ML CA (SoutPlainfield) 24-Source-1985

Tra script of Judge's Decision

directing the Planning Board

Pgs 1) of Sout Plainfield to

address ordinance at issue.

ML0009683

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
CHANCERY DIVISION - MIDDLESEX COUNTY
CIVIL ACTION NO. C-4122-73

GAYLE GARRABRANDT, C.S.R. Official Court Reporter

5 URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER NEW BRUNSWICK, et al, Plaintiffs, : vs. 8 THE MAYOR & COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF CARTERET, et al, 10 Defendants. : 11 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY 13 LAW DIVISION - MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. 56349-81 14 15 ELDERLODGE, INC., 16 TRANSCRIPT Plaintiff, OF 17. Vs. 18 JUDGE'S DECISION SOUTH PLAINFIELD BOARD 19 OF ADJUSTMENT, et al, 20 Defendants. : 21 June 24, 1985 22 Toms River, New Jersey 23 BEFORE: 24 HONORABLE EUGENE D. SERPENTELLI, J.S.C. 25

APPEARANCES:

ERIC NEISSER, ESQUIRE
and
BARBARA J. WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE
For Urban League

FRANK A. SANTORO, ESQUIRE For South Plainfield

WILLIAM V. LANE, ESQUIRE For Board of Adjustment of South Plainfield

JOHN GEORGE, ESQUIRE For Larry Massaro

* * * *

THE COURT: I think the way to approach this is to get the ordinance passed -- okay? -- and stop fooling around. And I am afraid that we are going to have to set some deadlines here in terms of adoption.

The last correspondence from Ms. Williams does not seem so difficult to deal with as to cause a substantial delay. There are only a couple of issues raised in that May 3rd letter, and the governing body is going to have to make a judgment as to whether they agree or disagree, so that the ordinance can get passed. But it should get passed.

Now, of course, if the -- if they disagree, and the Court also disagrees with them, then the ordinance is going to be found noncomplying, so it would be best, if possible, for the Urban League and the community to concur on all of the amendments, so as to avoid the necessity of a protracted compliance hearing, and then the Court having to correct any defects.

The Planning Board, if it hasn't already acted, should act immediately with regard to these few changes that are requested.

I am going to direct that the Planning Board act within a period of ten days; that within ten days

у.

17.

thereafter -- and they will, all of those dates will run from today, and you can put the actual dates in. If they fall on a weekend, then advance it to the following Monday.

Within ten days thereafter, the governing body shall introduce the ordinance, if it need be, on first reading, and then, in accordance with law, that it act on second reading not more than fifteen days after the introduction of the first reading.

In the absence of these time schedules being complied with, any one of them, the Urban League may apply to the Court for an order directing that the master be assigned the responsibility of submitting to the Court a compliant ordinance, and the Court will, after appropriate hearing, reserve the right to direct that the ordinance be considered the applicable ordinance of the community. I trust that we will not have to reach that.

In the interim, I am going to restrain the issuance of any building permits in the community for non-Mount Laurel development. Any permit for -- which would include Mount Laurel development and that the Town intends to issue could not be issued until the Urban League is notified of their intent to issue it at least ten days in advance, so as to give Urban

League an opportunity to review the proposed Mount
Laurel development and ascertain or confirm that it
is, in fact, in compliance with Mount Laurel II.

CO.

The restraints shall continue pending further order of the Court. In addition, I will restrain the municipality from engaging in any further land sales, or consummating any existing contracts for the sale of land, pending further order of the Court.

There will be no relief granted today with respect to any monetary judgment, or any other direct relief. I think that's premature, perhaps not appropriate under the circumstances, but I need not rule on that today.

All right. Anything further?

MR. NEISSER: Yes, Your Honor. I thought I heard Mr. Santoro say -- and I understand he's not the attorney for the Planning Board, but I thought he said that the Planning Board may, at its June 18th meeting, which I believe was last Tuesday, have already passed -- if that's the case, can we have the order requiring the Town to act within ten days starting from today, if that is the case?

THE COURT: If the Planning Board has acted already.

MR. NEISSER: That's what I am saying, so we

€

don't have a ten-day for nothing, if it is, in fact, already completed.

THE COURT: Yeah, you may.

