CN - Orgo Farms + Greenhouses, Inc.
Two of Colts Neck

5/16/79

transcript of proceedings: Deposition of William Queale

P113

CN 000 005 G

(201) 531-9500 (800) 392-6823

P. O. Box 227 Allenhurst, N. J. 07711

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MONMOUTH COUNTY DOCKET NO. L-3299-78 P.W.

ORGO FARMS & GREENHOUSES, INC., :

a New Jersey Corporation; and RICHARD J. BRUNELLI,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

TOWNSHIP OF COLTS NECK, a Municipal Corporation,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

DEPOSITION OF:

WILLIAM QUEALE

MAY 24 1979

TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter as taken by and before FRANCINE RUDD, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of New Jersey, at the offices of FRIZELL, POZYCKI & WILEY, ESQS., 312 Amboy Avenue, Metuchen, New Jersey 08840, on Monday, April 30, 1979, commencing at one-thirty o'clock in the afternoon.

## APPEARANCES

FRIZELL, POZYCKI & WILEY, ESQS., DAVID JOSEPH FRIZELL, ESQ., BY: For the Plaintiffs.

STOUT, O'HAGAN & O'HAGAN, ESQS., ROBERT W. O'HAGAN, ESQ., BY: For the Defendant.



Frederick J. Johnson, III, C.S.R.

1		INDEX	
2	NAME OF WITNESS	DIRE	CT
3	WILLIAM QUEALE		
4	By: Mr. Frizell	3	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9		EXHIBITS	
10	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR IDENTIFICATION
11	P-l	A Document.	5
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20		•	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



```
1
     WILLIAM QUEALE,
                                      Sworn.
 2
 3
     DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FRIZELL:
 4
                 Mr. Queale, you've been Deposed before and
     understand what a Deposition is; is that correct?
 5
            Yes.
 6
                 And do you know that anything you say can be
 7
     taken down and used for any purpose at a later time by
 8
     the -- by my client --
 9
10
            Yes.
                 -- On his behalf. Okay. Would you tell us,
11
     Mr. Queale, I'm going to start by asking you your
12
     educational background, where were you raised and as a
13
     child, where you went to school, Grammar School?
14
         Winona, New Jersey.
15
                            MR. FRIZELL: Off the record.
16
                            (Whereupon there is a discussion
17
                  off the record.)
18
19
     BY MR. FRIZELL:
                 Mr. Queale, where did you go to High School?
             woodbury.
                  Your college?
23
     Α
             Rutgers.
                  Was that Rutgers College?
24
             Rutgers University, New Brunswick.
25
     Α
```



Queale - direct 1 What degree did you get there? 2 A Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics, Minor in 3 City Planning. Did you do any Graduate work anywhere? Q 5 No. What was the Minor, City Planning Program? 7 Well, that was the only course work that they 8 offered for a degree in City Planning at that time. Sub-9 sequent to my graduation, they have converted that program 10 into a Masters Program. 11 What year did you graduate from Rutgers? 12 A· 159. 13 And subsequent to your graduation -- graduation 14 from college where were you employed? 15 A For two years with the New Jersey Division of 16 State and Regional Planning. That was until 1961. 17 1961 through '71, with Gershen Associates in Trenton and 18 then in 1971, I set up my own practice with Mr. Lynch. 19 Now, in the preparation of Master Plans, what Other towns can you tell me you've done and I'm saying which red to the adoption, formal adoption, of a Master Man by the Township, what other towns did you represent or serve? 23 Well, since 1959? 24

I assume since 1961.

1	A I have a list of towns as part of my qualification.		
2	Would that suffice?		
3	Q Did you bring that with you in writing?  A Yes.		
5	Q Could I see it please?		
6	MR. O'HAGAN: Is there more than		
7	one copy?		
8	THE WITNESS: Did I give you one?		
9	MR. O'HAGAN: You gave me two.		
10	MR. FRIZELL: We'll have this marked.		
11	(A document is received and marked		
12	P-1 for identification.)		
13	BY MR. FRIZELL:		
14	Q Mr. Queale, on D-1, which has been marked P-1,		
15	Mr. Queale, on the second page of that document, there is		
16	a list of towns over which is the heading "Planner in		
17	Charge". Do I understand that to be a list of towns for		
18	which you have acted as the prime planner in the prepar-		
19	ation of a Master Plan or does that mean something else?		
20	A You are correct.		
21	Q All of these towns adopted Master Plans		
22	pursuant to recommendations or studies in which you were		
23	the principal planner?		
24	A No, not necessarily. Some of them are counties.		
25	Others are not municipalities and some of the municipalities		

wueare - urreco 1 listed are not ones which did Master Plans. 2 Can you tell me which of these you prepared 3 Master Plans for? 4 Would you also like the ones that are adopted or 5 did adopt them? 6 Yes. 7 Okay. It may -- some of these I'm just not going 8 to recall but we'll do the best we can. Allamuchy 9 adopted. Blairstown, I don't recall. The Bronx was not 10 a Master Plan Program. Carlstadt, I don't recall. 11 Chesterfield is adopted. Colts Neck adopted. Deptford 12 was fairly well complete when I left Gershen Associates 13 and I don't recall whether that advanced to a full Master 14 Plan Program and whether there was adoption. Dover 15 adopted. East Amwell adopted. East Rockhill adopted. 16 Frankfurt adopted. Fredon, I don't recall. Glassboro 17 adopted. Hammonton, I don't recall. Hillside adopted. Hillsborough adopted. 18 19 MR. O'HAGAN: What borough? MR. FRIZELL: Hillsborough. 20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Hillsborough, Holmdel just adopted. Hopewell adopted. Jersey City was not a Master Plan. Knowlton adopted.

Linden adopted. Loch Arbur adopted. Mansfield I don't recall. Montgomery adopted. Mount



1 Ephraim adopted. North Hanover continued after 2 I left Gershen's firm and I don't know that. Ocean City adopted. Pitman was not a Master Plan. Princeton was not a Master Plan. Ridgefield Park adopted initially and we have just completed a revised plan. That's coming 6 7 up for public hearing in May. Rocky Hill adopted. Roselle, I don't 8 recall. Sea Girt, I think adopted but I'm 9 not sure. Tewksbury adopted. Tinton Falls 10 adopted. 11 Union, I don't recall. Wildwood 12 adopted and those are all the municipalities. 13 14 BY MR. FRIZELL: 15 Is Hillsborough Master Plan a printed document? 16 Yes. Α How many pages is it, roughly? 17 80 to 100. 18 Holmdel, is that -- has that been printed? 19 No, it's being drafted now. When the drafting 20 comes back, it will be sent out to the printer. 21 Being drafted by whom? 22 The draftsman who does the base maps is doing the 23 art work for the maps. 24

Has the text been written?

25

MUGGTE - ATTECO



1 Yes. 2 It's complete? MR. O'HAGAN: Was that approved by the Planning Board? THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 MR. O'HAGAN: What? 7 THE WITNESS: It's been adopted. BY MR. FRIZELL: 8 9 Would you send me a copy? Q 10 MR. O'HAGAN: Mr. Frizell asks 11 can you send him a copy? Is that something 12 you would be able to do without getting the permission of the municipality? 13 THE WITNESS: I would seek their 14 permission. I don't know what their policy 15 is. Frankly, some of our towns get the 16 documents and they handle all the distribution. 17 Other towns, we may have one or two copies. 18 BY MR. FRIZELL: 19 That adopted Master Plan, assuming Holmdel 20 has no objection or right to object to the distribution 21 of the adopted Master Plan, you have a copy yourself and 22 could physically make me a copy, either send it through 23 Mr. O'Hagan or directly to me; couldn't you? 24 I have the text. The mapping, as I say, is currently Α

WUCATE - ATTOCA

Queate - airect 1 out at the draftsman. I'd have to recall that, get it 2 back to make copies of it. 3 Q When, Mr. Queale, was your first contact with the Township of Colts Neck? I would say in the late 60's. 6 And what was the nature of that contact 7 initially; do you recall? 8 I was working for Gershen Associates at the time 9 and it was a matter of developing a revised Master Plan. 10 And in general terms, what did you then do? 11 Did you enter into a contract with them of some kind? 12 Α -Yes. 13 Gershen Associates did? 14 Yes. 15 And in the preparation of the Master Plan --16 well the Master Plan does speak for itself. 17 Subsequent to the initial Master Plan, were 18 you then recontacted for the purpose of doing revisions or did you say on some kind of a contract basis, subsequent 19 to the adoption of the original plan? 20 It was about the time, I guess, of the original 21 adoption in early 1970 or 1971 that I opened my own 22 consulting firm and subsequent to that, the Township was 23 in communication with me and I'd been retained to do 24

some particular assignments since 1972, I guess it was.



Queale - direct 1 Is it on a per assignment basis or is it on 2 a continuing or are you paid on a retainer type of basis? 3 Both. We've had contacts, for example, when we 4 updated the population report, that was on a fixed fee 5 arrangement, a contract. 6 When did you update the population report? 7 1973. 8 Did you do it more recently? 9 Α No. 10 Was -- all right. 11 I'd like to, if you would, chronologize the 12 different amendments to the original plan that you have 13 worked on and submitted and which have been either added to the plan or have revised the plan formally so that 14 we have -- we can make reference to those documents. 15 Well, the report entitled "Population and Housing 16 Study" was in June of '73. Then in November of '73, at the 17 request of the Board, we prepared Memo 3-73 entitled 18 "Population Saturation Estimates Based on Present Zoning." 19 Then in December, '74, we submitted a physical character-20 istics analysis and in in May of '77, we submitted an 21 updated report on existing land use. Then in November

MR. O'HAGAN: Wait a minute. This was May of '77, you said existing land use --

of '76 --

23

24



THE WITNESS:

Yes.

Okay.

2

MR. O'HAGAN:

THE WITNESS: Then in November of

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

'76, we did a Memorandum 1-76 on adopting a Master Plan under the Municipal Land Use Law and currently, that is for the calendar year 1979, we will be updating the public facilities study and the traffic study. And to date, I've sent out questionnaires to various municipal agencies requesting data on public facilities. but have not received any response yet and we have not initiated any of the analysis under

## BY MR. FRIZELL:

In the population study, June of '73, did you estimate at that time the future populations of the Township?

the population report.

Yes.

And could you tell us what those were? We've -- can I ask or just mention or suggest something with respect to your question? I can answer your specific question but there have been population estimates in different phases, and I could give you all those phases or if you want, I can just answer the question as you asked it.



Q Well, I'm not interested in any population estimates in general or anything like that. I'm only interested in officially adopted Master Plan documents.

A Well --

Q Now --

A -- For example --

Q -- If you're going to refer to the official
Master Plan documents that have been adopted by the
Township, then, yes, I would prefer that you go through
the different phases. If there is something that has
not been adopted by the Township, I'm not interested in it,
if that helps.

A Okay. I think then we're talking about a sequence of events because the 1971 Master Plan had estimates.

Q Correct.

The 1973 Master Plan had revised estimates that are referred to in the readoption of the Master Plan, 1976, as an updated study. That population report in '76 per se was not adopted as a document other than to recognize its existence when the plan was readopted.

