: Off the record.

(There is a short discussion off the record.)

MR. SIROTA: What I would like to do,

Carl, is to continue the deposition as best

I'm able, pick up at a later time, at which

time, presumably, Miss Brooks would bring all

her work sheets related to all these reports.

Is that agreeable?

MR. BISGAIER: Before you do, I would like to respond to the -- whatever it is you just put on the record, for whatever purpose it was done.

Witnesses cannot be expected to know beforehand everything they are going to be asked at deposition. I suggested that Miss Brooks' deposition be done at her office. It was not.

Any number of the defendants' witnesses, if not all of them, to my recollection, including Miss Mc Dermott's witness, Mr. Lindbloom; Mr. Zimmerman, the Common Defense witness; Mr. Gershon, who is a Common Defense witness; Mr. Polow, a Common Defense witness; every witness I deposed in this case, has not had certain work sheets with them in order to be able to remember in gross detail how they computed various numbers.

I don't think it's an incredulous
error on anyone's part. I don't think it's
an error at all. If you want to make a
Federal case out of it, go ahead. We will
send you copies of work sheets, just like we

have asked other witnesses to do, and we will reschedule the deposition, if you want.

MS. MC DERMOTT: I would just like to note for the record that copies of Mr. Lindbloom's work sheets had previously been supplied, prior to his deposition.

MR. BISGAIER: That's not true. He is sending me work sheets that I requested at the deposition.

MS. MC DERMOTT: When they were in reference to my town, all the work sheets with reference to Passaic, they were supplied.

MR. BISGAIER: That's not true. He is sending them now on how he did his computations, which I still haven't gotten. All the work sheets that Reading did were not submitted. I was not able to ask him any questions about that, and that's for your town and any other one he did.

Is that wrong? Did I make a mistake at the deposition? Did he have them all there?

MS. MC DERMOTT: No. But as far as any specific data he took from our town, it was supplied.

MR. BISGAIER: Why didn't he have them?
Were you pulling some number on me?

MR. SIROTA: I don't see the parallel.

The purpose of this deposition was to do exactly what I said.

MR. BISGAIER: Why don't you go ahead.

MR. SIROTA: I'm talking now, Mr. Bisgaier.

The purpose of this deposition was to do exactly
what I was doing. It was known to all parties.

The witness so testified.

What I would like to do is to start deposing the witness on DB-3 and DB-4 with,

I hope, the recognition that I might have to come back. And I recognize I'm taking the reports out of order, but I don't think that's terribly significant.

Is that agreeable, Carl?

MR. BISGAIER: I don't care.

Q Miss Brooks, with reference to DB-3, who asked you to prepare this report?

A The Public Advocates.

this report?

A The report takes

three different population projections and compares

the allocations for Morris County based on the New

Jersey DCA Housing Allocation Report and as I adjusted

those allocations in prior submissions.

1	Q What are the population projections
2	utilized in the DCA Report?
3	A In their Housing Allocation Report?
4	Q Did you accept that Housing Allocation
5	Report in your report of September, 1979, for
6	population projections? A Yes.
7	Q Did you adjust their population projection
8	in your September, 1979 report?
9	A No.
10	Q Then, is it fair to say that this report
11	demonstrates the adjustments to allocations that would
12	be made in the event a different population projection
13	were utilized? A No, it doesn't
14	represent adjustments in the allocations. It represents
15	the same method used in those allocations. It's just
16	based on a different population projection.
17	Q Then, does it not show the different
18	numbers that would result as a result of using different
19	population projections? A That's true.
20	Q What are the three alternate population
21	projections that you utilized? I refer you to Page
22	2 of your report. A Thank you.
23	The first one is the one used by DCA in its
24	Housing Allocation Report. It's the July, 1975
25	Series 2 Population Projections for New Jersey It

was prepared by the Office of Business Economics of 1 New Jersey Department of Labor & Industry. 2 3 And that was the one actually utilized 4 That's correct. by DCA --5 -- in their report? 6 Yes. 7 Q 8 report of September, 1979? Α 9 one I used, yes. 10 The second one is --11 What -- Go ahead. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 ODEA Series? Α 22 23 24 25

And the one accepted by you in your That's the -- March, 1979 Projections prepared by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection used in the Northeast New Jersey Water Quality Management Plan Draft. The third one is an April, 1979 Projection prepared by the Office of Business Economics of the New Jersey Department of Labor & Industry, referred to as the ODEA Series, reported in New Jersey Revised Total & Interim Age & Sex Population Projections. Is the third one a draft report, the Not to my knowledge. Well, is your September, 1979 report the report upon which your testimony as to allocation will be based and all the other factors we have discussed here?

ż

23

24

25

MR. PANTEL: I think you are referring to the August, 1979 report.

> Strike that. MR. SIROTA:

I've been corrected. My references are to the August, '79 report that we have discussed earlier, the one where you make various adjustments to the DCA Report and come up with conclusions, the final conclusion being the final allocation to each of the individual municipalities.

What is your final conclusion as to the proper allocation, if there is such, to Rockaway Township, for example? What is the allocation number that I presented in the August report for Rockaway Township?

6,102. I'm not O Α asking you a question. I'm trying to clarify if that's the question you are asking me.

My question is: What is your conclusion Q as to a final allocation for the Township of Rockaway?

You mean what is that number that I reached as a final allocation?

I mean just what I said.

If that's what you mean by "conclusion," the number for Rockaway Township is 6,102.

What is today's date?

07002

ž

BAYONE,

. 8

1

Α

2

3

4 5

6

7

12

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

January the 28th.

1980. Q.

Today, as we sit here, do you have an opinion as to a proper final allocation for the Township of Rockaway?

MR. BISGAIER: Based on the reports?

MR. SIROTA: I'm asking for her testimony today. Presuming this was the day of trial, she would give an answer to that question. I'm asking her that question. When I get an answer to that, I'll work backwards and see what it's based upon.

Α I have presented a number based on adjustments I made in the New Jersey DCA Housing Allocation Report.

And is that your testimony?

That is the number that I have presented to date, A yes.

So that, is it the case, as of today, in your opinion, the proper allocation, for the Township of Rockaway, proper final allocation, is 6,102? Based on adjustments that I made to the New Jersey DCA Housing Allocation Reports.

Well, do you have an opinion as to what the proper final allocation should be for the Township of Rockaway? I have not prepared

a separate report. I have prepared the adjustments to the New Jersey DCA Report, which I thought were appropriate.

Q You are relying upon those?

MR. BISGAIER: I guess I object to this.

I believe there's a confusion. She was not asked to, because of a lack of time, make further adjustments as a result of the population projections and comparisions that are in the September report. Is that what you are getting at?

MR. SIROTA: Yes.

O Do you intend to use the September report, which utilizes alternate population projections, to come up with alternate final allocations for each of the defendant municipalities?

A I've not been asked to do so, no.

MR. SIROTA: Carl, can we expect an additional report or an attempted additional report? I don't want to waste my time deposing her on something that she's not going to utilize substantatively. Is she going to come up with additional numbers based upon the adjustments to population projections contained in these two alternate population projections?

MR. BISGAIER: Not as part of her direct

testimony. The deadline for expert reports is over. She did not have time to do what you are asking the questions about.

A As I indicated, I used the reports to show the difference in allocations for Morris County based on the different population

projections. I did not carry through that method to individual jurisdictions.

Q It would be mathematics to carry it through, would it not, relatively simple mathematics?

It may take some time, but relatively simple mathematics?

- A That's true.
  - Q But you have not done that?
- A That's right.
- Q And you have not been instructed to do that? A That's correct.
- Q And you have no present intention of doing that? A That's correct.
- Q Do the alternates, the March, 1979
  Projection, Northeast New Jersey Water Quality

Management Plan, which I'll refer to as the March, 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 State of New Jersey? Α 8 believe so. 10 11 12 municipality? 13 14 15 municipalities? 16 17 18 projections for Morris County? 19 Yes. 20 21 I believe so. 22 23 24 25 intervals? I believe so.