MR. NEISSER: Further, I won't -- and I don't know if this should be in the form of order, but perhaps it's best that we have a formal -- or some form of inventory from the Town as to sales that either have taken place, or approvals have taken place or are pending with regard to whatever auctions bids, with regard to any of the blocks and lots specified in the judgment, because there is some unclarity in our papers, due to the rush of time to get them together, as to whether three pieces have been sold, or six, or some number in between.

And I want to know exactly where they are and who stands where in terms of building permits and prior actions.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't think it has to be in the order, but could I ask Mr. Santoro to specifically advise by letter, within a period of ten days, as to the status of each of the parcels referred to in the moving papers, and also to advise the Urban League whether there are any existing municipal lands that are in the process of being sold?

Of course, that process is now restrained as

•

of today, so it doesn't make any difference.

MR. NEISSER: One last request I think probably should be in is that in whatever form the Planning Board recommends action, that we get a copy of it prior to being considered by the Borough Council, so that if in fact there are any remaining disputes or questions on the part of the Urban League, that it can be resolved, as Your Honor indicated, in advance of even the first reading.

THE COURT: Well, that's all right, but I don't want the process held up because you now raise other objections; you understand?

MR. NEISSER: No.

-13

24.

THE COURT: I think the municipality now is going to have to go ahead and adopt it.

MR. NEISSER: Sure.

THE COURT: And they will run the risk of having it found to be non-compliant; however, if you can help them, and they're agreeable, I see no problem with that. So whatever the Planning Board has recommended, or will recommend, the Urban League should be provided with notice of that so that they can perhaps pick up any technical errors or other omissions or corrections. All right?

Anything further?

MR. SANTORO: Just a clarification. The Court today is restraining the issuance of all building permits for all properties in South Plainfield, be they for single-family, two-family, or anything else?

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. SANTORO: Does that include commercial and industrial as well?

THE COURT: Everything.

MR. SANTORO: Everything? Also, restraining any land sales, or the closing of any pending land sales, until further order of the Court, that is a temporary measure only until the ordinance is adopted?

THE COURT: That's municipal land sales.

MR. SANTORO: Oh, obviously, yes. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I only have jurisdiction over those people.

MR. SANTORO: And, the last item is that if the Planning Board has already reviewed that last revision, that the ten days to introduce will begin today?

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. SANTORO: Do I infer that that means that if there's no meeting ten days from today, that we

should, forty-eight hours prior, make it our business as the governing body to have a special meeting on this, or can this be done at our regularly scheduled July 1 and/or July 8th meeting?

We are talking about introducing, now, at this point.

THE COURT: Your question is, can you act at your July 1 meeting if the Planning Board has already acted?

MR. SANTORO: If the Planning Board has already acted.

THE COURT: That's within the ten-day period, so there'd be no problem with that. With respect to July 8th, you'd be out of the ten days, wouldn't you?

MR. SANTORO: Then I'm inferring that the Court is saying that if you can't do it on July 1st, you do it as quickly as you can after July 1st, but within the ten-day time frame.

THE COURT: That's right. And you may need a notice of your meeting, or you will need a notice of every meeting.

MR. SANTORO: Is there anything further as far as I can tell Mr. Dalto and Elderlodge, or the Court isn't even going to consider the issues?

, i

THE COURT: Well, that's a problem. If the municipality has chosen its own remand, and the Urban League thinks it's feasible, he's got a problem, I guess.

MR. NEISSER: Just one clarification on what
Mr. Santoro said. The restraints against municipal
land sales or consummation of existing land contracts
he said was pending passage of the ordinance. I
thought Your Honor said: pending further order of
this Court.

THE COURT: Yes, it's pending further order of the Court. I may want to review the ordinance. I will want to review the ordinance. I don't want anything sold in that interim.

MR. NEISSER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, fine. Thank you. Have a good day.

MR. NEISSER: We will draft an order, submit it under the five-day order, Your Honor.

(End of proceedings.)

* * * 1

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

--

ب

I, GAYLE GARRABRANDT, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of New Jersey, certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings
as taken by me stenographically on the date hereinbefore
mentioned.

GAYLE GARRAPRANDT, C.S.R. Official Court Reporter

7-8-85