Then in the 1977 land use survey, we had an actual count of dwelling units and I had then related that to changes in school enrollment to give an estimate of population in 1977. So I have those three figures: The '71, the '73 and '77.



l Oh, I see. Perhaps you misunderstood. 2 more interested in population projections for the time 3 being. 4 In 1971 and 1973, there were projections. 5 Q Yes? 6 In 1977, it's an estimate of what existed at that 7 time. 8 Q Okay. We've related to those projections. 9 Α What is the most recent projection that you've 10 11 done; was that in 1973? That has been submitted to the Board, yes. 12 And were the 1973 projections adopted by the 13 Board as part of the Master Plan or incorporated into 14 the Master Plan? 15 Gee, I'm really not sure how to answer that. There 16 was an acknowledgement when they readopted the Master 17 Plan in '73 that that study was done - I don't recall 18 the specific language - that we hereby adopt this study 19 or these projections. 20 What was the population projection study for 21 the year 1985 and the year 2000 in that study? 22 There were two ranges: A high and a low estimate 23 for 1985 and for the year 2000. For 1985, the estimates

in 1973 were a low of 9,300 up to 10,150. For the year

24

2000, the range was 12,300 to 14,350.

If you recall, Mr. Queale, did you anticipate at the time that those estimates were done that this population would be housed essentially pursuant to the 5 Master Plan recommendations with respect to density and 6 types of housing and the -- the land use regulations, 7 which have been adopted pursuant to that Master Plan? 8 The report basically recognizes the density number one, but as you may be aware, the 1973 projections were lower than the ones done in 1969 or '70 as the base for the 1971 Master Plan. And the 1973 report recognizes the lower numbers and has assumed that the population for household will drop -- would drop and in fact that has happened and also assumes that the only way the higher numbers could occur would be for -- with an increased rate of development.

All right. The rate of development is not my immediate concern. That's not my question. can go to the question again, in adopting these numbers and projecting the populations, did you anticipate all of this population increase would occur pursuant to the land use regulations - that is basically vacant land; I think we're both aware in Colts Neck being zoned essentially for two acre lots - did you anticipate that population increase would occur with that pursuant to that



1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WHEATE - UTICOL 1 land use regulations -- those land use regulations? 2 MR. O'HAGAN: I would object. you use the term "vacant land", it might mean something different to the three of us. I \_5. think you had better be a little bit more 6 definite in your question. 7 MR. FRIZELL: Vacant land has 8 nothing to do with the question, you under-9 stand? 10 MR. O'HAGAN: But you based your 11 question upon the extent of vacant land. 12 MR. FRIZELL: I'm only postulating what I understand the land use report to be. 13 14 Whatever they are I think is a matter of public knowledge. I think Mr. Queale knows 15 what they are. 16 17 BY MR. FRIZELL: 18 Whatever the land use regulations are, did

Q Whatever the land use regulations are, did you anticipate that these increases of population would be housed pursuant to those recommendations or did you anticipate in the alternative that those regulations would either be changed, modified or whatever in making those projections?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A My recollection is that the basic assumption was that they would be single-family homes because we were



wueare - arrect

1 U

aware that there were not water and sewer facilities and other types of infrastructure that would encourage other types of housing. The range in that density gives some latitude as to the size of the home and actually how many units might be constructed to reach those levels.

For example, you can have a smaller number of five and six bedroom homes to achieve a certain population. You'd have to have a greater number of homes, if they were smaller use to achieve that number. The basic expectation for the foreseeable future, there would be single-family homes as a unit type being constructed in the Township.

Q Would the basic expectation be that the single-family homes would be at a density of less than .5 units per acre?

MR. O'HAGAN: Less than?

MR. FRIZELL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: The basic assumption would have been again, I don't recall any specific discussions, but I can't think of anything at the moment that would cause me to think otherwise, that the density that existed in the Ordinance at that time was expected to continue and it was roughly at the basis of two acre lots, whether it's



1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

clustered or not, it's still the same density. BY MR. FRIZELL:

Is it your testimony that the clustering Q. provisions of the Ordinance achieve the same density as the Ordinance without the clustering provision in the A-1 Zone?

Yes.

All right. Now, in working in Colts Neck for -- since 1971, Mr. Queale, am I correct to assume that you've made countless -- you couldn't possibly count the number of visits that you made to the Township and personal observations you've made there; you've made dozens per year?

No.

How many per year would you normally make, for instance?

We had very -- a very limited meeting schedule with the Township. Basically, if we were under contract to do a study we visited the town or had communications to pick up whatever data was necessary in order to produce the study. We would then forward the study down on and in some situations, we would meet with officials to go over In other instances, there might be communication by phone or letter regarding questions on the record. We did not have a heavy meeting schedule with the officials and



Queale - direct most of our visits to the town were intended for data collection. uses? Which report? litigation. Okay.

All right. In your report, Mr. Queale, you make reference and -- to the various different types of

The -- in the report you prepared for this

Q And I wonder if you have with you the notes or the data on which you based those numbers so that I could determine from that how much of the Township is dedicated to a particular land use at the present time?

Α Well --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you have those notes?

I don't have the notes. I do have a map that was Α prepared to show land use patterns and I was intending to prepare a map that also showed or specifically showed qualified farmland.

Q Do you have that map with you?

No, the actual relationship of all that has not A been done yet. I'm waiting for the maps to come back from the printer. One of the considerations that I had was to plot this data on the critical areas map that was submitted as part of the physical characteristics



to page 2, it says here, for instance, the second

Wueare - arreco 1 paragraph, "Other scattered uses including the auto 2 wrecking yard and the Colts Neck Airport." 3 A What page? I'm sorry. Page 2, second paragraph or actually the first 5 full paragraph, the bottom line, "Colts Neck Airport." 6 Α Okay. 7 Based on this report, where would, for instance, 8 the airport be placed in terms of land use, what category? 9 If you wanted to put an X on the map? Α 10 No, I know where the airport is located. The 11 airport is located - I believe - east of Airport Road? 12 Α Yes. 13 On that large lot? Q 14 Α Yes, and west on the stream, which is west of 34. 15 Q Correct. 16 Α Yes? 17 Do you know how big that airport is? 18 Not offhand, normally the category of an airport Α 19 would be one of two possible categories: Either commercial, 20 or industrial. In the instance of Colts Neck, I would 21 assume for the moment that I categorized it as commercial. 22 Industrial classifications may occur where you have an 23 industrial park that surrounds it, for example. Can you roughly tell me how big that airport 24

is by looking at it? You have - I note - the Orgo Farms



part of that plot farm -- excuse me. Let me state that as an affirmative statement.

21

22

23

24

25

There is no farming occurring on that lot; isn't that correct?

I can't say that for certainty and I don't know



Queale - airecu

whether all or a portion of it is under qualified farmland.

I have the data in the office but I don't recall offhand.

Township, did you separate, for instance, a lot which was -which was primarily devoted to a certain use, in this case
airport use, would you -- is it possible in doing your
calculations or doing your study that you would dedicate
or allocate the balance of that lot to vacant land or
would you simply put the whole lot in a commercial or
industrial use?

A No, in this instance, I would have put a circle at the approximate location of the airport use and the rest would be appropriately classified vacant, agricultural or wooded, depending on the categories.

- Q Okay. Do you know who owns the airport?

  A No, I don't.
- Q Have you ever been consulted with respect to development proposals for that airport?
- A Yes.
  - And what were the nature of the proposals?

    MR. O'HAGAN: I'm going to object

    to this, if you're prepared to say that it's

    something that has been approved, if you're

    prepared to say that the Planning Board has

    taken official action on it, that's one thing.



WUESTE - MITTOR

The mere fact that there has been an application made on it, if it has, doesn't advance your cause in this proceeding one iota, and I think it's completely irrelevant.

MR. FRIZELL: Are you instructing him not to answer the question with respect to applications made by planners with respect to developers in Colts Neck?

MR. O'HAGAN: If you tell me the relevancy of it, if you tell me the purpose --

MR. FRIZELL: I'm not prepared to make a full explanation. I want to know whether or not he's going to be allowed to answer. I can tell you my preliminary opinion, the relevance of it is we're attempting to determine whether this particular Township is subject to or experiencing development pressures from developers and land owners. In that context, I'd like to know whether Mr. Queale, in his capacity as Township Planner or Planning Consultant, has been consulted with respect to development applications or proposals with respect to a very large piece of property in Colts Neck Township.

T



1 MR. O'HAGAN: The problem I'm having 2 with it is that applications can be presented 3 to a Planning Board and withdrawn at any time. 4 Some applications might be submitted merely 5 to test the water, to see how the view is. So 6 I think it's irrelevant and I would instruct 7 him not answer the question because I don't 8 see an probative value in the question whatso-9 ever. 10 MR. FRIZELL: All right. 11 BY MR. FRIZELL: 12 How many -- how many of these consultations 13 have been made over a period of time, without telling me 14 the nature --On this tract? 15 -- The nature of the communications? 16 The Orgo or Airport? MR. O'HAGAN: 17 MR. FRIZELL: The Airport tract. 18 THE WITNESS: Two. 19 BY MR. FRIZELL: 200 Now, in your report you indicated that these 21 proposals made were residential in nature? 22 Yes. 23 24

was well

25

Okay. Now, do you know who owns the tract of

property, if I can refer again to your existing land use

1 map, the map with the existing land use report, do you 2 know who owns the piece of property adjacent to Orgo Farms. that is to the east of Orgo Farms through which Slope Brook 3 travels? 5 No. If I told you Mr. Michael Stavola is the 6 owner of that property, would that name ring any bells for 7 8 you? Well, I've heard the name locally. I don't know 9 who he is. I understand he has a horse farm. 10 If I told you he was one of New Jersey's 11 largest builders, would that refresh your recollection 12 in any way? 13 I've never heard his name mentioned in that 14 context. 15 Okay. The property adjacent to the Orgo 16 Farms, Mr. Queale, on the south side of Route 18 near the 17 Clover Leaf intersection, which I thinkyou have a black 18 mark near of some kind, I can't see from here, do you 19 know who owns that property? 20 That's an identification of, I believe, it's the Township Garage. 22 Okay. 23 MR. O'HAGAN: Off the record. 24 (Whereupon there is a discussion 25

wueare - urrect 26 1 off the record.) 2 BY MR. FRIZELL: 3 All right. The lot adjacent to Route 18 and Q between Route 34 and the Orgo Farms, do you know who owns 4 5 that tract? This lot in here (indicating). No, I don't. 6 7 Would -- does the name Zimmer ring any bells for you? 8 I don't -- well, there is a name. It's either Zimmer, Zimmerman, who's a developer of single-family 10 homes in the Township. That rings a bell. 11 In your connection as Planner for Holmdel Q 12 or Colts Neck or Monmouth County Municipalities, did you 13 ever encounter a builder, a Mr. Zimmer? 14 MR. O'HAGAN: In what respect? 15 BY MR. FRIZELL: 16 As someone who was proposing an application for 17

development?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think I ever met him. I only know the name, I guess, through the maps I reveiwed or I heard it being spoken.

Now, Mr. Queale, do you know of your own personal knowledge of any other large tracts of land which might be owned by developers in Colts Neck Township, that is people who are professionally engaged in real estate



Queale - direct development? No, I just have not had access to that and I'm not privy to it. The Board doesn't use my services in the context of submitting a list of projects or each project that comes into the Board to me for review. Now, have you been contacted as a Planner with respect to any other besides the airport property, any other large development proposals besides the Orgo property? MR. O'HAGAN: When you say "large", I think you will have to describe large. MR. FRIZELL: Okay. BY MR. FRIZELL: I can describe large as anything over a 50 acre parcel of land on a plat with an A. Only two things that I can think of. They're not really current. One was some years ago and Mr. O'Hagan may be able to recall the date better than I. It was some type of proposal on the property that has been subsequently purchased by the County for the Hominy Hill

Golf Course.