'79 projection from now on, and the April, 1979 ODEA Series, New Jersey Revised Total & Interim Age & Sex Population Projections, which I'll refer to as the April, '79 Projections from now on, arrive at population projections for each municipality in the No, I don't MR. BISGAIER: They are County projections. Does the July, 1975 Population Projection, the one used by DCA, arrive at projections for each I don't believe so. Was it necessary, to the DCA calculations, to break those calculations up to individual No, it wasn't. Did the July, 1975 Projection arrive at various conclusions with respect to population And was it for 10 year intervals? And do you have those numbers with you today; that is, the population projections for the July, 1975 Study for the year 1980 and then at 10 year

1		Q You do? A Un-nun.
2		Q What were the population projections in
3		the July, 1975 Study for Morris County?
4		A I'm sorry? Could you repeat the question?
5		Q Did the July, 1975 Study reach conclusions
6		as to the prospective population of Morris County, for
7		any years? A What I have in the report
- 8		is for 1990.
9		Q And that was the year that DCA utilized?
10		Is that correct? A That's correct.
11		Q And what was the figure for 1990?
12		A For Morris County?
13		Q Yes. A 475,890.
14		Q DCA used estimates of population in
15		group quarters. Could you explain that phrase?
16		Let me refer you to Page 2 of your
17		report, the last sentence, and ask you whether you
18		can explain those? A Could I just have
19 20	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	a second to check something?  Q Would you explain the last two sentences
21	-	of Page 2 of your report, which is DB-3?
22		A The New Jersey DCA, in its earlier Housing
23		Allocation Report, based used population projections
24		we have been referring to as the July, 1975 Series.
25		In the May, 1978 Revised Statewide Housing Allocation

Report, they revised those projections by removing

33

Brooks - direct

1

1 (The following was read by the reporter: 2 Is there a difference in/methodology "OUESTION: 3 between the March, '79 Population Projection 4 and the July, 1979 -- '75 Population Projection?") 5 Is there a difference in the method used? Α 6 Yes, to calculate. 7 Α Yes. 8 Would you explain that difference? 9 The July, 1975 Series 2 Population Projections 10 were prepared by the Office of Business Economics of 11 the New Jersey Department of Labor & Industry. 12 are -- it is one of four series that are projected 13 in the New Jersey Population Projections. The Series 14 2 is basically a projection of continued trends based 15 primarily on the period 1970 to 1974. The March, 16 1979 Projections are prepared by the Department of 17 Environmental Protection for the State of New Jersey. 18 They are based on the ODEA Series prepared by the 19 Office of Business Economics of the New Jersey Department 20 of Labor & Industry. They are an adjustment to those 21 series based on a variety of State developmental 22 policies, primarily. 23 The ODEA Series is the same series of 24 which the April, '79 Projection is a part? 25 Α That's true.

And on your Page 5, you give, for Morris 1 0 2 County, 470,000 as the 1990 projection, is that correct, 3 under the March, '79 Study? 4 Α For Morris County, yes. 5 And under the ODEA Series, the April, 6 1979 Study, your projection, for Morris County, would 7 be 453,900, is that correct, for 1990? 8 For Morris County, that's correct. 9 Can you account for the large differential 10 in the projections for Essex County? I'm now referring 11 Among all three? to your Page 5. 12 Yes. The primary difference Α 13 between the '79 -- I'm sorry, the March, '79 and April, 14 '79 is based on the March, '79's use of the State 15 revitalization policy and assumed that, rather than a 16 decline of population, the population would stabilize 17 for Essex County. The July, 1975 Projection is based 18 on a continuation of current trends in 1970-'74, which 19 may have indicated a continuing growth. I'm not sure. 20 What were those years? I missed the years. 21 1970 to '74. Α 22 Weren't those the years that were 23 utilized in the July, '75 Study? 24 That's what I just said. Α 25 Excuse me. I thought you were referring

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	t	to the April, '79 study.
2	Į Z	I started out with the April, '79, and I ended
3	į į	up with the July, 1975.
Į.		Q How do you account for the difference
5	l	petween the March, '79 and the April, '79?
•	Į z	A That's what I started out with. The difference
,	j	in those two figures is primarily the result of the
3	N N	March, '79 making an adjustment for the State
)	ll r	revitalization policy and assuming that the Essex
)		County population would stabilize rather than decline.
		Q I hope I'm not asking the same question.
	H.	How do you account, then, for the difference between
	t	the July, '75 and the April, '79?
	<u> </u>	You are asking the same question over and over
	ā	again.
		Q Then, perhaps I don't understand it.
	P.	A My assumption is that the July, 1975 Series,
		pecause it's based on a continuation of 1970 to 1974
		trends, has incorporated in that a projected population increase.
		Q In other words, you are suggesting that,
	 	petween 1970 and '74, there is reason to believe that
		Essex County would have a greater growth in the future

Brooks - direct

ggesting that, believe that growth in the future than the years utilized for the April, 1979 Report, Projection Report? That's not entirely

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	i ŧ
21	
22	1
23	
24	
25	

accurate, but something like that, yes.

Brooks- direct

Q Well, what years were utilized for the April, 1979 Report? A The population -- the projection is a different method.

Q How is it different?

A The ODEA Series is a combination of population projection and migration patterns, and the migration patterns are primarily based on economic activity within an area, and that economic activity is identified primarily as a residence labor population and labor force that would be expected based on the economic activity within an area. That type of a projection is different that what went on in the Series 2 Projection when it's a continuing trend.

Q Economic activity in what years?

I don't know the answer to that.

Q Migration would be, I take it, the same years as the economic activity that was mentioned?

A Yeah. They really don't, as I recall, go for any specific period. They take a population -- I mean they did not identify the time period. They take the population and treat it really as three -- in three different groups, the population below 65 and population over 65 and the military, and they use different methods for the population below 65 and the

It's lower,

25

population over 65. The population below 65 is based 1 2 primarily on the economic development model. 3 The comparison of the Region 2 -- Region 0 4 11 -- is it Region 2 or Region 11? 5 Region 11. 6 The Region 11 conclusions for the July, 0 7 '75 and the April, '79 show a considerably lower 8 number for the April, '79 Report than the March, '75 9 report. Is that correct? 10 yes. 11 Can we conclude, from that, the Q 12 economic activity is elsewhere or that those preparing 13 the April, '79 Report found that economic activity 14 was elsewhere; that is, other than in Region 11? 15 No, I don't believe you can conclude that. 16 It doesn't indicate that economic development is not 17 going on. 18 I understand that. 19 But the July, 1975 Population Projections 20 did not take into account economic activity and 21 migration, which the latter of the most recent report 22 flows with economic activity? 23 They were taken into account. They were not 24 taken into account in the same way.

Explain the difference of how they were

ž

BAYONNE,

60.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A To the extent that economic activity affects the migration patterns, it was incorporated in the

consider economic activity?

1	assumption that the migration patterns that occurred
2	between 1970 and '74 would continue. In
3	Q Is it the case that the 1975 Report
4	essentially arrives at migration patterns with the
5	basic assumption that they flowed with economic
6	activity, while the later report measured economic
7	activity and assumed that migration would flow with
8	economic activity? A That's basically
9	correct.
10	Q If they were for the same years, there
11	shouldn't be a difference, assuming both assumptions
12	were correct? A I said they weren't
13	for the same years.
14	Q Was the A And the
15	other methods are not comparable, as I already explained.
16	Q Do you know what assumptions the April,
17	1979 report made with respect to economic activity,
18	for example, in Morris County, assumptions or
19	conclusions? A Well, other than
20	the way that I've described the method to you, no.
21	Q How did they measure economic activity,
22	do you know, housing starts,
23	A No.
24   25	Q new jobs?
	A I could look it up quickly.

Brooks - direct

Brooks - direct	41
Migration patterns are based on project	ions
of residents, labor demand and labor force sup	plied,
which incorporated such factors as employment	activity
unemployment rates, labor force participation	rates
and other factors, such as computation of resi	dential
preference. That's the way the New Jersey Dep	artment
of Labor & Industry describes them.	
Q Which projection do you feel is	the
most appropriate, the best projection?	
A I did not study the projection method f	or that

purpose.

For what purpose did you study the projection methods? To make this conversion.

What's the purpose of the comparison? To show the variation in the allocations that result from different population projections.

And what's the effect, as the population Q projection goes down, on the allocations?

Α Generally, the allocation goes down.

So that is it the case that DCA used a population projection which would produce the highest allocation to Morris County and to the defendant municipalities? I don't understand that question.

2 3 · 4 correct? Α 5 6 8 Α 9 10 11 were not available? 12 13 14 15 for Morris County? 16 17 Q Yes, I do. A. 18 19 20 21 the defendant municipalities? 22 Α I don't know. 23 24 to determine whether that's the case? 25

Well, of the three compared, DCA used the 1975 Report, which, for Region 11, came up with the highest population projection for 1990. Of the three, yes.

Does that necessarily translate itself to establish that, of the three, DCA used the projection which would produce the highest housing allocation? I don't think that's a conclusion that can be drawn. Secondly, the report was prepared in May, 1978.

And you are saying the other two reports Not available.

Assuming they were available and DCA had a choice of all three, is it the earliest report which would produce the highest housing allocation That's true. I assume you mean the earliest population projection?

Yes.

And is it the case that the March, '79 Report and the April, 1979 Report, if utilized, would produce a lower final housing allocation for each of

What other information would you need

One would have to follow through the allocation

Brooks - direct

A	I	thi	nk	one	e can	ide	ntify	y tha	t t	he	resu	lting
allocat	io	ns	alt	er	based	don	the	basi	c po	opu	latio	on
project	io	ns.										

Q And with respect to Morris County, utilizing the alternate population projections, do the allocations reduce the number?

A Yes.

Q Is it the case that, if your August 30, 1979 report was adjusted to utilize the alternate population projections, that your conclusion with respect to the County as a whole would be less, be reduced?

A By "conclusions," you mean the final allocation?

Q Yes. A I believe so.

Q Would it also be true that the conclusions, with respect to each allocation for each municipality defendant in this matter, would be reduced?

A As I already stated, I don't know that. I didn't follow through on those calculations.

Q Well, just theoretically, how could they not be? Wouldn't the allocations among the municipalites be in the same proportion as they are in your, in fact, August 30, 1979 report?

A Largely, that is true. Those jurisdictions that have breached their development limit would have a lower

	L
2	2
3	3
4	.
. 5	•
6	,
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

•	α·-	 	 	
allocation.				

- Q So you are saying my statement is correct, except to the extent of which some municipalities may reach their development limit?
- A I would think so.

Brooks - direct

- Q And on Table 2 of your report, I'm referring to DB-3, Column K, does that column evidence the differences if one used the different population projections?

  A Yes, it does.
- Q In the order you give them, those are the 1975 and then the March, 1979 and April, '79 reports?
- A That's true.
- Q So the most up to date and only alternate final report that you give shows a reduction in housing units relative to Morris County. Is that correct?
  - I'm sorry? Could you repeat that?

    MR. SIROTA: Read that back, please.

    (The following was read by the reporter:

"QUESTION: So the most up to date and only alternate final report that you give shows a reduction in housing units relative to Morris County. Is that correct?")

A The comparison of the population projections, the three different population projections, do show a lower allocation for the County, yes. I'm not sure

Brooks - direct

The March, '79 Study, you testified, The Water Quality Study is a draft study. The population projections used by the Water Quality Study are the State population projections. Do you understand? Well, you referred, as I understand it, to the March, '79 study as the source for your population projections. Is that the case, or is that study a secondary source? Did it borrow those projections from someone else or some other entity? The Northeast New Jersey Water Quality Management Plan uses the ODEA Series and makes adjustments to those projections, and those adjusted projections are incorporated in the Northeast New Jersey Water Quality So the differential between the April and March, '79 population projections are the modifications Yes.

A	That	pro	obab.	ly s	hould	be	c]	larifie	d. The	report
is	prepared	by	the	Dep	artme	nt	of	Enviro	nmental	
Pro	otection.									

Q Which report? A The March, '79 Report, the Northeast New Jersey Water Quality Management Plan, the projections are of -- were adjusted based on the State development policy, which is not left entirely to the Department of Environmental Protection.

Q So what DEP did, correct me if I'm wrong, is take the ODEA Study, which is the Department of
Labor Study, and then adjusted it so that it was in
accordance with the State development policy, which
is produced by DCA. Is that correct?
A Others, other than the Department of
Environmental Protection, probably had input in those
adjustments. It was not done entirely by the
Department of Environmental Protection, although that
report is prepared by the Department of Environmental
Protection.

MR. BISGAIER: Do you want to go off the record a second?

MR. SIROTA: Sure.

(There is a short discussion off the record.)

MR. SIROTA: Back on the record.

1		Q Miss Brooks, who developed the State
2		development policy?  A To my
3		understanding, it comes out of the Governor's Office.
4		Q And is it in a written form?
5		A I don't know.
6		Q And does it reflect or project the
7		allocation of State resources?
8		A I don't know.
9		Q Is it fair to say that the State
10		development policy is the State of New Jersey's
11		determination of what should be, and that they intend
12		to bring that to reality and utilize State resources
13 14		to bring that to reality?
15		A I don't know. My understanding is it's a
16		reflection of stated policies in the State. To my
17		mind, that's not the same as what should go on
18		necessarily.
19		Q Well, you have testified that the
20		difference between the ODEA Study and the 208 Study
21		is the State development policy involvement. Is
22		that correct? A Yes.
23	-	Q So, then, is it fair to say that the
24		State development policy encourages or projects a
25		population for 1990, for Essex County, which is
		approximately \$100,000 greater than that projected in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

-	New Je	rsey Wat	ter	Qualit	y Man	ageme	ent	Plan.
16	•	Q	And	these	fact	ors f	flow	ed fr
17	develo	pment po	olic	y?			A	
18		Q	Wha	t were	the	facto	ors?	
19	A	Some of	f th	em wer	e the	Hack	cens	ack M
20	•	pment pi						
21	the Tro	oy conse	erva	tion a	rea.			
22		Q	Cou	ld you	spel	1 tha	at?	
23	A	T-r-0-5	<i>?</i> •	I'm no	t sur	e abo	out	that.
24		Q	Whe	re is	that	area	?	
25	A	Passaio	c Co	unty.				

23

24

25

Q Were there any policies, similar policies, which affected the July, 1975 Population Projection?

A Not that I recall.

MR. BISGAIER: Off the record a second.

1	(There is a short discussion off the record.)
2	(There is a short recess.)
3	Q I want to ask a series of questions now,
4	Miss Brooks, about DB-4, which is your report of
5	December 14, 1979, Programs to Increase Housing in
6	Suburban municipalities for Lower Income Persons.
7	Who is actually preparing the handbook
8	which this report is related, to which this report
9	is related? A The staff of Suburban
10	Action Institute.
11	Q And when was a determination made to
12	prepare this handbook? A The comperat
13	agreement with the United States Department of Housing
14	& Urban Development was signed in, I believe, October,
15	1978.
16	Q Did the idea come from the Government
17	or from SAI or from some other source initially?
18	A It was initiated by the Department.
19	Q Any particular bureau or person within
20	the Department?  A The Office of
21	Community Planning & Development.
22	Q And they contacted Suburban Action
23	Institute and requested they prepare such a handbook?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q And in October, 1978, there was an

Brooks - direct

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

agreement to prepare the handbook between the Government or HUD and SAI?

A Yes.

- Q Does that agreement provide for a description of the handbook?
- A Only very generally.

Brooks - direct

- A That you should recognize several things: One, it's a cooperative agreement, and there are other items included in that cooperative agreement in addition to the preparation of the handbook. The handbook was to provide examples of programs in suburban areas to provide housing for lower income persons.
- Q Was it to propose programs or to memorialize programs which were either in existence or already proposed? A It was to describe those that are in existence.
- Q What else was in that cooperative agreement that you referred to?
- A Suburban Action Institute was also requested to carry on a variety of activities, to provide technical assistance to jurisdictions, to assist them in developing and/or implementing programs, to provide housing for lower income people.
  - Q Is SAI doing that now?

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

y

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, we are.

Q With what jurisdictions?

The Technical Assistance Program has two phases Α One phase is referred to as the intensive to it. technical assistance. In this phase of the project, three metropolitan areas were selected jointly by the Department of Housing & Urban Development and Suburban Action Institute. We were asked, by Government agencies, fair housing groups and citizen groups in those metropolitan areas, to develop and implement The three metropolitan areas are Norfolk, programs. Virginia; Akron, Ohio; and Boston, Massachusetts The second part of the technical assistance program is to provide much less intensive technical assistance and sort of a brief technical assistance to agencies or organizations, to assist them in developing programs. The technical assistance would not be over a long period of time as it is in these three metropolitan areas, but would be the provision of expert advice, assistance in the preparation of reports, provision of sample materials, that kind of technical assistance. And those jurisdictions have not been selected.

Q So you haven't provided the second class of assistance to any jurisdictions in the United States?

A No, not within that

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

A I'm not familiar with the plans.

Q Does the Boston plan?

A I assume they do. That's a requirement for

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
. 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

							•	
а	n	p	r	О	V	а	T	
-	_	т-	_	_	•			

Q Does the Boston plan?

I believe so.

Do you know if such a plan has been submitted for any jurisdiction, including any of the defendant municipalities in this case?

As I recall, the Tri-State Regional Planning Commission put a draft plan together that was not Therefore, I don't believe it was approved. submitted. I should state, in addition to that, the Department of Housing & Urban Development, this year, put out a special notice for the Regional Housing Mobility Program. That was an invitation to 22 regional metropolitan areas to submit plans that would include an Interjurisdictional Section 8 Assistance Housing Along with that counselling effort, the Program. Tri-State Regional Planning Commission was one of the invited agencies. They did submit a plan, and I believe that has just been approved.

Q Did you happen to read in the newspaper

Sunday, in The New York Times, an article that

concerned Connecticut members, I believe the Regional

Plan Association, would have proposed eliminating

certain New Jersey counties from the New York

Metropolitan Region?

A No, I didn't

The report identifies

25

this lawsuit?

1		read that.
2		Q What are the two regional associations
3		One is the Regional Plan Association, is it not?
4		A The Regional Plan Association is in New York
5		City, yes.
6		Q Is there another regional plan entity?
<b>7</b>		A The Tri-State Regional Planning Commission.
8	-	Q And you have not heard anything with
9		Q And you have not heard anything with
10		respect to suggestions by any of those entities or
10		by constituent jurisdictions within those entities
11		to remove New Jersey counties from consideration in
12		their regional plans?  A No, I have
13		
14		not.
		MR. SIROTA: Go off the record.
15		(There is a short discussion off the record.)
16		Q With respect to DB-4, were you asked
17		to produce this particular report by the plaintiffs
18		or their attorneys? A The
19		of their actorneys:
20		memorandum dated December 14, 1979?
		Q One of the two, yes, Programs to
21		Increase Housing in Suburban Municipalites for Lower
22		Income Persons. A Yes.
23		Q And how do you feel that this report
24		or the information contained in it is relevant to

Brooks - direct

1	a variety of ways that jurisdictions can take on the
2	responsibility for providing lower income housing.
3	Q Has your office had any contact with
4	the Housing Authority of the County of Morris?
5	A In the preparation of this report?
6	Q Generally. A Not to my
7	knowledge.
8	Q So I would take it that you had no
9	contact with them in the preparation of the report,
10	DB-4? A Not to my knowledge.
11	Q Well, who prepared the report?
12	A The staff of Suburban Action Institute.
13	Q Who was in charge of preparing the
14	report? A I was.
15	Q What was your involvement in the report,
16	generally? A I was responsible for
17	laying out the design of the research, for overseeing
18	the activities of any staff person that worked on the
19	report, I prepared substantial parts of the report
20	myself and reviewed all materials that went into the
21	report.
22	Q On the front page of the report, there's
23	a statement to the effect that the report or the
24	material contained in the report is a summary draft,
25	

and it's been prepared for this case and is not, and

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

it's underlined, to be misconstrued as the handbook itself, which will be released in the spring of 1980.