Was the nature of that proposal residential? As I recall, yes. The second was at the eastern end of the Township, south of Phalanx Road, on the south side of Phalanx and that was probably around 1972 or so, and as you can see from both the maps that's in the land



2

1

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

537.

on the base map, the street map and lot configuration are occupied. Then you see the dots in the cross hatched areas that indicate new homes that have been developed since 1969.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  O'HAGAN: Off the record for a minute.

(Whereupon there is a discussion off the record.)

## BY MR. FRIZELL:

Q With respect to other than residential developments, Mr. Queale, can you tell me any development applications or proposals which you became aware of in your capacity as Township Planning Consultant?

A Yes, we did review the site plan for Delicious
Orchards' expansion. That was done, I guess, in conjunction with the bank that was added just south of

Q Approximately a year for that?

a site plan for the commercial tract north of 537 located south of the hardware store, which, I understand, will be the site for Perkins Pancake House or some type of restaurant. But the review of that restaurant location was done in the context of the total tract. So if there



Queale. - alrect 1 is any expansion, it will be tied into a total parking scheme. Beside that there was an expansion to the tennis 3 courts. 4 Any other non-residential? 5 None that I can recall. 6 Were you consulted on the shopping center at 7 the intersection of Routes 537 and 34 by Mr. Gesarch 8 (phonetic)? 9 Yes, I did a review. The question was with respect 10 to, I think, it was just buffers and perhaps the esthetics, 11 but I seem to recall that when that came to me, that the 12 plan had already been approved as far as the layout of 13 the site was concerned. Was that a small neighborhood shopping center? 14 It certainly wasn't much larger than that. It 15 Α looks like the area of the site is something like 10 16 acres. 17 Do you recall, did it include a supermarket? 18 I don't recall any of the particular uses. The 19 plan I had as I recall, didn't specify who the occupants would be. 21 Were you contacted with respect to an appli-22 cation by McDonald's Corporation for a restaurant at that 23 site?

25

Yes, I spoke to the Township but we did not pursue

Queale - direct

it. That was part of the site plan that I also reviewed.

Do you recall anyother non-residential site plans or development applications of any kind that you were asked to review or comment upon in any way with your meetings with the Township?

A There was an issue some years ago and I can't recall whether it was before or after I went into my own business, when the Happy Apple wanted to do some interior expansion and the question came up about expanding the parking for the area around the restaurant.

Q Have you ever testified in court on behalf of Colts Neck?

A Once on a condemnation matter.

Q Is that the only time that you recall?

A I think so.

Q Mr. Queale, there's some terms used in your report which, before I go too much further in asking questions about it, I'd like you to try to explain to me a little better what the terms mean.

A Which report, the land use report?

Q No, the expert report you've prepared in preparation for this litigation. Let me back up just a second. When were you first contacted in connection with this litigation by the Township or any representative of the Township?



Queale - direct 31 1 I just don't recall. I'd have to look in my 2 records. 3 What was the nature of the contact? Were you 4 contacted by Mr. O'Hagan, by the mayor, by a councilman? 5 Frankly, I mean I heard of it, that such a litigation 6 had been initiated prior to the time of any formal request 7 to participate. I would -- normal routine would be to 8 hear from the attorney. I can only assume for the moment 9 that the first request came from Mr. O'Hagan. 10 And was this -- were your services in 11 connection with this litigation included in your normal 12 retainer services or did you enter into a separate agree-13 ment with respect to this litigation? 14 All of our services with any town in matters of 15 litigation are over and above any contract: 16 Are they contracted on an hourly basis? Q 17 Yes. A 18 It would just simply depend on how long you 19 spent in connection with this litigation, the amount of money you receive? 20 21 Yes. 22

Q In testimony in court, is that a separate category?

A No.

23

24

25

Q The same?



1 Α Straight hourly. 2 Straight hourly basis? 3 Uh-huh. Is that subject -- is that pursuant to a standard contract that you have with your clients? I 5 know when I deal with Plaintiffs, for instance, they have 6 7 a long sheet that tells me how much the principal planner is going to cost, how much the secretary's time is going 8 to cost and the assistant planner, et cetera. Is that 9 pursuant to that sheet? 10 We only have a two man operation and one secretary 11 so it's very simple, yes. 12 Now, to get back, Mr. Queale, to the first 13 term I want to ask you about, if you could tell me in your 14 own words what it means, because it's used in the report. 15 What is the term "sprawl" in your definition of sprawl? 16 Well, I think depending on what level you're addressing 17 the question, it might have different connotations. I 18 think normally most people would think of sprawl at the 19 regional level. The advancing of development out into 20 rural and suburban areas typical of the patterns that we've all seen in maps and heard about in the Metropolitan Area, the widening of that Metropolitan Area, that's 23

Q What land use types are characteristic of

sprawl.

24



sprawl, i.

about so

use types

A We

types and

that are

extent the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sprawl, if I can try to get in my mind what you're talking about so that I would know it when I saw it. What land use types are you talking about?

Well, I think it really encompasses all land use types and the ones you become most familiar with are those that are the greatest amount of land area and so to the extent that most development in the region has been residential, that has the largest impact. But to the extent there are industrial parks or individual sites of industries that result from the decentralization of old industries in the center city, that's part of the total picture also. But there's been less land occupied by industrial expansion than residential. So the total land consumption for that type of use is less than the same for commercial. The extent to which commercial land presents an individual picture is intensified in large part because most of that has occurred on the major highways and people see it because that's the route they travel to and from work on or whatever their destination is. It's highly visible.

Q Is that what you refer to as **Strip**Commercial Development?

A Yes.

Q With multiple access points in and out of a given highway point, you're talking about?



1 Again, I think there are two different types of 2 strip commercial development. The most difficult one that 3 most people recognize is the small lot, individual stores, each with a couple of driveways, usually accompanied by 5 intense parking or not enough parking and it creates 6 safety and congestion type problems up and down the high-7 way. 8 On the other hand, in a broader sense you 9 take something like a Route 22 up in the North Jersey 10 area, there is strip commercial development along it 11

but it happens to be a concentration of continuing, running shopping centers, an intense type of development, but each store is served by a fewer number of access points or driveways to the road.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In terms of residential land All right. uses being included in sprawl, what type of land uses would you say would be included in sprawl, all types? Sure. Α

What -- are there any types that are more characteristic than other types?

Well, depending on where you are in the State, the further out you get in terms of distance from the Metropolitan core, the more you're going to see singlefamily homes. Those are the areas that traditionally have an absence sewer and water supply so you run into the



single-family home that would be characteristic of the overall pattern. As you get into some of the more developed communities, but still where there might be significant land areas, there is a pattern of town houses, garden apartments, from say 10 years ago. Currently we're seeing applications for duplexes in some of the smaller lots that are infilling and so forth. So it becomes part of the pattern.

So I think again the overall sprawl pattern is different depending on where you are within the region and what point in history they develop.

Q You use in conjunction with sprawl the term "leapfrog development". Could you please explain what leapfrog development means?

A If you visualize the New York Metropolitan Area as being most intensely developed closer to New York and Newark, and decreasing in intensity as you move out and then time proceeds, the intense center also increases, you have kind -- or have had this way of development that's been moving out. The logical extension of that would be a continuation of that wave in the next step out. Leapfrog would be something that would extend significantly beyond that fringe of development and create a new nucleous beyond the fringe.

Q So when you say "leapfrog development", you're



Queale - direct 36 1 not talking -- you don't refer to it in the context. for 2 instance, of skipping lots. You're talking about it on 3 a much higher scale in terms of perhaps skipping miles. Yes. Α 5 And that's how you use it in your report? 6 I'm using it in -- in that context perhaps not 7 in terms of specific miles but more within the regional 8 context, yes. All right. In your planning educational 10 background and your experience, did you ever come across 11 a term "garden cities"? 12 As a specific city or a design concept? Α 13 As a design concept. 14 Yes. It's been a number of years ago. Α . 15 Do you know what it means? 16 Well, I can't offer a specific definition. Α 17 me it would imply a planned unit development approach, 18 which would be the more current phrase. Some places have called it Green Belt Designs, garden cities. They're 19 all basically the same concept. 20 So a planned unit development -- just planned 21 unit development, would you tell me what a planned unit 22 development is? 23

A Well, under the Municipal Land Use Law, it's a form of planned development which then has a list of - I

24



Queale - direct guess - five or six different terms: Planned unit resi-

1

dential development, planned unit development, planned unit industrial development and so forth. I have experienced planned unit developments in two contexts. One would be a mixture of housing types designed on a large tract. The second would be those housing developments with some kind of non-residential development, normally a small shopping facility. I personally have not seen an application that was large enough to have an industrial park together with shopping center, together with houses, but that would

In the context of sprawl, is urban sprawl the Q same as sprawl? Are they more or less synonymous terms? I would say so.

also be a concept for a planned unit development.

In the context of discussion of that, what Q as a Planner -- sprawl you would regard, from your report, I take it, as a negative -- having negative impact on the quality of life in the given area where it occurs as opposed to alternative perhaps forms of development? A - Well, again from the -- I think it can have and I think in a very broad sense, it has had significant impact on the State as a whole and I tend to think of it in the negative sense particularly from coming into the, say, 1980's of just what kind of land have we consumed; what kind of transportation patterns are we requiring to



6

7

9

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

• 30

÷. 

serve these people; what sense or what logic is it that
we jump out into the middle of nowhere for sewers, where
more logical sequence, if we're going to have continued
expansion at all, would be not to do so. I'm not so sure
it's a given but if we were to have continued that, it
would take place in the extension of existing systems on
the fringe areas.

Q Are there land uses which you have recommended or you have considered that would be an alternative to the urban pattern that you described?

MR. O'HAGAN: Under what circum-stances, where and when?

## BY MR. FRIZELL:

Q I'm talking about obviously those areas where development has not yet occurred. I'm not talking about redevelopment and I'm talking about these areas which are not already dedicated fully to the suburban sprawl pattern. If that's a description for you, an alternative form of development is what I want.

A In Chesterfield, which is Burlington County, and a strong agricultural town --

## Q Right.

A -- We developed to my knowledge the first ordinance that permits developing transfering of the development from one site to another. The specific purpose was to



wueare - urreco

1 | preserve agriculture.

Q Was the TDR in conjunction with any kind of PUD or planned development proposals?

A I don't know how far you want to get into this.

Let me correct. It was distinct from TDR, which is

transfer of development rights.

Q Oh?

A It's referred to in the Ordinance as Transfer of Development Credits.

Q All right.

A Basically because we felt and had supporting advice from attorneys that the tranfer of development rights would need legislation in order to provide it in the Ordinance. So our concept of tranferring credits dealt with the manner in which land was owned rather than purchasing separate rights. In the final analysis, the ultimate development and preservation of tract for agricultural purposes would be the same under our concept as under the TDR concept. The process would be a little different. Now, I forgot the question.

Q I asked you if whether or not that -- well, let's forget what I asked you before. I'll ask you a little bit more about that.

When you say "concentrating development", what physically happens is resident -- are you talking



4 5

QUCALC - ULICCO 1 about residential development to begin with mostly? 2 In Chesterfield? 3 Yes. 4 Predominently, yes. 5 And would those by concentrating that develop-6 ment would resulting densities be increased by virtue of 7 these credits? 8 Yes. And the development that occurred would be 9 10 at a higher density than would otherwise be permitted under the existing zoning? 11 Yes -- well, under the existing zoning without 12 using that concept, in other words, the maximum density 13 is still in accordance with the Ordinance. 14 That's what I meant. I'm sorry. 15 Did you -- did you use any -- other than that 16 particular -- incidently in Chesterfield Township, there's 17 generally two small communities in it, Crosswicks --18 Α Yes. 19 And the other one is? 20 Chesterfield. Α 21 Chesterfield itself? 22 Yes. Α 23 24 Were those -- were those areas -- were those

the designated areas, if there were any, to which

development was directed, the -- if I -- were they the recipients of the credits? I don't know if that's a correct term.