How has this material been altered or adjusted for purposes of this litigation?

The handbook itself has, excluding an introduction and some other materials, basically 11 chapters in it, nine of which are represented here. Each individual chapter has probably a 10 to 15 page narrative which goes through a general description of the type of program that's being discussed, how the program operates, some of the advantages and disadvantages Following that is a summary chart of the program Following that will be several, that we reviewed. oh, two page case studies of individual programs that were selected for more in depth description. material presented here is taken from the very first draft of the handbook and does not have any of the case studies included in it. And this material; the charts, for instance, have been edited and updated. They have been reviewed by the jurisdictions whose programs are represented. And any corrections were made based on those comments. The narrative portion contained here is really taken very much as the summary from the much longer narrative that's contained in the handbook.

1			Q	Did	the	rele	vant	. ju	r
2		these	actual	char	ts th	at w	e ha	ıve	i
3		A	They w	ere :	sent	to t	hem	for	
4			Q	And	did	each	jur	isd	i
5		these	charts	corr	ectly	cha	ract	eri	z
6		А	The on	ly ji	urisc	licti	ons	tha	t
7		correc	t that,						
8			aft han						
9									
10		case s	tudies,			the			
11		on the	charts						
12									_
13			retatio						
14		Α	They a	re ta	aken	from	mat	eria	Э.
15		the ju	risdict						
16			Q	And	then	mad	e in	to a	3
17			t form.			cor	rect	?	
		Α	That's	cor	rect.				
18			Q	But	that	has	not	be	21
19		the re	levant	juris	sdict	ions	?		
20		A	Not by	all	of t	hem.			
21			Q	And	the	only	one	s tl	h.
22		confir	med are	thos	se to	whi	ch y	ou (	d
23		both i	n descr	iptio	on an	d, I	'm s	ure	,
24		Is tha	t corre	ct?		·	A		
25		are de	scribed	in t	the c	ase :	stud	ie <b>s</b>	
	I								

Q Did the relevant jurisdictions review
these actual charts that we have in the report?
A They were sent to them for review.
Q And did each jurisdiction concur that
these charts correctly characterize their programs?
A The only jurisdictions that reviewed to
correct that, the only jurisdictions that reviewed
the draft handbook were those on whom we prepared
case studies, not all the individual chart programs.  Q So that the individual programs contained
on the charts are your interpretation or your staff's
interpretation of the program?
A They are taken from material given to us by
the jurisdictions.
Q And then made into a synopsis or into
a chart form. Is that correct?  A That's correct.
Q But that has not been confirmed with
the relevant jurisdictions?
A Not by all of them.
Q And the only ones that have been
confirmed are those to which you devoted more time,
both in description and, I'm sure, in your own energies

They are those that

their programs?

1		Q How do you characterize the programs
2		that you studied in depth as opposed to those that
3		are just in the chart? Do you have a nomenclature for
4		those kind of programs?
5		A The ones that are written up in greater detail?
6		Q Yes. A We have referred
7		to them as case studies.
8		
9		Q Are case studies contained in the report
10		of December 14, '79? A No, they are
		not.
11		Q Getting back to my question, with respect
12		to the material contained in your report, these have
13		not been confirmed with relevant jurisdictions. Is
14		that correct?
15		MR. BISGAIER: What do you mean by
16		"confirmed"?
17		A I don't understand.
18		MR. BISGAIER: She has testified that
19		the information was derived from the information
20	e de la companya de La companya de la co	those jurisdictions provided.
21		Q After you prepared this report and
22		
23		synopsis of the charts with respect to each of these
		programs, utilizing their information, you did not
4		direct these back to the jurisdictions to confirm if
5		they agree with your characterization of their programs

1	Only the case studies.	
2	Q And the case studies are not included	
3	n DB-4? A The projects listed on	
4	the charts are also ones that the case studies were	
5	prepared on.	
6	Q And what percentage of the ones listed	
7	on the charts are also case studies?	
8	I don't really know. At least half.	
9	Q Do any of the programs exist in Morris	
10	County today, any of the programs mentioned in your	
11	report? A No.	
12 13	 Q Have you had any contact with any group	
14	n Morris County with respect to implementing a	
15	rogram, such as the ones contained in your report,	
16	DB-4? A No.	
17	Q And by that, I mean even outside your	
18	cooperative agreement with HUD.	
19	Not that I know of.	
20	Q With any of the plaintiffs in this action	h
21	MR. BISGAIER: What is the question?  I'm not sure what the question is, myself.	
22	Q Do you have any program, or are you	
23	dvising any of the plaintiffs in this action with	
24	respect to a present program, such as those related	
25		
	n your DB-4 report, or with respect to any future	

program? 1 MR. BISGAIER: You mean other than what's 2 contained in DB-4? 3 MR. SIROTA: Other than the fact this 5 is a report to the plaintiffs, that's correct. 6 Presumably, the plaintiffs have this 7 report. Other than this report, have you or SAI 8 advised any of the plaintiffs in this action with 9 respect to the general subject matter of this report; 10 that is, Programs to Increase Housing in Suburban 11 Municipalities or Lower Income Persons? 12 Let me make sure I understand. Beyond what s 13 contained in this report or the subject matter treated 14 in this report? 15 No. Beyond this report, have you sent 16 anything, other than this report. 17 Over and above this? 18 Correct. No. 19 THE WITNESS: Is that correct? 20 Not that I know of. Α 21 MR. BISGAIER: Off the record. 22 (There is a short discussion off the record.) 23 What is an AHOP agency? 24 AHOP --Α 25 Q It's not a place where you buy pancakes.

AHOP refers to an areawide housing opportunity plan. 2 3 the plan together and adopts it? 4 5 That's true. Α 6 7 8 developed in Boston? Α 9 10 United States. 11 12 13 Α 14 15 0 16 plan is approved? Α 17 18 19 Morris County? No. 20 21 areawide housing opportunity plan. 22 23 County? Α 24 25 areawide housing opportunity plan. Tri-State Regional

And an agency is the entity that puts Such as the plans you testified to earlier in Akron and Norfolk and the one that's being Yes. They have been approved in some 31 regions throughout the Do we have an AHOP agency covering Morris County or any portion of Morris County? You do not have an approved areawide housing opportunity plan that covers Morris County. And there is no such agency until a No, Tri-State Regional Planning Commission would be the agency. So there is an AHOP agency covering The AHOP agency refers to an agency that has an approved Is there an AHOP agency covering Morris I just said that the AHOP agency refers to an agency that has an approved

. 1		Could submit such a plan. It has not done so.
2		Q Since there is no plan, there is no
3		AHOP agency? A There is an agency
4		that could produce an AHOP. To my knowledge, they
5		do not have an approved one now.
6		Q You used the expression "AHOP agency"
7		in your report. What does that mean?
8		A An AHOP agency is an agency that has prepared
9		and approved an areawide housing opportunity plan.
10		Any regional planning agency can submit an areawide
11		housing opportunity plan.
12		Q And they do not become an AHOP agency
13		until their plan is approved?
14		A They become an AHOP agency when the plan is
15		approved.
16		Q So there is no AHOP agency presently
17		for Morris County. Is that correct?
18		A There's an agency that could be.
19		Q I'm going to keep asking the question.
20		Is there currently an AHOP agency
21	en la	A The question is not a direct answer, and I
22		can't answer it any other way.
23		Q You described an animal in your report
24		as an AHOP agency. A I'll explain
25		it to you the best I can. Tri-State Regional Planning
	t	

Commission is the regional planning agency with jurisdiction over Morris County. Tri-State Regional Planning Commission is an eligible applicant for an approved areawide housing opportunity plan. They would be the AHOP agency were there an AHOP for this region. There is not an AHOP for this region.

Q So they are not an AHOP agency?

A They do not have an approved areawide housing opportunity plan.

Q So they are, therefore, not an AHOP agency?

A I've answered that question the best I can.

Q What are A-95 clearinghouse agencies?

A A-95 clearinghouse agencies are agencies that

were set up by the office of Management & Budget in

a memorandum literally numbered A-95, which sets forth

the procedure whereby regional and state clearinghouses

have the authority and responsibility to review and

comment on applications for Federal funds from

jurisdictions within those regions.

Q Is there an A-95 clearinghouse agency for an area including Morris County?

A Tri-State Regional Planning Commission is the regional A-95 clearinghouse. The New Jersey State Department of Community Affairs is the state A-95 clearinghouse.

1

24

25

MR. BISGAIER: Off the record. (There is a short discussion off the record.) MR. SIROTA: Let's put it on the record.

I will not clearly be able to finish Miss Brooks today since I can't depose her on the August memorandum and because I'm simply not going to reach it. I have proposed additional dates. I have asked Mr. Bisgaier to set as early a date as possible, especially in light of the error which caused the cancellation of the last proposed deposition of Miss Brooks when we were all present. We've given him the dates of January 29, January 30, February 1, February 5, February 6 and February 7 and ask that he set the deposition for one of those dates. I would also remind him there are twenty odd additional municipalities that have to depose Miss Brooks.