A There is some history here and it did not finally emerge in quite that pattern. Our initial recommendations included about a quarter of the Township, which was designated to receive development and maybe two-thirds or three-quarters of the Township that was set aside for an agricultural preserve under the transfer concept.

At the time it was being discussed, it was the time the transfer of development rights legislation was introduced in the legislature, and at that time did not pass. And there was concern locally that this was the first town to try this concept and how far should the go.

We had several special meetings with the citizenry particularly the farmers, because it was a farmlands preservation effort. The upshot of the farmers' concerns were that they would be willing to proceed on a transfer of development credits concept but because it was new, they were afraid to specify a preservation district and requested that the transfer be permitted to go in any direction. If anyone wanted to buy more land, they could do so.

My advice was sought on that and I suggested, yes,



to proceed if that was the only way it could go through, let it go through that way. The follow-up question to me was: Well, why? And in that particular town my answer was because the drainage patterns in the whole town go toward the northwest, which was the area we had designated for the receiving of credits, and if there's ever going to be sewers, it's going to be the west or northwest anyway.

the agricultural preservation district was that any transfer that would take place would be from the east to the west, not the other way around. You wouldn't be moving the units further away and have to run the sewer lines a greater distance. So that in order to get the concept adopted, we felt that we were not really changing the ultimate development pattern in the Township, as had been anticipated, to a district, a preserve and receiving development district. It's almost by accident that Crosswicks on the one hand is on the fringe of that development, as is the Village of Chesterfield. Those two villages per se were not focal points towards which we were trying to direct additional development.

Q So that by concentrating the development in this area, your recommendation was that by concentrating development in this given section of the town that you could provide a better alternative to the urban pattern



wueare - итгесь 1 and also achieve or also have a better opportunity to 2 preserve prime agricultural lands? 3 MR. O'HAGAN: I object to the form. 4 You can ask what his purpose was in making 5 that recommendation but you're perhaps assuming 6 something that he hasn't said and isn't yet 7 in evidence. If you want to ask what the 8 purpose for his recommendation was, fine. 9 MR. FRIZELL: I want to ask the 10 question that I asked. Would you read it 11 back. 12 (Whereupon reporter reads back 13 pending question.) MR. FRIZELL: I don't see anything 14 15 wrong with that question. MR. O'HAGAN: I'm not telling 16 him not to answer it, if you want to proceed 17 with it. 18 BY MR. FRIZELL: 19

Q Is that a fair summary of what the purpose of that recommendation for the transfer of development credits was?

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The primary intent of the township was to preserve agriculture. They were not really subject to and have not been subject to a sprawl type development. It seems



Queate - airect

l

17.

together.

to have pretty well stopped in that area of the State, along the New Jersey Turnpike. It hasn't yet quite yet jumped over into Chesterfield. Certainly, their intent was to preserve as many major tracts of prime farmlands as possible.

I think you perhass did not mention it in this specific context of Chesterfield, but that series of answers was in response to a series of questions I asked about alternative forms of development to sprawl. Other than those recommendations that we discussed in Chesterfield, have you ever attempted to grapple with the question of how to suggest alternative forms of development to sprawl development and if so, where and what were they?

A Well, in Hopewell we again approached through the public hearing process the possibility of transfer of development credits concept there. They have different patterns of development, but they do have a strong agricultural base in the valley. They have some

But they have some logical areas in the historical development. The two holes in the doughnut, if you will, are Hopewell Borough and Pennington Borough.

mountainous terrain which is a different question all

Q You're referring to the Township?



· ~~~ 4 7

. ...

Q Yes?

To Hopewell Township.

A We could not get any support for the transfer concept in Hopewell. We did end up designating areas of higher density development on the qualification that water and sewer facilities would be provided and that the valley was in essence low density for agricultural purposes and the lot size there was two acres.

Q Those --

A I would anticipate, frankly, that over the period of time maybe it's going to be another decade or two, that a transfer type approach might be seen to be more popular by the populace and might get adopted.

In Hillsboro, we have a transfer concept which provides for a transfer from the lower density area into the central core, where water and sewer is available.

I would say by and large in our rural communities, that the basic thrust to the agricultural issue is to provide for one or two or three acre lot sizes.

Q In -- transfer development credits is something that doesn't physically affect? You can't see them anywhere? It's a paper transaction, right?

A Well, it's not even -- I guess it's paper in that sense.

Q Right.



Wheate - attech

1775 40

A I mean there's no document that anybody deals out, three pieces of paper for three credits.

Q Well, see, my questions are dealing with physically. I think you've attempted in some degree to describe what sprawls look like. I want to know what the alternatives would be to sprawl development physically in the landscape. Is the PUD concept, the large PUD concept, an alternative that you have suggested in the past to sprawl development of tract subdivisions?

A I think there are two ways of looking at it. One would be, yes, on a given site a planned unit design - without for the moment talking about what the density of that tract would be --

## Q Right.

A -- But the design itself, to encourage open space and so forth would give the individual appearance of less sprawl. The second and perhaps broader aspect of the regional sprawl pattern and how do you contain it, I think, would come from beyond the Municipal Zoning jurisdiction.

Although that will play a part and have responses from the State and perhaps National levels to funding for water and sewer systems, improving mass transit, lower interest rates or some kind of financial assistance to rehabilitate existing units in the urban centers and taking smaller parcels that are near these units of infrastructure and



wueare - urreco

· ~~ 4 /

putting in pockets of townhouses or apartments or things of that sort, but in essence expecting that there would be an intensification of the already intensified suburban area or urban area, rather than a continuing march into a broader and broader urban area.

And in the context that you talk about, you, for instance, more or less conclude, so that we can summarize quickly, I can ask you this question: To permit the proposed development of the Orgo Farms would be suburban sprawl; is that negative in your view in that regional context that you just spoke about?

As opposed to a -- you said there were two contexts. One was the context of preventing or lessening the appearance of sprawl. You weren't referring to that particular concept at that time in your report; isn't that true?

Which concept now?

Yes.

You said that there were two --

20 10 A If --

-- Two contexts. One was the immediate context of the property with which sprawl can be prevented. One was the immediate context in which PUD lessens the appearance of sprawl and the second was the original context in that sprawl, being a -- an expansion of the



6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

...-- 40

Metropolitan Area, okay? I -- I just want to know when you're talking about sprawl in here, you're talking about the second; aren't you?

A I'm really talking about both. Certainly, the second from the regional point of view and even just within Colts Neck --

Q Yes.

A -- If you look at the development pattern of the single-family homes in the Township, the homes for the most part are concentrated to the north and northwest.

Q Yes?

This particular site then jumps down perhaps a mile to two miles below that existing pattern of development within Colts Neck. There is a leap out or a leap south which I think also applies to the region as a whole. But within the context if what I understand to be proposed or the request by the Applicant to go to something like 8 units to the acre with an office complex and 150,000 or 130,000 square feet of commercial space, those are densities that you know, no matter how you design it, on the site it is significantly different from what is not only in the Township but what is within the region, you know, of contiguous municiaplities and what has advanced out so far from the Metropolitan Area.

Is there density that would be more compatible



ı with the surrounding areas that you're talking about? 2 say 8 units an acre would not be compatible, I assume? 3 I don't think it's appropriate for that area. Α 4 What --Q 5 Again, I think if you look at where the development 6 has come from --7 Yes? 8 -- The levels of density that exist in the coastal 9 areas, Asbury Park, it's quite high --10 I don't want to interrupt you. I asked you 11 a question and I'm beginning to get lost. Are there 12 densities that you would regard to be appropriate there? 13 If you're responding to that question, please continue. 14 I was just going to say, the basic pattern is the 15 most intense on the coast line. It progresses down as you move toward Colts Neck --16 Uh-huh? 17 Q 18 -- In adjoining Tinton Falls, the densities are 1, 2, 3 or 4 units the acre and there are developments 19 basically at that level, and then you move to Colts Neck, which is not really developed on the portion adjacent 21 to Tinton Falls. If coming from the north you have higher development in Holmdel, then you come down over the ridge 23 in the southern two-thirds, which adjoins Colts Neck, 24 it is for the most part undeveloped or developed on lots 25



1 of 1 or 2 acres. 2 To the west, you hit Freehold where they have 3 expansion of some apartments and townhouses. You come up 4 basically to the ridge line of the sewer service, which 5 flows away. Talking about at the time appropriate density, 7 that 8 was not appropriate, is there any density higher 8 than .5 that is appropriate? 9 MR. O'HAGAN: In what context? 10 MR. FRIZELL: The same way he 11 answered the previous question, however he 12 answered it. 13 MR. O'HAGAN: Viewing it in the context of this case or this specific location 14 or the way the area has developed? I'm not 15 sure that I understand the question. Maybe 16 Mr. Queale does, but I don't. 17 18 BY MR. FRIZELL: 19 Do you understand the question? 20 You're looking for a number of what the density A 21 should be? 22 If 8 is not appropriate, I wonder if you have an opinion as to what is or if you can form one? 23 I really wish we had a little clearer picture as 24 Α to absolute agricultural preservation because I think 25

Queale - ulreco

1 that's an extremely appropriate use for this corridor on both sides of 537 and several of the township has responded to the agricultural issue with this low density, which is again consistent with the County and State and Tri-State objectives.

#### Yes?

So at this point in time, whether a transfer provision were used or straight zoning were applied to the tract, I think you would have to be to the low level of density as exists in the Ordinance as being appropriate.

If transfer development credits were available, would that change the appropriate density of this location; could it?

No, because basically all you're talking about is calculating rough acreage on how many units it would generate and then moving them somewhere else.

Is your response then there is no density higher than .5 units per acre that is appropriate for this location?

A I think basically, no, in view of the character of the area and what the region has anticipated for development in that area or the lack of development.

Now, in terms of talking about decreasing densities from the shore area out, is -- is that characteristic of urban sprawl?



6

7

5

2

3

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Yes.

. 3

Q When you talk about logical continuation of the existing patterns of development, isn't that talking of continuing that urban sprawl?

A Well, I don't think anyone can ever just draw a line and say this is — this is the end, no more. I think as Planners, we can say, "Here is a logical boundary through which it would be desirable not to go further." Whenever you draw that generalized line, you have pockets of undeveloped land, which are within the area that you anticpate to be developed, what's normally referred to as the infilling process on the remaining land.

So I mean there's bound to be continuation of development in Colts Neck even if we were to draw the line and say, "This is agricultural and this is residential," simply because there's vacant land available.

Q If Colts Neck Township were fully developed under its current development regulations, even assuming that the cluster provisions were opted for 75% of the time or more, would the resulting pattern of development be characteristic of urban sprawl?

A Yes.

Q Would a PUD provision as indicated in your

Master Plan - that was a 600 acre PUD discussion; was it



MAGATE - ATTECO

ノン

not?

2

1

A Yes.

3

alternative to development in Colts Neck, which would

5

lessen the impact or - excuse me - lessen the appearance

- Would a PUD provision of that type be an

6

of urban sprawl in the Township in your opinion?

7

A Well, okay. You corrected your question so the answer is yes.

8

9

Q Yes?