MR. BISGAIER: Off the record a second. (There is a short discussion off the record.) MR. SIROTA: Back on the record.

I don't want to push it off that far.

MR. BISGAIER: That may just be the way it goes, unless the judge gives me three more months to depose the witnesses that are

scheduled.

around.

MR. SIROTA: Off the record.

(There is a short discussion off the record.)

(There is a luncheon recess.)

MR. BISGAIER: I've spoken with Mary and my office concerning future dates. Mary has informed me that she is leaving to visit her family tomorrow and will not be back until Sunday. In any event, I have a settlement conference tomorrow. I have a personal matter with my son on the 30th at his school. I have a deposition on the 31st. I would otherwise have been free on Friday, but Mary won't be here, anyway. On the 4th, we both could be available. On the 5th, we both could be available. On the 6th -- is that true?

THE WITNESS: I have to switch something

MR. BISGAIER: On the 5th, Mary can't be available, but I can.

How about the 6th?

THE WITNESS: That's all right.

MR. BISGAIER: The 6th, we both could be available. I have a deposition on the 7th.

I have a deposition on the 8th. My calendar

is clear for the llth.

MR. SIROTA: All right. How about setting aside the 4th, the 6th and 1lth because there will be people who follow after me. I'm hopeful I will finish the morning of the 4th, myself.

MR. BISGAIER: Well, are you sure that all those days are necessary?

MR. SIROTA: I believe they are. Let's set them. If they are not, we can cancel them. It's difficult to obtain dates. I think it's best to set them.

So if it's okay with you, we will establish it for 9:30 on the 4th.

MR. BISGAIER: 10:00.

MR. SIROTA: 10:00 on the 4th, 10:00 on the 6th and 10:00 on the 11th.

MR. BISGAIER: Are you going to be communicating to the other attorneys who you say are interested?

MR. SIROTA: Yes.

MR. BISGAIER: Do you know who they are?

MR. SIROTA: I know who some of them are.

I can't give you a list of all. I got calls

from at least four or five people.

MR. BISGAIER: You have four or five?
MR. SIROTA: At least.

MR. BISGAIER: I will convey; by letter, that is, my expectation that anybody who intends to take Miss Brooks' deposition will have read completely all of the depositions, the transcripts, the prior depositions. In light of my own time frame, the necessity for taking depositions of other witnesses, I will not permit Miss Brooks to answer any redundant question that has already been asked by you. I'm just saying that to convey that to the other people.

MR. SIROTA: I'm attorney for the Township of Rockaway. It was agreed that I would go first.

MR. BISGAIER: I don't think that's entirely forthright. Miss Brooks has been paid by the Common Defense for the time you are taking her deposition, and I must assume -

MR. SIROTA: I don't know that that's the case. At least a portion. You can make whatever representations you would like.

MR. BISGAIER: The other thing I would like to put on the record at this point and ask you to make a point in finding out what the

problem is: Miss Brooks informs me she has not been entirely paid for depositions that were completed in May of 1979. Apparently, one-third of her bill has not been paid at this time.

MR. SIROTA: Would you please send to me essentially an accounting of what's due Miss Brooks so I can look into that?

MR. BISGAIER: Okay.

Q With respect to Page 4, particularly, of your report, which is DB-4, are there any programs, that you know of, in Morris County, permitting a loan to a low or moderate income person at lower than the market rate for interest on mortgages?

MR. BISGAIER: Could you read that back again?

(The following was read by the reporter:

"QUESTION: With respect to Page 4, particularly,
of your report, which is DB-4, are there any
programs, that you know of, in Morris County,
permitting a loan to a low or moderate income
person at lower than the market rate for
interest on mortgages?")

A There are none mentioned in the handbook, and I don't know of any. But you should recognize, it

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

might save you some time, that the handbook is a compilation of programs that were made available to us by jurisdictions operating those programs based on a survey that we conducted. It is not intended that it be comprehensive.

- Q You don't know of any such programs in Morris County? A I said no.
- Q What about in the State of New Jersey?

  A No.

Well, do you know of any programs, as generally described in your report, other than the ones mentioned in your report, or is this a complete document as to all programs of this nature that you are familiar with? Well, I just explained to you that the document represents the results of a survey that we conducted asking for jurisdictions to send us information on programs they were operating. That survey was sent out to most planning agencies and other fair housing groups and a lot of other fair housing agencies throughout the State. The handbook is a compilation of the results of that survey. It does not pretend to be, nor was it intended to be, comprehensive. I, obviously, know of other programs that are going on that are not covered in the handbook.

1		Q Are you familiar with any programs
2		in Morris County permitting the accumulation of down
3		payments by means of sweat equity or mandatory savings
4		set aside from rent? A No, I'm not.
5		Q Any such programs in the State of New
6		Jersey? A No.
7		Q Are you familiar with any programs
8		in Morris County, operating in Morris County, extending
9		the time for repayment of the total debt, pursuant to
10		a second mortgage, repayable in part or in full after
11		the first is fully paid?  A Not specifical
12		MR. BISGAIER: Excuse me. Are you.
13		reading from something?
14	·	MR. SIROTA: Yes, as I said, Page 4 of
15		the report.
16		A No.
17		Q You say, "Not specifically." What do
18		you mean by "Not specifically"?
19		A You should recognize that, in preparation of
20	•	this handbook, we did not do a survey of programs
21		that are available in the State of New Jersey.
22		Q Right. I understand that.
23		Does this handbook represent all programs
24		of which you were apprised pursuant to your survey?
25		A No.

Brooks - direct

Q There are other programs which were not included? A Yes.

Q What was your criteria for including some and excluding some?

A The amount of information that was available, characteristics about the program, if they were interested, if they were programs we thought could be replicated in other areas. I guess those, primarily.

Q Did you exclude programs involving

Morris County? A I don't know.

Q New Jersey? A I don't know

Q Who would know?

A All the people that worked on the report.

Q Who made the determination whether to include or exclude programs?

A Different people working on different sections of the report make those decisions for that section of the report.

Q You had overall supervision of the report?

A I did.

Q Do you know if there are any programs in Morris County providing mortgage assistance together with or without other forms of counselling, credit counselling?

A No, I know that there's a New Jersey State Housing Finance Agency, and

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002 . FORM 2046

20

21

22

23

24

25

1		I did 1	not rev	iew all	of the	ir progr	rams in	prepara	tion
2		for th	is. So	I don'	t know	the spec	cifics.		
3			Q	What a	bout any	y progra	ms par	ticular	to
4		Morris	County	of tha	t like?				
5		A	Not tha	at I kn	ow of.				
6			Q	Are yo	u famil	iar with	any p	rograms	
7		provid	ing fina	ancial	assistar	nce to d	levelop	ers of	
8		multifa	amily b	uilding	s?		A	No.	
10			Q	None a	t all?		A	Are you	
		talking	g about	Morris	County	or New	Jersey	or	
11			Q	Well,	let's li	imit it	to Mor	ris Count	ty.
12		A A	No.	•	•				
13			Q	New Je:	rsey?			A	No.
14			Q	What p	rograms	are the	ere in	Morris Co	ounty
15		to help	people	e with	respect	to hous	ing lo	w and mod	derate
16		income	persons	s?		A	I know	of none	•
17			Q	Have ye	ou made	a study	of it	?	1
18		73				_			
19	*	A	No. Q	Have y	ou been	asked t	o make	a study	of it?
20		A	No.						
21		5 <b>4</b>	Q	Is the	re such	an avai	lable	program	in
22		Morris	County	?		A	There	are Sect	ion 8
23		units a	availabl	le in Mo	orris Co	ounty.			
24			Q	You do	n't knov	w how or	if th	ey are	
25		utilize	ed?		A			re, yes.	
1	-								1

1 I didn't hear your answer. 2 I know some are, yes. 3 How are they utilized? Is that rent 4 subsidization? Yes, it is. Α 5 Q With respect to the summary chart on 6 programs that provide financial assistance to lower 7 income households, can you point to any of these 8 programs in particular that operate in areas that are 9 similar to Morris County? 10 MR. BISGAIER: Do you understand what 11 he means by "similar"? 12 THE WITNESS: That would help, I guess, 13 initially, if he could identify what he means 14 by "similar." 15 Similar, in the sense of all the criteria 16 necessary to establish fair share housing for low 17 and moderate income people? 18 I don't understand that. 19 Similar, in the sense of percentage of 20 lower and moderate income persons, average income, 21 proximity to metropolitan areas? 22 MR. BISGAIER: Are you asking if there's 23 something unique to those municipalities or 24 programs where they are functioning which would 25 make them nonfunctional in Morris County?

MR. SIROTA: I'm asking the converse, I guess.

Q Are there any of these programs which, in particular, have been shown, by their operation in the past, to be particularly relevant to Morris County as opposed to every other jurisdiction in the country?

A Well, I can answer that question in part,

I guess. As I answered it before, the programs we selected to be in the handbook were selected in part because we believed they were replicable, that we believed they were not designed in such a way or operating in such a way that made them dependent on a particular set of circumstances to a particular jurisdiction.

Jurisdictions would effectively operate in Morris

County?

A Of the ones I'm most

familiar, I'm not familiar with one that could not be,

if that's a fair way to answer. There are several

jurisdictions in here that are, in certain ways,

very similar to Morris County.

Q Which ones? A Well, like
Fairfax County, Virginia; Westchester County, New York;
Dade County, Florida. They are all circumstances
were those are counties in a -- or with a relationship

No, Fairfax

25

to a large metropolitan area. 1 Well, the City of Miami is within Dade 2 It is. County? Α 3 What is the County seat of Fairfax 4 5 County? Is that Norfolk? Α 6 County is immediately adjacent to Washington, D. C. 7 The County seat is Arlington, I think. 8 Are there any of these programs that 9 you feel are particularly relevant to Morris County? 10 Any of them could be. I mean, I don't know 11 how to answer that. I've given you three that are 12 operated by counties, which I thought was a place to 13 begin, as opposed to, let's say, a state agency. 14 Don't programs meet different needs? 15 Would those programs permitting sweat equity, as you 16 describe it, be appropriate in an area that has a 17 relatively young housing stock? 18 Α It could be. 19 Is it fair to say they would be most 20 21 old housing stock? Α 22 23 24

appropriate to an urban or an entity that has an They may appear there more often. Suffolk County, for instance, in Long Island, New York has a sweat equity program. They appear most often in areas with older housing stock? Α Only because

2 programs are tied to rehabilitation. 3 So, presumably, if a subject area had 4 little housing which was appropriate for rehabilitation, 5 a sweat equity program would not be appropriate? 6 Not necessarily. That's what you asked me Α 7 Sometimes a sweat equity program is for 8 maintenance, maintenance going on in any unit, old 9 or new. 10 In your report, you seem to speak of it 11 in the sense of someone acquiring a new home, new 12 to that person. Would maintenance meet that criteria? 13 Α Maintenance -- there would be maintenance 14 responsibilities for that unit, as there would be for 15 any unit. 16 Aren't you describing a sweat equity 17 program as essentially providing the downpayment for 18 the home when someone purchases it? 19 Α Most of the sweat equity programs have that 20 intention, yes. 21 Wouldn't that most often operate in an 22 area where rehabilitated buildings or buildings subject 23 to rehabilitation existed? 24 I indicated it's most often there. It's not 25 exclusively there.

there's no rehabilitation going on, and sweat equity

78

Brooks - direct

1

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	11

Q If you had your choice of five programs, can't you, then, see that certain programs would be more suitable to certain areas, other programs to other areas?

A I think that's possible.

Q If you could make that gradation, which type of programs do you think would be most appropriate to Morris County? A Virtually any program that would increase the supply of lower cost housing.

Q What if you were limited to the number of programs and you had to select three or four programs? Which programs would you select for Morris County?

MR. BISGAIER: Is that too hypothethical for you? Do you know enough facts in order to answer that? I guess, what I'm saying, I don't understand the question, why there would be a need to limit or why you have to pick or choose. You are saying, in an artifical world, if somebody said to Mary, you could only pick three programs, which would you pick? Is that the question?

MR. SIROTA: Well, Miss Brooks discusses gradations in programs as to suitability for

24

25

1

2

3

various areas. Which would be most valuable? I'm asking her whether she knows enough about Morris County to make a determination or offer an opinion as to which programs would be most valuable, in her estimation, for Morris County. Let's take a step backwards. You are really Α the one that discussed the gradations. I mean, as I indicated, I think virtually any program that would increase the availability of lower income housing would be appropriate for Morris County. I can talk about, based on the evidence presented here in the handbook, the types of programs that, oh, have been implemented in counties, and I can carry on that kind of conversation, if that's what you are interested in.

Q No. As I understand your answers, it appears to me you are saying, or perhaps it just appears to me, that the greatest number of low and moderate income persons will be served by various programs, depending upon the particular characteristics. So that, again, theoretically, if we had a municipality here in Morris County where every house was one year old, a sweat equity program or rehabilitation program would not be among the most desirable ones? I know that's not the case, but I think -- I'm attempting to explain, based upon your answers, a concept where some

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	-
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Brooks - direct 81
programs are more desirable than others. Presumably,
they do more work for low and moderate income people.
Is that fair to say?
A I think in restating your question, restating
the problem, you stated a hypothetical of all the
units being one year old. That's not the case.
Q Right. A Virtually
any program could have any impact on the County.
Therefore, it would be an important program.
Q Wouldn't it be your goal to desire the
most valuable programs, which have the greatest impact
on Morris County; that is, produce the most number of
units for low and moderate income persons?
A Not necessarily.
Q Can you explain that more fully?
MR. BISGAIER: Can you read that question
and answer back?
(The following was read by the reporter:
"QUESTION: Wouldn't it be your goal to desire
the most valuable programs, which have the
greatest impact on Morris County; that is,
produce the most number of units for low and

moderate income persons? ANSWER: Not necessarily.")

The question is a little bit confusing to me.

. 1	Q How so? I'll attempt to explain it.
2	A I guess I'm unclear as to whether you are talking
3	about the handbook or something else.
4	Q Well, I'm talking about programs in
5	general and, of course, the proposed handbook in the
6	report describes numerous programs.
7	Let me start over again. Is it your
8	goal or isn't it consistent with your goal to favor
9	those programs that would have the greatest impact
10	on Morris County with respect to providing housing
11	for low and moderate income persons?
12	A My goal with respect to what?
13	Q Your general goal as an advocate.
14	You described yourself as an advocate of housing for
15	low and moderate income persons, have you not?
16	A I don't know whether or not I have, but okay.
17	Q Okay. You agree with that?
18	A That I am such an advocate?
19	Q Yes. A Yes.
20	Q Is it consistent with that goal or that
21	advocacy to favor those programs which have the greatest
22	impact in providing low and moderate income housing
23	for persons in Morris County?
24	A That's a confusing question, but I guess I say,
25	again, no, not necessarily.

1		Q	Well, would	you ampl	ify tha	t? Why	not
2	necess	arily?		A	I don'	t quite	<b>.</b>
3	unders	tand why	y sheer quant	ity woul	d be the	e goal.	
4		Q	Quantity of	housing	is not a	a goal?	
5	Α	To inc	rease the sup	oply of h	ousing :	is a go	al,
6	but I	find it	strange that	one wou	ld seled	ct a pr	ogram
7			basis that i			_	
8	number	of uni	ts than anoth	ner.			
9	Humber	OI WIII					
		Q	Why is that	strange?			
10	A	There	are other cor	nsiderati	ons.		
11		Q	What are som	ne other	conside	rations	?
12	A	The nee	eds of low in	ncome peo	ple.		
13		Q .	What type of	needs?	•	• "	
14	<b>P</b>	What ty	ype of housin	ng they w	ant, whe	ere the	У
15	want to	o live,	questions li	ke that.			
16		Q	Has your rep	ort and	the DCA	report	taker
17	 that i	nto cons	sideration?		A	Taken '	what
18							
19	into co	onsidera	ation?				
20	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$	Q	Where people	want to	live an		type
21	 of hou	sing the	ey want.		A	By the	
	"DCA R	eport,"	you mean the	e Housing	Alloca	tion Re	port?
22		Q	Yes.	A	I thin	k, to s	ome
23	extent	, yes.					
24		Q	How so?		A	We hav	e
25	discus	sed this	s before. Th	ne ration	ale beh	ind an	

allocation of units is to approximate, as closely as possible, what would happen were lower income people able to compete in the housing market.

Q And within that context, is it the case that you are unable to tell me which of these programs, given the particular characteristics of Morris County, would be most successful in realizing that goal?

A I can identify a few programs that I think would be intriguing for Morris County to try --

- Q I would appreciate that, if you would.
- A -- based on having prepared the handbook.
  - Q If you would, I would appreciate that.

    MR. BISGAIER: Do you intend this to be an exclusive list or just examples?

THE WITNESS: No, just what I said, I think it would be intriguing to see Morris County do these.

MR. SIROTA: If you have any objections, please do it in the form of an objection. You will have a chance to ask questions at a later time.

A It would be intriguing to see Morris County try any of the programs in the handbook. I would be particularly interested in some of the inclusionary land use regulations that are identified in the report.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the land banking or land acquisition programs, the cost writedown programs, interjurisdictional use of the Section 8 and programs to maintain the availability of the units. Those would top my list.

MR. SIROTA: Could you read those back, please?

(The following was read by the reporter:

"ANSWER: It would be intriguing to see Morris

County try any of the programs in the handbook.

I would be particularly interested in some of the inclusionary land use regulations that are identified in the report, the land banking or land acquisition programs, the cost writedown programs, interjurisdictional use of the Section 8 and programs to maintain the availability of the units. Those would top my list.")

Q Would you describe inclusionary land use regulations, as you referred to them?

A As they are discussed in the handbook, really only two different kinds of inclusionary land use regulations are discussed, the first being incentive provisions, primarily the availability of the bonus incentive to developers for providing lower cost housing, and the second alternative discussed is a mandatory requirement for the inclusion of a proportion of lower

Brooks - direct

1

cost units in a development.