10

A It would definitely offer the opportunity to

11

improve the appearance. It does not necessarily mean

12

that the appearance would be altered. Certainly with

13

respect to other considerations of sprawl, it does nothing

14

to change the number of units or the number of people or

15

the number of cars or anything else.

16

Right. The difference between the opportunity

17

and actually achieving that objective is something that,

18

for instance, you as a Professional Planner would want to have input in controlling; wouldn't you, as a Pro-

19

**Gessional** Planner for the Township, you and other

21

Professionals on behalf of the Township? Am I stating

22

that correctly?

Q

23

A Presumably, we would be involved in reviewing the application and offering; comments.

24

Q You would review the design?



A The site plan design, not necessarily. The

architecture, whether it was a highrise or mid-highrise or below the crest of a hill and hidden or right on top of it --

Q But at that site plan review stage, you would in fact have in other municipalities reviewed the PUD site plans in order to hopefully achieve the objective that you talked about for which the opportunity is made but which are only achieved by careful design, among other things?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

MR. FRIZELL: Off the record.

(Whereupon there is a discussion

off the record.)

# BY MR. FRIZELL:

Q The term in your report "high density", you talk about this proposed development being high density. Could you tell me what high density is?

It's a relative term. Certainly 8 units to the acre is high in the community of Colts Neck, which again is consitent with the County and Regional Plans, which speak of no more than a half unit per acre. You're at 16 times that density. If you go 8 units to the acre in Jersey City, you're low. But I think in --



Is there --

2

-- In establishing it in traditional, rural and suburban areas --

3

Yes.

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Absent those considerations, I mean for the

suburban areas density in proportion to three and four units to the acre. Isolated sites in some of the more developed sections might be for garden apartments at 8, 10 or 12. Back in the early '60's, the garden apartments were 14, 16, 12 to the acre. Here you're talking about something else, rather than as I referred to in my report, this leapfrog. This community has been at lower density, rural. It suddenly will have an 8 to 10 unit per acre project.

-- We are seeing in the developing portions of

Would you consider the existing zoning to be low density?

Yes.

BY MR. FRIZELL:

Is there a middle range -- is there a range at which you would call middle density in this type of suburban area and I don't mean only Colts Neck?

> MR. O'HAGAN: The problem I have in that question is that there are questions peculiar to Colts Neck that might not apply.



.) U

1 pi
2 tl
3 de
4 h:
5 I
6 8
7 de

purpose of when Planners discuss things, I can understand that Planners use terminology such as low density, middle density, high density. Throughout the report, you use high density and you tell me it is a relative term, which I can accept. Given this type of area, is high density 8 units an acre? Is there a range which I can call middle density?

A Again, my discussion to this point has been based on density meaning some number of units per gross acre of land. I would assume that's been your understanding in my discussions.

Q Yes.

A Correct, because you're aware that there are net densities, which come as a result of how you design a tract and those net densities shouldn't be confused with a gross density.

Q That's correct. No, gross density is what I'm talking about. I think we both understand what that means.

A For example, if you take a 200 acre tract and actually compute it, you could produce 100 plus or minus units based on the current density and design the units in some clustered arrangement. Each cluster would be at a higher density. That would be the net density.

Q Yes?





**PAGE** 

A Yes, that's one of them.

Q On page 32, there is a chart and among one of the headings is Recommended Densities for New Developments, and I wonder if that can refresh your recollection in any way about my previous questions concerning Tri-State's categories for low, middle and high classifications?

A They have here five classifications. They don't identify them as low, middle or high, but they are not too dissimilar from what I just mentioned.

Q Right.

A They have up to half unit per acre as green on the outermost fringe and then they increase as you get closer to the Newark and Jersey City areas. The next range being between two to 6.9, seven to 14.9, then 15 to 29.9 and in the inner core, 20 or more.

Q Speaking of Tri-State, Mr. Queale, since we're here on the point, you indicated that one of the means which you have recommended in the past to prevent loss of agricultural lands to the concentration of development in certain areas, and I wonder if you are familiar with Tri-State's recommendations on that same point?

A Again, I haven't reviewed that for a while and I don't recall their specific comments on agricultural

preservation.

Q Would you accept generally that the principle



1

Queale - direct

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that concentrated development better serves the preservation of agricultural land than not concentrating development?

Again, yes, I generally accept it but I think there Α are two ways in which that can be used. In the regional context from which Tri-State is coming, it might be appropriate to term that remark of concentrating development within the urban core. Your questions have been directed to individual sites and concentrated development in a PUD type design, which would not be concentrating those units in the urban core. There are two ways.

I understand that.

How do you handle your design on site? The other option is, don't put it there, put it in the inner city portions.

Do you know how Tri-State deals with the two different --

I've discussed it with them and I don't recall.

If I told you to a certain extent that they recommend both --

It would not be inconsistent. Then they can't be wrong.

Are the two policies inconsistent? Is there only one way to preserve agricultural land?

The best way to preserve agricultural land is not



providing that ring of protective or buffered area around the home, you might actually take a portion of the site and continue it as agricultural.

Q Right?

A That's the second way.

Q Okay. Are you saying that clustering on, for instance, 10 acre parcels preserves agricultural land as opposed to when I refer to clustering on a larger scale, as you refer to it as the PUD alternative, which is better in your opinion to preserve agricultural lands?

A Well, you're not giving me enough assumptions to allow me to answer the question. Let me respond a couple of different ways. Any land that's going to have the houses on it and any land that's --

Q Well, excuse me.

A -- Dedicated to open space is not going to be left for agricultural land.

Q Right.

A The next best thing is that design, is how to design the home so that if it's going to be next to an agricultural operation that uses sprays, manure or other types of fertilizers and dust and so forth from the tractors, you increase the distance to the homes so it's not an annoyance.

Q Right.



by the term "Fringe of a newer suburb"? You use "Newer

suburb" when you describe Colts Neck as the fringe of the newer suburbs. What's the newer suburb and what makes it the fringe?

A Colts Neck does join Marlboro and Freehold to the west and northwest. These Townships have considerable land yet to be developed but have also been receiving more development, more intense development than Colts Neck.

# Q Which towns?

A Marlboro and Freehold. Then if you go to the east and to the north, some of that development is a little further removed than Colts Neck, Tinton Falls, for example. There are some developments along the Colts Neck boundary but most of the more intense development is further east, east of the parkway. When you go north, Holmdel is similar to Colts Neck until you get up over the ridge and Route 35 and the Parkway. When I speak of the "Fringe of Newer Development", I'm really talking about the -- it's removed from the older corridors.

Q Newer suburbs, so I have the term straight -- What's that?

Q The term was "Fringe of Newer Suburbs", which you used in the report. I'm not sure if it's the same. If it is, please continue.

A Newer suburbs being the towns receiving more residential development is what I mean. Then you come



\_-

into Colts Neck and the density is lower and there are larger areas of large tracts that are agricultural in nature.

Q Among the newer suburbs you described were Freehold and Marlboro. I assume parts of Holmdel and Middletown -- did you mention Middletown? I notice that adjoins it also?

A Yes, but Middletown development is similar to Holmdel. It basically follows the curve of Route 35 and the Parkway.

Q Are those newer suburbs you are talking about like Tinton Falls?

Anytime you get near the Parkway, Middletown, Holmdel or Tinton Falls, a lot of that development is only newer if you consider developments since the 50's or middle 60's. It's fairly well established at this time.

Q I want to know what you mean by it so that when I read it, I can understand it. What about Howell Township?

A Howell Township is, you know, basically -- well, it's so large but there are some new developments in it. The commercial corridor follows Route 9 for the most part. Of course, it's interceded by Earle with respect to its relationship to Colts Neck.

Q What did you mean by "fringe"? What is "fringe"?



I was trying to coin a term, I guess, to leave an impression that this advancing wave of development that's moving into what is now known as a suburban area and on the fringes of semi-rural and being further out. The rural community of Colts Neck is right on that fringe. The north end of that town has received single-family development. You might logically be part of that fringe that has pushed down; when you get to the middle and southern

Q All right. Is the fringe occurring from Freehold and Marlboro as well as Tinton Falls or is the fringe at the north? Is it a three-sided fringe that you're talking about?

portions of the town, it's not part of that.

A If you look from the regional point of view, I think it is and it's really the northern parts of Tinton Falls.

Q That's actually, say, part of the fringe?

A Yes.

Q I see. Okay. Another term in your report such as — that I confuse — there's two terms, for instance, that I confuse at different times and I'd like to clarify them and maybe this is in the same connection with what you testified before about the possibility of farming the open space. Let me start with the question about that.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

That possibility isn't realistic unless there's a fairly substantial open space, isn't that right, the possibility for continuing to farm open spaces? You couldn't farm an open space of five acres, for instance, or three acres commercially; could you? Not in isolation. What we see around, when you get a tract that small, they're usually leased to farmers who do larger tracts in the same immediate area. Close by? Q Yes, when they're that small, you can expect them to fall to development. The larger the open space, which is preserved, the more realistic the opportunity is for continued farming; isn't that correct? Yes. If you had adjoining tracts, that would make it more -- give you greater opportunities to preserve it? Yes. In that connection, the term "open bace and I think you've basically told me what that 20 term is - as I understand it and the term "rural". what is the connection between open space in the development context that you use it throughout here, that is open spaces, dedicated open spaces, as opposed to some

general term and "rural", let's start with "rural".

Queale - direct is "rural"?

A Well, you can take them both at once. I don't know if I can present how I used them without --

MR. O'HAGAN: Would you want to make reference to a specific portion of the report to phrase your answer?

THE WITNESS: Unless Dave could point it out.

#### BY MR. FRIZELL:

Q I'm not sure I can. Maybe you start talking about rural and maybe I can find open space.

A Rural is more a term that I might identify the character of an area where you would have open spaces, again in the broad sense of the term open space that might either be actually used for or --

Q I understand --

A -- But rural certainly establishes a tone to an area where you would expect to see agricultural uses or open land. The term "open space" in the sense of a development is normally thought of as that portion of the tract that would be set aside under a clustering concept normally dedicated to either the community or to a homeowners' association. My experience has been that while several towns attempt to get that open space or they give the option to have that open space used for



3 4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

Queale - direct agriculture, it's normally not done. It's normally a park or open area. It serves the residents from a point of view of providing recreation space or as a buffer between the residents and other uses, agriculture, industry.

Q. Are you aware of any places in Colts Neck where there are open spaces left over currently leased to farmers or currently commercially farmed?

I don't know of any. Α

So that open spaces can have two meanings. One is in the sort of narrow, legal meaning that I referred to as dedicated open space pursuant to the Land Use Act and like with small opened spaces, which would include agricultural tracts, woodlands, et cetera? Yes.

Okay. Now, would you -- if there is open space in a narrow, legal sense that is dedicated tracts, dedicated to the municipality, grasslands, woodlands, or combination, would you characterize that, in classifying that, would you characterize that land as rural land in connection with this development or would you not charecterize it as rural?

22 🔨 🗥 🚜 ain thinking of the term rural as a broad term that would conjure up a vision in someone's mind as to what the community looks like --

Right?



υy

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 22.

23

24

A -- I think it depends on where that residential development is taking your tract. For example, if a significant and obvious, visible portion of the site were left in this open space and adjoined a farm that was being actively farmed, it could give someone driving the road an experience of the continuation of the farm and, therefore, possibly leave in their minds an impression that there is more agricultural land than actually exists, because they can't see where that fine line is that divides the property ownership. That same amount of open space if provided between two single-family developments would not conjure up that same vision. Somebody would look and say, "Well, they have a park right in the middle of the residential area."

Q I think I understand. So if it was viewed in the context of the residential development, it would be --

A More recreational.

Q -- More recreational and appurtenant to the residences more than appurtenant to the agriculture, which you would definitely regard as rural?

A Yes.

Q Let me ask you a question about Route 18.
Would you regard -- have you seen Route 18 recently?

A I've never traveled. I've driven under it on



```
Route 537.
2
                 How many lanes is it; do you know?
            Having not seen it, I'm not sure. As I recall the
3
4
     plan, it was to be four.
                 Two in each direction?
5
6
           Yes.
                And with a median in the middle?
7
            Well, it's divided. Whether it's a median, I don't
8
     know.
9
                Do you know whether they're now working on
10
     the highway?
11
                           MR. O'HAGAN: At what point?
12
                           MR. FRIZELL: Somewhere between
13
                 Colts Neck where it now ends and the Garden
14
                 State Parkway.
15
                           MR. O'HAGAN: You mean repairs,
16
                 construction?
17
                           MR. FRIZELL: Construction.
18
                           THE WITNESS: To complete it, they
19
                 are -- well, they were, whenever, '77, '78.
20
     BY MR. FRIZELL:
21
22
                Do you know when it is estimated to be
    completed?
23
            I've heard some dates but I don't recall that.
24
     If they're still actively constructing it, I would say
25
```

Queale - direct 1 it's in process. 2 Would you consider that highway to be a major 3 highway? 4 Yes. 5 You talked in the report, Mr. Queale, about 6 the population, the rate of population increase being on 7 the decline and I wonder if you have any information or 8 knowledge about the rate of formation of households? Could 9 you tell us the difference between those two terms; do 10 you know the difference? 11 I could offer an opinion but if you could clarify 12 your question, it would help me in responding. 13 I understand population increase being pure 14 numbers of people, number of births, exceeding deaths. 15 Right. Α 16 That a population increase at a certain rate, 17 whether it is a percent a year or half in a formation of households is a different statistic which deals with 19 the rate at which new households in a given census area are being formed. This year we had 100 new households formed. If there's 1,000 households, that's a 10% 21 increase in formation of households. 22 Α Okay. 23 Do we understand? Q 24

Α

25

Yes.

Q Did you -- do you have available information about the rate of formation of households in the Colts Neck Region, the Monmouth County Region or the Tri-State

A No, nothing that would specifically say, "Here's what's happened," listing specific numbers. My experience is - and I expect that from other data it's happening in Colts Neck as well - that the household size is declining due to the drop in the birthrate over the past decade or more.

## Q Well --

A Then there's the phenomenon of young, singles and so forth that are going out to buy so that if you're talking an absolute number, for example, if a new community is going to increase by 1,000 people, you can expect that in order to achieve that population level, you will have more households because there are fewer people per household. The alternative is to view the number of households and what the average family size is expected to be, and calculate then what you would expect the population to be. This is an alternate way of making projections or at least confirming your own projections.

Q Do you know where or how I would obtain information about the rate of formation of households in Monmouth County or the Tri-State Region?



wururr

Region?

Queale - direct

13

1 2

Well, it's easy to get the number of households from building permit data.

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{r}}$ Yes?

4

5

But I don't know of any convenient source that would tell you what the trend is toward in household size.

6

7

8

9

I don't mean household size. I mean population is obviously a number. We only count one for each head. Doesn't the United States Census also use the term "household" as a defined term meaning a living group, whether it's a single person or 14 people?

10

11

Yes.

12

The number of households, isn't that a figure that is available?

14

15

16

17

13

Not that I know of. I think the census together with some other Federal -- maybe it is the census. I know the State of New Jersey does publish the number of units built. I think that's the easiest way to figure out how many household formations there have been, and you have to assume on that that each building permit

18 19

issued has been constructed.

20 21

Do you have any opinion or have you ever formed an opinion about whether or not the -- what would be the minimum recommended size of Colts Neck for individual, on site septic systems?

23

22

24 25

You mean like one acre versus two acres versus an Α



Yes.

1

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My experience in not only Colts Neck but the broader area leads me to the fact that it really can vary. Probably one acre is the minimum but at that lot size, I think you have to anticipate that not all lands can be developed and that is saturation development. That two acres gives you a greater opportunity to anticipate that most lands can have some development design on them if that's what's going to happen to the land, and the biggest item that would, you know, possibly vary what could happen on one site to another is the depth to which there is either marl that does traverse a significant portion of Monmouth County or --

I'm not sure if you answered the question as far as the minimum size that you would recommend in Colts Neck.

Based on my experience, I feel comfortable with a septic system for a unit for two acres. There is a point where you're going to find some failures, if you go down to one acre lots. As they allow under the cluster design, you're going to find some additional problem areas unless the sites for the septic systems are located in conjunction with the adjoining open space so that the open space itself serves as a buffer in the field for the



75

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

septic system.

Queale - direct

Is that to say that properly designed, the gravitational flow sub-surface from the septic system would utilize the percolation areas in the open spaces? If designed that way and the slope of the terrain were that way.

Is that -- do you know, is that a criteria presently being used by the Colts Neck Planning Board? I don't know that that's a criteria. assumption on my part that there's raw land that might serve that function.

> Q Okay.

I wouldn't expect that you would actually place the septic system in that open space. It's outside the property that you own.

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the report itself. I'm going to start on page 4 since the beginning of the report is, I take it, summary of what is contained in the body of the report, which starts at page 3.

Yes.

Okay. You may -- if you have to refer to notes, perhaps we can -- on some of the questions, perhaps you can jot down and you can send them to me. I don't really need all the answers today.



wucase - assess 1 Page 4, the last paragraph -- last sentence 2 of the first paragraph, if the rate of growth were 80%. 3 what were the different rates? Do you know offhand roughly 4 or what were the absolute numbers? 5 I don't know it offhand. I do have the raw data 6 of the absolute figures. 7 Could you send that to me for that one sentence? I'd like to see that calculation. 8 For each County or just the three? 9 For each County. I assume you have each County 10 broken down: Monmouth, Morris, Somerset? 11 The three, not the nine from the whole region. 12 No, that sentence, "The rate of growth was Q 13 80%," I'd like to know the absolute numbers and the calcu-14 lation. In the -- excuse me. Third sentence of the 15 second paragraph, "The development pattern within Monmouth 16 County was similar to the overall regional pattern, 17 followed major transportation routes and established high 18 density corridors." Is this a pattern which you would 19 expect to continue? You talk about that pattern as 20 development? 21 Yes, if there's going to be continued development, 22 that is the pattern I would expect to continue, yes.

In light of that, how would you expect Colts Neck to develop in light of the -- upon the completion of

23

24



Queale - direct 77

the Route 18 Freeway, would you expect that to be -- to experience pressure to become a high density corridor?

A I think any town that has a corridor like that

A I think any town that has a corridor like that can -- I think the question is, what is the reasonableness of preserving the agricultural districts.

Q Well, I'm talking from a straight prediction point of view, which is not a policy question but simply as a planner among your duties that you have exercised in the past, you have predicted what is actually, physically going to happen despite the fact that you might not like what's going to happen. Given the statement "What has occurred in the past" and given the statement "That is what you would expect to occur in the future", I ask you if that is what you would expect to find in Colts Neck Township?

A That was a different question than I understood you to ask the first time. I expect within Monmouth County that the basic pattern for any continued development will be along those transportation and utility corridors, and intensification of the transportation or corridor system already established. Whether or not that extends to Colts Neck, I think is a separate question. Route 18 without any other indications might lead someone to say, "Hey, a new four lane highway has pushed through this virgin territory. Let's go after it." I could see

1 where someone would be led to that conclusion. 2 THE REPORTER: I need to change my 3 paper now. 4 MR. FRIZELL: Okay. Off the record. 5 (Whereupon there is a recess.) BY MR. FRIZELL: 7 Mr. Queale, on the bottom of that page 4, the Q 8 density of 184 persons per square mile, that was in 1970; 9 is that correct? 10 Yes. 11 Have you calculated the density as of today? 12 I have an estimate of 1977 but I haven't calculated 13 it on a square mile basis. 14 Okay. Could you tell me, Mr. Queale, on page 5, 15 what source you use for the number of jobs in Colts Neck Township? 16 Labor and Industry's current employment. 17 18 Were you aware of the Monmouth County Economic Base Report of 1972 at the time that you did these calcu-19 lations? 20 I knew that they had done several reports but I 21 didn't consult them. 22 Have you since become aware of it? 23 Yes. Α 24 Through Mr. O'Hagan? 25

Queale - alrect



-- 10

1 Not only through him but through my own, you know, 2 looking at the copies of the reports that we have in the 3 office. 4 Do you have any reason to doubt the figures 5 in those reports? 6 MR. O'HAGAN: Which reports? 7 MR. FRIZELL: Economic Base Reports. 8 BY MR. FRIZELL: 9 If I can refresh your recollection perhaps, it says that there were 2,500 jobs in Colts Neck Township 10 in 1972. I can get it for you. Do you have a reason to 11 believe those figures are inaccurate? 12 MR. O'HAGAN: Don't you have to 13 tell him how it was calculated? 14 MR. FRIZELL: I'm asking if he 15 has any reason. If he can tell me it was 16 inaccurately calculated, that's what I'm 17 asking him. 18 THE WITNESS: In 1972? I have 19 not gone back to justify their figures. As 20 of today, I think it's a very high number. 21 22 BY MR. FRIZELL: Do you? 23 Α Our --24 Excuse me. Go ahead. 25 Q



where - direct On

1 Our figures on relying on covered employment was 2 used to get a comparison with other either County or 3 Municipal -- Municipalities within the region. I recognize 4 that it does not include Government jobs and I do have 5 some numbers from Earle. As part of our facilities study 6 from Colts Neck, I would expect to get the number of 7 teachers, for example. 8 How many people are employed by Earle? 9 Well, it's an interesting set of figures. There Α 10 are civilian and military and military on ships. 11 Do you know what the total number is within 12 Colts Neck Township? 13 Well, they don't -- there's no breakdown within 14 Colts Neck. 15 Do you know if anyone has broken that number Q down? 16 No, when I did speak with somebody from their 17 Public Relations Department - I forget who it was now -18 they don't carry the numbers that way. But it does 19 cover five municipalities and I do have figures for the employment as of March. 21 Q Is that on that sheet there? 22 Α Yes. 23

Q Could I have a copy of that?

A Yes.

24



Do you know how many people were employed as 1 2 teachers in Colts Neck Township? Not at this time. They have three Elementary Schools, 3 no High School. 4 Do you know how many people are employed as 5 Patrolmen within the Township? 6 None. 7 There's no one employed as a Patrolman within 8 Colts Neck Township? 9 There is State --10 Not by the Township, in the Township. 11 There are State Police. I don't know how many are 12 stationed at the Earle -- Colts Neck Barracks. 13 In your analysis, can you tell me how many 14 retail jobs there are in Colts Neck Township; do you have 15 that anywhere? 16 The survey that was done by the Planning Board pro-17 duced some figures that I did not break down in terms of 18 commercial versus industrial. Most of the jobs that were 19 identified were of a commercially-related nature, whether it was office or retail or service station or that kind of thing. Laird's Applejack Distillery has a significant number 22 of employees but I don't recall what that number was. 23 On page 6, Mr. Queale, you make a statement 24

at the end of the first paragraph, second sentence up from

22

23

24

25

Queale - direct UC the bottom, that "Colts Neck is not projected to be a major employment center." Projected by whom? The Township, the County, I would --Α Let me ---- If not in fact, I know Tri-State doesn't go down to municipal levels just by virtue of the densities they projected, they don't anticipate it as a major employment center either. You don't know if a major employer might not be thinking of Colts Neck as an employment center; do you? I have not been made aware of any inquiries along those lines. Are you familiar, for instance, with the A T & T Longlines installation? In Bedminster? Α Yes. Q. Not specifically, only that it's there. You did work in Somerset County prior to its being installed? 'A Yes. That was not projected by the County? When you make the projections, you're not necessarily thinking in terms of how many jobs you expect over the next decade. The fact that something like that

A T & T Longlines installation goes into a region, it



WUCAIC - UIICUU

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

helps to fulfill that projection. If it doesn't go in. you might have overshot the mark to the extent that they are there providing major employment. It might lower the expectations of someone else expecting to move into the area because the people already have jobs.