1		Q	How about w	hat type	of tran	sporta	tion?	
2	A	Larg	ely automobile	e, as I r	ecall.			
3		Q	What is the	program	like in	Cherry	y Hill?	
4	A	The	ordinance in t	he handb	ook?			
5		Q	Yes.	A	It's a	manda	tory	
6	requi	rement	for 5% of low	er cost	units in	the -	- what's	
7	refer	red to	as the R-5 Zo	one in the	e Zoning	Ordina	ance.	
8		Q	How large i	s Cherry	Hi11?			
9	A	I do	n't know.					
10		Q	How large i	s the R-	5 Zone?			
11	A	I do	n't know.					
12		Q	How large i	s the R-	5 Zone i	n compa	arison	
13	to the	e size	of Cherry Hil	1?			i i eti	
14	A	I do	n't know.					
15		Q	What is the	R-5 Zone	e? What	are th	ne	
16	chara	cteris	tics of the R-	5 Zone?				
17	A	I wo	uld have to go	back and	d look a	t the (	Ordinance.	
18		Q	Cherry Hill	is ment	ioned in	your	report,	
19	isn't	that	right?	A	It's o	n the d	chart,	
20	yes.							
21		Q	What page,	do you re	ecall?			
22	A	19.						
23		Q	Pardon?		A	19.		
24		Q	Is it a req	uirement	that th	e zone	be	
25	built	with	subsidization	of some :	sort?			

Brooks - direct

A

2	Q You have a column called type of units
3	and assisted or nonassisted, and under that, with
4	respect to Cherry Hill, you say, "Publicly or privately
5	subsidized." What does that mean?
6	A It means the units that would meet the 5%
7	requirement may be either publicly or privately
8	subsidized.
9	Q What does "privately subsidized" mean?
10	A The subsidy is coming from some other source,
11	other than public.
12	Q Would private subsidization be the
13	developer putting up 5% of his homes as low and moderate
14	income homes?  A That would be possible.
15	Q Has there been any housing built pursuant
16	to this Ordinance? A It was reported
17	to us that there were 31 units.
18	Q Which would mean between one and two
19	
20	units of low and moderate income housing, correct?  A 31 units of lower cost housing.
21	Q I see. Are there any examples, in
22	
23	New Jersey, of the incentive inclusionary land use
24	ordinances? A Not in the handbook,
25	no.
	Q Are there any that you know of?

I don't understand the question.

Brooks - direct

1 I believe it's a mortgage assistance program that is 2 applicable to units within a particular piece range. 3 I'm not sure. 4 With reference to Page 27 in your report --5 MR. BISGAIER: Do you want to look 6 something up? 7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 Did I interrupt your answer? Q 9 A No. 10 What's a cost writedown program? 11 Α The cost writedown programs are really a variety 12 of mechanisms used to reduce the final sale or rent 13 of units by literally subsidizing the cost of the 14 development of that unit at some point in the development 15 process. 16 The program you mentioned, is that, in 17 fact, the program on Page 27 of your report? 18 In Bergen County? 19 Yes. Yes, it is. Q Α 20 And the entity, then, is the Bergen 21 County Housing Authority. 22 Α Yes. 23 And that program is approximately three 24 years old, its origin? Α It was **25** created in 1977.

1			Q	And since that time, they have purchased
2		one si	te. Is	that correct?
3		A	I beli	eve they just purchased a second site.
4			Q	Where was the initial site?
5		Α	I have	forgotten.
6			Q	Do you know where the new site is?
7		A	No.	
8			Q	Has any housing been built as a result
9		of thi	s Berge	n County program?
10		A	On tha	t one site, a duplex was completed.
11			Q	How many units?
12		A	A duple	ex is two units.
13			Q	Just one structure?
14		A	Yes.	
15			Q	So that this one site was one lot?
16	. :	A	That's	correct.
17	·		Q	On which was built a duplex or up-down
18	·	two fa	mily ho	use? A That's correct.
19			Q	Where did the funds come from to purchase
20		the la		<del>-</del>
21	15.1			A As identified, the elopment block grant funds.
22			-	
23			Q	Has the Morris County Housing Authority
		partic	ipated :	in this program?
24		A	I beli	eve so.
25			Q	Have they purchased
	1			

1	А	You mean in the community development block
2	 grant	funds program?
3		Q No, this land acquisition.
4	A	Oh, no.
5		Q What has the Morris County Housing
6	Author	ity done with their block grants?
7	A	The housing authorities don't receive block
8	grants	. Block grants go to a unit of Government.
9		instance of Bergen County, Bergen County
10	Housin	g Authority administers the program.
11		Q You mean Bergen County Housing Authority
12	is not	considered a unit of government or political
13	A	It's an agency.
14		Q It's an agency.
15		
16		Okay. How large is the second site that
17	 tney p	urchased? A I don't know.  Q They did this voluntarily?
18	7	Yes.
19	A	•
20	· · ·	Q How about Cherry Hill, did they do that
21	volunta	arily, their Ordinance that we have discussed?
22	A	I don't know.
23		MR. BISGAIER: Off the record.  (There is a short discussion off the record.)
24		Q There is a paragraph on Page 15 which
25		
	was of	interest to me, that fourth paragraph. You say

"The extent to which these ordinances," meaning inclusionary land use ordinances, "actually increase the supply of housing beyond what is available through assisted housing programs. It depends upon a combination of techniques to encourage the developer to provide housing at below market rates."

In conjunction with that, was the housing built in Cherry Hill, the 31 units that we discussed, publicly or privately subsidized?

A I don't know.

Q Is there a net benefit to low and moderate income persons if the housing is not privately subsidized?

MR. BISGAIER: Can you repeat that question?

(The following was read by the reporter:

"QUESTION: Is there a net benefit to low and moderate income persons if the housing is not privately subsidized?")

A I don't understand that question.

Q Well, as an advocate of maximizing housing available for low and moderate income persons, would you prefer that a developer coming in that R-5 zone produce the low and moderate income housing under a public or private subsidy?

A I don't have a preference.

Q With respect to Page 16 of your report;
specifically, the third full paragraph, could you
explain or could you amplify why these ordinances are
most successful where there is pressure or continued
residential development and builders are active in
the private market?  A That seems
pretty obvious. The ordinances do ask something of
the developer, and where that developer is already
interested in conducting his or her business in that
jurisdiction, there's a greater likelihood that they
will participate in what's required of them through
the ordinance.

Q The statement does say the obvious.

If no one is building them, then low and moderate housing isn't being built. Is that what it's saying?

A Not necessarily. The incentive -- it has, in some circumstances, acted as an incentive to attract a developer to develop an area.

Q The incentive as opposed to the mandatory? A Yes.

Q Other than the Bergen County program, which would appear to have a cost writedown limit to it, is there another cost writedown program in the State of New Jersey?

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q You mentioned an Interjurisdictional
Section 8 Program. Could you describe what you mean
by that term? A The phrase has
been coined in response to programs where jurisdiction
or agencies with authority to operate a Section 8
Program, through a variety of mechanisms, agree to
operate those programs on interjurisdictional bases,
so that households eligible for the receipt of Section
8 assistance can select to move to a different
jurisdiction than where they presently live.

Q Is there such a program in New Jersey?

A I know of one that was proposed. I don't believe it's in operation.

Q Where was that? A Camden
County. The second county, I don't remember.

Q Assume that the program did involve two counties in New Jersey. Would that mean that someone residing in one of those municipalites in one of those counties could find housing anywhere within that two county region and receiving Section 8?

A Yes, in some sense.

Q In what sense is it inaccurate or incomplete? A The program is more complicated than that, but that's the gist of it.

Q And with respect to the program in Camden

County and the other county, which you don't remember, 1 what was the governing entity? Was it the County 2 Government, County Housing Authority? 3 MR. BISGAIER: This is not a program 5 that went into operation. 6 0 Who proposed the program? 7 The Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission. Α 8 That's a private entity? 9 I didn't think so. It's a regional planning Α 10 agency. 11 In the same sense that Tri-State is a 12 regional planning agency? 13 When did they propose it? 14 Within the last year. A 15 And what happened? 16 I don't know the status of it. A 17 Who did they propose it to? 18 It was in response to a program I mentioned Α 19 earlier, an invitation from the Department of Housing 20 & Urban Development for a selected 22 regional planning 21 agency to submit applications for the regional housing 22 program. 23 Did the relevant counties comment on 24 the proposed plan? Α In a manner of 25 speaking.

Brooks - direct

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
13	
14	
15	
15 16 17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Q	What di	d they do,	or what	did th	ey say?
A		They	indicated	agreement	to opera	ate the	program
т	heli.	6776					

Now well, in your estimation, does housing information referral and counselling programs work in providing housing for low and moderate income persons?

A They are very important programs and operate very well where they are conducted with some seriousness, enlarging the understanding or information that's made available to lower income persons about the housing which they can take advantage of.

Q Are there such programs available in Morris County? A Not that I know of.

Q In the State of New Jersey?

A I'm sure there are some. I'm not familiar with them.

Q You are not sure there are some in Morris County? A No.

Q Are these programs conducted only by public entities? A No, some of them are conducted by urban leagues or fair housing organizations.