On page 7 of the report, on number 1, paragraph number 1, is the source of that information the land use -existing land use report that you gave me earlier? Α Yes.

What is, Mr. Queale, in reference to number 2, what is "qualified farmland"?

This is the property which has been shown on the Α tax service records as meeting the Farmland Assessment Act.

Now, you used the word active in front of the words qualified farmland. I wonder what's the difference that is number 2, page 1 of the report. I assume paragraph number 2, active qualified farmland, is that different from qualified farmland?

No, the comma after the word. "active", by using it my intent was to indicate it's qualified farmland and it's not fallow. It's being used.

Did you separate out fallow land, which is qualified farmland, from your calculation?

No. Α

> Isn't it possible for fallow land to be Q



qualified farmland, that is farmland not under active 2 cultivation? Yes, the specific answer is yes, because you said cultivation. Does it have to be farmland to qualify for 6 farmland assessment? MR. O'HAGAN: Off the record. 8 (Whereupon there is a discussion 9 off the record.) 10 BY MR. FRIZELL: 11 Mr. Queale, what -- can farmland which is 12 not in active cultivation be qualified for farmland, to 13 your knowledge? 14 Yes. 15 Can woodlands be qualified under the Farmland 16 Assessment Act? 17 Yes. Α 18 Can wetlands be qualified un the Farmlands Assessment Act? 19 20 Yes. The dedicated open space and public streets --21 Let me back that up. excuse me. 22 The residential uses that you describe in the 23 Township, they are not primarily -- those would not be 24

included in what you would define as rural uses; is that --

Queare - arreco

1 do I read that report correctly? 2 You mean the 13% we referred to? 3 Q Yes. Those are the individual single-family lots. 5 Those, I take it, would not be among the uses 6 you classify as rural, which I take it, you classify farm-7 land, for instance, as rural; is that a fair statement? 8 Yes. Α 9 The streets, I assume, are not -- would not 10 be what you would include among rural uses of land? 11 Α Well, streets are streets. 12 Q Okay. 13 Α You know. 14 Yes. Okay. What about dedicated open space? 15 Dedicated open space, as identified in the tax 16 maps and so forth, are classified here as part of the 17 public and quasi public category. 18 Okay. Would land in the public and quasi 19 public categories, in your view, be rural uses as opposed 20 to urban uses? You're giving me such extremes, it's difficult 21 to answer. 22 I'll withdraw the question then. I would 23 agree with you. 24

On page 8, Mr. Queale, the second sentence

Queale - alrect



up from the first full paragraph on there, you state, "The low density of the development is compatible with agricultural uses." Do I understand that to be an opinion by you or is that -- or is that something that requires no opinion and is in the form of a fact? I would like explanation of that for my own purposes.

A It's both. I see it as a fact but I guess it is a form of interpretation, therefore, my opinion. Also basically, when you get into agricultural areas, you don't have high density development.

Q Is the current form of development and has the current form of development in the past 20 years in Colts Neck, that is the subdivisions in Colts Neck, with the usual A-1 or A-2 or A-3, those portions of development, are those compatible with agricultural uses?

A I think in Colts Neck, they have been emerging in a compatible way. They obviously are not agricultural uses so that land is lost to agriculture. But in the sense of there being fewer people to be subjected to the nuisance of agriculture and with the clustering that has helped to set off the residential uses from the agricultural uses, there has been an emerging of new development in a compatible way with the agriculture that has continued.

Q Well, this form of development is absorbing land, isn't it, at the rate of roughly two acres per



household? Yes. And most of that land that is being absorbed Q is or was formerly agriculture land; is it not? Certainly in the long view of the history of the Township, it was. It might have been qualified farmland before it developed; I don't know. If the same number of houses had been developed Q at densities of, say, four or five dwelling units per acre in Colts Neck Township, isn't it true that less agricultural land or less previously vacant land would have been absorbed by the same of development? It would be true but up to this point in time, as far as I know projecting into a reasonable foreseeable date, it wouldn't be possible.

- - 01

Why do you say "It wouldn't be possible"? Well, if you're going to take those numbers of units and cluster them at four or five to the acre, you're going to need at least off site sewage treatment, if not some kind of water distribution system.

So the thing that does make it impossible is the lack of a utility installation system?

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But aside from that, if there were higher densities on given net acres, it would have absorbed less

land given the same amount of development. 2 Applying the same density for gross acres and allowing it to be clustered down tighter, logically it 3 would consume less land. 4 5 That's true, retrospectively, in terms of Colts Neck but would apply prospectively in Colts Neck; 6 would it not? 7 Yes. 8 Α On page 9, Mr. Queale, you say that Route 18 9 skirts the Township and I wonder what you mean by "skirts"? 10 That's basically on the fringe of the Township 11 although it cuts through the Township with respect to the 12 outside boundaries. It comes along the western periphery 13 then cuts east along the Earle property. The skirting 14 in essence referes to the privately held land. 15 Well, it has an intersection at Route 34; 16 does it not? 17 And also at 537. 18 And also at 537, correct, those are both 19 full intersections? 20 Yes. Α 21 MR. O'HAGAN: Do you know what he 22 means when he says "full intersections"? 23 THE WITNESS: You can get off and 24 on in any direction. 25



Queare - direct

BY MR. FRIZELL:

2

. 3

1

Now, you refer to the bus service, Mr. Queale, on page 9 in the second to the last sentence. Is that a bus service that runs Route 35?

4 5

A Yes.

6

Q And it goes from Freehold to Eatontown?

7

No, it goes to Red Bank. That's a --

8

Q Typo?

9

A -- An error, yes.

10

Which should be removed, Red Bank?

11

A No, it's between Freehold and Red Bank. Eatontown

12

Q Eatontown should be removed and Red Bank

13

14

A Yes.

substituted?

15

Q That's a public bus; anyone can get on it?

16

A Yes.

17

18

19

Q On page 10, Mr. Queale, the paragraph about horses. I note that you don't have a figure in here for the number of horses in Colts Neck. Do you have that number; do you know that number?

20

21

A Not at the present time.

22

Q Do you have it in your records anywhere? I just wondered why it's not included here.

23

A No, it's very hard data to get. I would hope to

25

be able to get it. I'm not certain I will by the time we



•

get to trial.

Q I find it interesting, Mr. Queale, that the average number of horses per farm in Burlington County was something like - I think it was - 450 and the average number of horses per farm in Colts Neck was much lower, something like 200. I wonder if you have any explanation for that?

MR. O'HAGAN: He didn't say how many there were in Colts Neck. I think you mean in Monmouth County; isn't that what you mean, Monmouth County?

MR. FRIZELL: Correct.

rather the data that reflects this material,
I guess, can best be described as being
extremely conservative. They are based on
responses to questionnaires and these are
the total responses received. The Department
of Agriculture knows that there are more
horses and more farms.

## BY MR. FRIZELL:

Q That's not --

This is their estimate.

Q -- That's not the question. I'm wondering about the number of horses per farm, if that means anything



www. 1 to you? 2 Based on this data, it does not because both the 3 total estimated horse population and the number of farms can't really be put together and one divided into the 5 other. 6 Q What is the source of this data? 7 The Department of Agriculture. 8 Are those documents current? 9 Yes, they have a 1971 survey and then they have a 10 current estimate. 11 MR. FRIZELL: Off the record. 12 (Whereupon there is a discussion off the record.) 13 14 BY MR. FRIZELL: 15 All right. Mr. Queale, at the bottom of 16 page 10 you refer to the individual contracts for 17 municipal garbage collection. Is that a significant 18 point? Was that important in your conclusion, the fact that there were individual contracts as opposed to 19 20 municipal garbage collection? From pages 10 to 11, the list of items, that was 21 merely a recitation of those things that I'm aware of 22 that seem to reflect the rural nature of the Township 23 that these services are not really formalized as you would

expect to find in a suburban community.

24

1 You know of urban communities, do you not. 2 that do not have municipal garbage collection? 3 I'm sure there are. I can tell you one that I live in. Westfield. 5 Entire Union County has no municipal garbage collection. 6 All right. 7 On the top of page 11, you describe the road 8 system as a "rambling, two-lane rural system." I wonder 9 where you put Route 18 in that characterization? 10 In that paragraph, once off the through roads of Routes 34 and 537? 11 We can include that as one of the through 12 roads as opposed to one of the rambling roads? 13 Yes. 14 Α The State Police Barracks that you refer to 15 in the next paragraph, is that barracks in Colts Neck? Is 16 there a barracks? 17 I believe they do reside there. 18 Would you be able to tell me -- I don't know 19 many parcels myself in Colts Neck Township. I know very 20 few. Would you be able to tell me, based on your notes 21 going back, whatever you have in your office how you broke 22 down the Colts Neck Airport in terms of land use, how many 23 acres you allocated to commercial and industrial uses and 24 how many to other uses? 25



A Precisely, I could not; in a general sense, I could.

I could explain the method of calculation if that would
help you to understand it. In my notes when I was doing
the calculation, I did not have a line that said "Airport,
X number".

Q I don't want the general method. What is puzzling me is you came to a conclusion that 2% of the Township is vacant, non-agricultural land. That airport, for instance, is something over 300 acres, which itself is almost 2% of the Township. Among other things, I'd like to know how you classified that airport, my client's property and other properties similarly situated.

Okay. I don't want to testify on that point,

Mr. Queale. On page 14, number 5, you talk about areas
in the --that follow the stream of the Hockhockson Brook
having swamp-like characteristics. For instance, did you
ever calculate how many areas in that area are swamp-like?

A The only calculations we have are the breakdown
of total critical areas. I'd have to go back to see whether
or not I actually broke it out into individual categories
and then combined them to come with - I think it was - 75%
of the Township, but I don't think I did.

Q Mr. Queale, on page 14 down below number 1, "No sewers exist non" you say, "are any planned". By whom again?



Either the Township or the County extensions or any Governmental Agencies, any development other than the 3 inferences made in your application. 4 You don't know if there are any other private 5 individuals who might be planning sewers. I don't know of any. The same with number 2, I take it? Yes. You say in number 3, there are no major 10 mass transit proposals. Is there -- is major mass transit different from mass transit? 12 No, mass transit is mass transit but in terms of 13 major, you have the bus line on Route 537 which is a form of mass transit. But the extent to which it is 14 available in Colts Neck is negligible. Major mass transit 15 routes are thought to be the corridor of Route 35 and 16 the railroad corridor that generally parallels the parkway 17 where you have an overlapping of different kinds of services, 18 bus and rail. 19 20

1

2

6

7

8

9

11

21

22

23

24

25

On page 15, the last sentence which carries over to page 16, "Limited growth areas are viewed as a land reserve". What is the source for that characterization, "Limited growth areas are viewed as a land reserve"?