Q Do you know whether the Morris County
Fair Housing Council or the Urban League in Morris

I don't know

1		County co	nduct	such pr	ograms?				
2		A No	, I do	on't.					
3		Q	I	Are ther	e progra	ms in Mo	orris C	ounty	to
4		combat an	d redu	uce disc	riminati	on in h	ousing	agains	s t
5		minority	group	members	?	А	I	don't	kn
6		Q	V	What abo	ut in th	e State	of New	Jerse	∍y?
7		A I	don't	know a	specific	program	n.		
8	_		M	MR. SIRC	TA: Off	the red	cord a	second	1.
9		<b>(</b> T)	here i	s a sho	rt discu	ssion o	ff the	record	1.)
10		<b>(</b> T)	here i	s a sho	rt reces	s.)			
11		Q			oks, my o			rolat	- 6
12								•	
13		to DB-2,			<u> </u>				Is
14		it genera				. =			
		housing sl	hould	be avai	lable to	people	at two	to tw	10
15		and a hal	f time	s incom	e?	A	Th	e repo	rt
16		you are r	eferri	ng to u	ses that	standa	d as a	way c	) f
17		measuring	the a	vailabi	lity of l	nousing	as com	pared	to
18		income pop	pulati	on.					
19		Q			not choo:	se that	standa	rd?	
20		A It	's a f	airly s	tandard :	rule of	thumb	used i	in
21		making the		-					
22						<b>.</b>			_
23		Q	Y	ou are	the autho	or of th	ne repo	rt. I	[s
24		that corre			A	Yes			
25	-	Q	A	und you,	then, in	n fact,	choose	that	
		standard d	to uti	lize in	your rep	port?			

What is your basis of utilizing that 2 standard? As I indicated, it's a 3 standard rule of thumb used primarily in the approval 4 5 of a mortgage to households in the relation between 6 the price of the home and the income of the household. 7 How do you know that's a standard O. 8 rule of thumb? It's used widely in 9 the literature in the field and -- that, primarily. 10 Do you feel it's as relevant today as 11 it may have been in the past? 12 A Yes. 13 With respect to Page 4 of your report, 14 which of the three areas is most relevant to the 15 region that the plaintiffs are attempting to establish 16 in this case; that is, the State of New Jersey, Region 17 That's Region 11, 2 or Newark SMSA? Α 18 and Region 11 is the region we have been talking about 19 So is it your position that housing, 20 in 1970, should have been available for people in 21 Quintile 1 at a cost of \$17,500? 22 I'm not sure you are understanding the report. 23 Maybe I can try to clarify that for you. 24 Sure, I would appreciate that. 25 Α What the report does is evaluate the price of

Brooks - direct

1

That's true.

homes for sale in relationship to what we have talked 1 about earlier, the quintile analysis. And in doing 2 that comparison, an identification of certain figures 3 are reached in using the two to two and a half times 4 5 annual income, and I believe the figure you just 6 quoted is based on that evaluation. 7 Q On Page 3, for example, are those 8 average prices of sales? 9 Why do you use average instead of median? 10 Average was used because that's what information 11 was available. 12 O Do you think average is as useful as 13 Α a median price? I quess it is. 14 Yes, I think so. 15 How so? For the 16 purposes of this evaluation, which was really to 17 identify the availability of homes within certain 18 income ranges, I do think either would suffice. I 19 believe the average is a fair comparison to make. 20 Could the median be quite different from 21 the average? It could be. Α 22 And could that be significant? 23 A For this report, I don't think so. 24 Q Why not? Α I think the 25 trends or the patterns identified in this report would

100

Brooks - direct

1	largel	y remain	n the s	ame.					
2		Q	Do you	know t	hat for	a fac	t? H	ave y	ou
3	consid	ered the	e media	ns?		Α	No.		
4		Q	Have y	ou revi	iewed th	e cons	titue	nt sa	les,
5	which	form the	e avera	ge prid	ce?		A		Yes
6		Q a	In wha	t form:	?		Α		The
7	are li	terally	a list	of hon	nes sold	and the	he pr	ice a	t
8	which	they we	re sold	•					
9		Q	Does i	t desci	ribe the	home?			
10	A	No.							
11		Q	Did you	u revie	ew the S	RlA's?			
12	A	Only pa						•	
13		Q	How do	you me	ean?				
14	A	The in	formatio	on was	collect	ed for	me,	and	
15	in some	e in <b>s</b> tar	nces I l	had the	sRlA's	and i	n oth	er	
16	instan	ces I di	id not.						
17		Q	Who co.	llected	d the in	format	ion f	or yo	u?
18	A	The Dep	partment	t of Pu	ıblic Ad	vocate	S .		
19		Q	Who wit	thin th	ne Depar	tment o	of Pu	blic	
20	<b>Advo</b> ca	te?		A	I don'	t know			
21		Q	What i	nformat	ion did	they	provi	de yo	u
22	with?			A	A list	of the	e S -	- of	
23	the ho	mes solo	d and th	he prio	ce at wh	ich the	ey we	re so	ld
24	during	the per	riod 7/	77 to 7	7/78, by	juris	dicti	ons.	
25		0	Did +b	ov inal	ludo anti	CDIAL	~ 0		

Brooks - direct

I believe so. Α 1 You haven't produced this report, have 2 your at any time in the past? 3 MR. BISGAIER: What report? 4 5 The information you received from the 6 Public Advocate. No, it wasn't a Α 7 report, but --8 The information, was it in written form? 9 Α Yes. 10 Do you recall, at the last deposition, Q 11 I asked you to produce all the documents upon which 12 you -- or documents which you had utilized to complete 13 your reports? Yes. 14 Would you do the same with respect to 15 the reports subsequent to June of '79; that is, the 16 reports of August, September and the two December 17 Can I go off the record? reports? Α 18 Q Do you want to ask advice of your 19 attorney? Uh-huh. 20 Yes. Yes, I can Q Α 21 bring it. 22 So you will bring in all documents which 23 you utilized in any manner to prepare the reports of 24 August, September and the two December reports, all 25 of '79. Is that correct?

No, I can't do that. Α 1 2 MR. BISGAIER: You want everything 3 that she utilized in preparing, for example, 4 DB-4? 5 MR. SIROTA: Yes. 6 MR. BISGAIER: Then, you will have to go 7 to her office and rent a truck and have the 8 deposition in her office. But to ask her --9 THE WITNESS: That's a file cabinet. 10 I'm sorry? That's a file A 11 cabinet of information. 12 What's contained in that? 13 Α All of the information that agencies and 14 organizations provided us in response to --15 These are all replies? Q 16 Α Yes. 17 All right. I will accept that, excepting 18 that material, presumably, as long as it's available 19 to review at some other time, we will go there to review 20 We will go to your office. it. 21 All right. . Α 22 Q Are we in agreement, then, excepting 23 your correspondence and other materials developed with 24 jurisdictions relating to the handbook, it is the 25 agreement that it will be made available to us if we

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

desire to read the same? Is that agreeable?

Brooks - direct

MR. BISGAIER: The problem is: For what purpose, her personally, or the DCA Study? Q For purposes of your reports.

104

Then,

MR. BISGAIER: DCA?

For purposes of your report, you are Q recommending, are you not, an allocation of housing for low and income persons? Is that caccurate? Α The reports that I prepared were based on New Jersey DCA's report, and they had a definition contained in that report.

And you accepted that definition for the

ż

That's the



1		purpose	e of your report?	A That's the
2		definit	ion I used in making the ad	justments.
3		1	Q And what is that def	inition?
4		A	Low income is defined up to	\$5,568 a year.
5		Moderat	te income is from that point	on up to \$8,567.
6			Q And that is in what	year, 1970?
7		A	I believe so.	
8	4. T		Q Is that for a single	person?
9		A	A family of our.	
10				me he in terms of
11		1977, '		t know.
12				
13			Q Do you think it appro	opriace chac arr
		persons	own homes or domiciles?	
14		Α	I'm not sure I know what yo	u mean by "appropriate"
15		but no,	I don't think so.	
16			Q Why is it not approp	riate?
17		A	Some people don't want to or	wn a home.
18			Q Then, do you think i	t correct or
19		appropr	riate or fair that every per	son, regardless of
20		income,	have the opportunity to pu	rchase a home?
21		A	Could you repeat the questi-	on?
22			(The following was read by	the reporter:
23			"QUESTION: Then, do you th	ink it correct or
24			appropriate or fair that ev	
25			of innove have the consults	

of income, have the opportunity to purchase a



1	[1]		
- 1	<b>(1</b>		
- 1	1	~ II	١.
- 1	home:	•	,
- 1			•

A If they so choose, I certainly think it's desirable.

Q In 1977 through 1978, the period which you used the figures for in your report, DB-2, if you use the Morris County Tax Board figures, what would a low income home cost? What should it cost?

A In this report, I broke down the population by income quintiles and identified in the report, as you indicated, for the first quintile, at two times annual income, the price of a home would be \$12,500. And at two and a half times, it would be \$16,000.

Q Those are 1970 figures, are they not?

A No, they are 1976.

MR. SIROTA: I'll continue this Monday.

Go off the record.

(There is a short discussion off the record.)

Q Okay. We will pick up Monday morning, and you will bring all that material?

A I will try to.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MORRIS COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-6001-78 P.W.

MORRIS COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, et als,

Plaintiffs,

CERTIFICATE

-vs-

BOONTON TOWNSHIP, et als,

Defendants.

I, ROBERT MIRABELLA, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey,
certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
transcript of the deposition of MARY E. BROOKS, who
was first duly sworn by me, at the place and on the
date hereinbefore set forth.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative tor an employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in the action.

A Notary Public of the State

A Notary Public of the State of New Jersey

DATED: 2/15/80