The State's guide plan.



24

25

95 1 Is that your own plan, land reserves, or is 2 that the State's term? 3 Well, the specific term of reserves, I don't know 4 whether that term is theirs or mine. They speak of the 5 limited growth areas being held for future. 6 How many categories do you recall are in the 7 State Development Guide Plan? 8 Either four or five. You have the growth. I guess 9 they have maybe even six --10 Could you --11 -- Growth areas, limited growth areas, agricultural, 12 public, I think it's the State Guide Plan that has urban 13 centers. 14 Do they also have open space; does that refresh 15 your recollection? I was thinking of that in the public sense. 16 Is it your understanding that that report 17 would anticipate that growth would occur in the limited 18 growth areas in the near future? 19 The limited growth areas are very broad terms and I 20 would respond to/question by saying that the portions of 21 limited growth that are woven in and close to the growth 22 23

areas would be expected to develop. Those that are in the outlying or adjacent to outlying rural areas probably would not.



96 Queale - direct 1 I don't know if you answered my question. 2 asked you whether the report itself anticipates growth in 3 the limited growth areas, not what your interpretation of 4 the limited growth -- what will happen in the limited 5 growth areas but does the report itself anticipate growth in the limited growth areas? 7 Generally, yes. 8 Q The same report calls for allocation of 9 agricultural preservation; does it not? 10 Yes. 11 And the allocation of certain areas for open 12 space preservation; does it not? Yes. 13 Α The Regional Development Guide, has Mr. O'Hagan 14 15 supplied you with what I call a blow-up, a small blow-up of the Regional Development Guide recommended densities 16 for new developments, which was obtained by our client? 17 Produced by whom? 18 Produced by Tri-State. It's a small blow-up 19 showing where Colts Neck fits in the Regional Development 20 21

Guide.

This is the enlargement of that map we were looking at earlier.

Yes, but it would only show Colts Neck Township.

No, I haven't seen that.

22

23

24



If I were to tell you that -- let me rephrase that. In the Regional Development Guide, of which I think you have my copy, without marking my book, in the Regional Development Guide, Mr. Queale, I'm now referring to page 32, which is a map which shows recommended density for new developments, can you show where Colts Neck is in that map; do you know? Well, I know generally where it is but all Monmouth County is about two inches by three inches and the map is fairly well central. They have Freehold designated so it's east of Freehold. It's pretty much geometrically centered in the Township. Do you know for a fact if any parts of Colts Neck are expected to have density of two to .69 units per acre? It is like -- like perhaps this little square, the one to the right of the square with the black dot? What about the L-shaped square? A That might be questionable. I'm not certain. If those four squares, that is the L-shaped square and the isolated individual square were located within Colts Neck Township, would that change the con-

clusion you have on page 16 that Tri-State Regional

Planning Commission with respect to the recommendations for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Queale - direct 98 1 densities in Colts Neck Township, you state to be fully 2 clear, you state in the first paragraph that Tri-State 3 recommends densities at zero to 0.5 per acre in the second paragraph? 5 Yes. Colts Neck is generally recommended for zero 6 to 0.5. 7 Would that statement be the same if I were to 8 demonstrate to you that those four squares were within 9 Colts Neck Township? 10 I would leave that statement the same because I think 11 frankly they've made an error, and also it's pointed out 12 they're talking about up to .5 units per acre net acre. 13 The Township is .5 per gross so their density is even 14 lower. 15 Do you understand -- do you understand the term of net acre as Tri-State uses it? 16 I've never looked for their definition. The only 17 definition of net acre I've ever heard of is how you 18 design the State --19 That's the only one I ever heard until I read 1 - DIFLA 20. the Tristate Report. Okay. On page 17, Mr. Queale, 21 the statement in the last sentence of the first paragraph, 22 that these densities would comprise 48% of dwelling units. 23

24

25

Does that density assume that these dwelling units or this project would be built all at once, immediately, in

1 I know even -- I don't want to say '77, because 1979? 2 that's the figure you based it on. 3 No, that obviously -- a project such as you're 4 proposing couldn't be built. I would be surprised if it 5 were built in five years. Right. 7 The only comparison I was trying to put it in the 8 context of the character of the town to the extent there 9 is development there. 10 This is for the purpose of a general type of 11 comparison as opposed to what you would expect to happen? 12 A: Yes. Mr. Queale, do you know where the project is 13 You do know where it is located; do you not? 14 I know the site. There's no project yet. 15 Proposed project. The tract -- the piece of 16 property south of Route 18, the property adjoins Hockhockson 17 Brook, doesn't it? 18 It's north of it, yes. 19 It's bordered by the brook? 20 21. What did you mean by the statement in the 22 middle of that paragraph, second paragraph, "Away from 23 Hockhockson Brook"? 24

The point at which the property abuts the Hockhockson

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Brook is really in the headwater area almost like the beginning of the stream. I have perhaps loosely worded it here, but I always think of Hockhockson Brook as being that significant body of running water that's the eastern body of the stream after several tributaries have merged in there.

Is it called Hockhockson Brook at that point? It's known both as Hockhockson and I think Pine Brook.

When you said at the bottom of that paragraph that the pedestrians' convenience is unrealistic because of the distances involved, what distances were you talking about?

In terms of your site and the location of the commercial uses on Route 34 --

Yes.

-- And the scattered pattern of commercial uses. It's true that your property comes up to the rear property line of the uses fronting on 34 --

Yes?

- But by the time you get to either end of your property or to the eastern boundary of the property and you wanted to walk, let's say, to the travel agent that's in that little group of stores --

> Yes? Q



Queale - direct 101

A -- The vast majority of your tract would probably be anywheres from a half to three-quarters of a mile as the crow flies, and in some cases, depending on the street configuration, could be significantly longer. Once you get to that one use, if you're looking to walk to the other types of services, you then have to walk up and down Route 34.

Q Is there any site in Colts Neck Township, which is more convenient to those facilities for pedestrian access?

A The most convenient? Yours is probably the most convenient in that sense. I don't consider it really convenient in the sense that you could look for the vast majority of residents in that project to walk to the commercial uses. I don't think it will happen.

Q If it can be demonstrated that every resident could walk to the commercial uses within 10 minutes, would that affect your opinion in that respect?

A It's not whether they could. It's whether the pattern is attractive to the point that they would. I'm sure distance-wise it would be reasonable to expect that you could walk that distance in 10 minutes.

Q You say the "pattern", you mean -You could walk over a mile in 10 minutes or

approximately a mile.



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q You could? I can't.

I can run it in 8½ so you really walk it in --

That's what I was about to say. The pattern you talk about, that's the existing pattern that you're talking about, is it not an existing pattern of commercial uses, the pattern that's not conducive to pedestriah access? When you're talking about pedestrian access, you're talking two things. One is proximity of commercial tract. Two is the commercial zone. Once you reached that commercial area, how you're going to move along it, are you going

What stops people from walking along this commercial area presently?

It's scattered. It's not the mixture of uses that normally one would go to for shopping outing. It's the type of uses where someone knows they're going to buy a dress and go to the travel agent. They drive there, do their business and go someplace else. There are no sidewalks along 34. The cold commercial character of the Route 34 section is not conducive to pedestrian traffic. The strung out design, it's not a shopping center.

There is an approved shopping center on the corner; is there not?

I'm not sure of the current status. I know there was a site plan reviewed and I believe it had preliminary



1 approval. Whether it got final approval, I don't know. 2 Do you know why that has not been built? 3 No. MR. FRIZELL: Off the record. (Whereupon there is a discussion 5 off the record.) 6 BY MR. FRIZELL: 7 I want you to explain to me, Mr. Queale, the 8 clause in the sentence in the last paragraph where you 9 said --10 What page? 11 17 -- the goals of the various bodies for low 12 density are identified in Colts Neck for development, if 13 any development at all. What was the -- what does "If 14 any development at all" mean? 15 In the County's and Tri-State's plans, they say 16 zero to .5. Now, zero implies no development in terms 17 of either density of units or density of population, and 18 continuation of agricultural use. 19 Well, Tri-State's plan, to follow up on that, 20 does recommend densities of two to 6.9 at selected 21 locations in order to concentrate development in those 22 rural, semi-rural or semi-suburban areas; does it not? 23 MR. O'HAGAN: I object to the 24

form of the question. You're testifying as

to why, if indeed, Tri-State does name such recommendations, you're testifying to the purpose.

MR. FRIZELL: I'm asking him whether or not Tri-State recommends concentrated development in those areas, if I may, what they refer to as middle densities of two to .6 in those areas. Isn't that what Tri-State did?

MR. O'HAGAN: You can ask him that.

THE WITNESS: The map that's presented in the book does indicate that and there would have to be an interpretation as to where, if at all, whether that's in Colts Neck --

## BY MR. O'HAGAN:

Q Okay.

A -- My understanding is that was intended for a specific purpose. That's no longer valid.

Q Regardless of whether or not it covers this
particular property, I'm simply asking you whether that
isn't the general notion of the Tri-State plan for
preserving those semi-rural areas to take when you say
zero development in a given area, they mean, do they not,
aren't they talking about zero development in certain
areas and concentrating in other areas within the



wueate - atreco

1 geographic location?

A That would happen in any event whether or not there is a transferring provision or not.

Q Okay.

A I mean, it's not a situation where you're going to actually have zero development.

Q Mr. Queale, do you believe, is it your opinion, that the current development ordinance of Colts Neck

Township, do you recall that that ordinance will, based on past experience over the past, since 1971, that that development ordinance will preserve agricultural lands, one, and secondly, is it the best available alternative to preservation of agricultural lands in Colts Neck

Township?

A Given the total picture of the Township and what is happening with the horse farms and continuing agriculture, I would say that the ordinance recognizes the agricultural uses and permits it to continue. That's on the one hand. And it does so in a manner that is consistent with past zoning practices in the State.

The second issue of -- on the assumption that you would have total development up to the limits of the ordinance, would it be the best way to preserve agriculture, I think the answer has to be, no, in view of the other options such as transfer provisions that seem to be



Queale - direct

picking up such as in actual interest in and around the State. Historically, we're right in the trend of agricultural type preservation zones. I would dare say other than the Chesterfield Ordinance, none of the other 560 some towns in the State have that provision. Certainly if you look at this, taking two acre lots and spreading it across the Township, you're not preserving agriculture, but with that type of zoning, it is consistent with that type of agriculture that exists.

Q That's what I don't understand.

A I'm looking at compatibility. You -- my statement appears contradictory in the sense that if you assume total development of the town, you're not preserving agriculture. I don't have any question with that.

what I'm saying is, if you're going to have development where you don't have water and sewers and all the other things we've talked about, two acre lots, clustered or unclustered, are a low density that can be designed so that there is compatibility from the developed tract to the farm next door.

If your population projection of 1973, that I understand is the most recent adopted one, were achieved by 1985 or even if it were achieved by 1990, at the present -- under the present development regulations, how many acres of land would be consumed by that popu-



Queale - direct

A I think in our 1973 memo on saturation development, it was something like 4,000.

Q Acres?

A Yes.

Q Would you anticipate in your capacity that that would occur on the agricultural lands presently qualified?

A If you're really going to consume 4,000 acres, there's no way that you could not impact on existing qualified land. It would not necessarily mean 4,000 acres of existing farmland because you have some acreage that is non-farmland at the present time. I'm sure some if not all would be developed.

Q Are you referring to qualified land not being farmed or vacant land not qualified?

A Approximately 2% addressed in the report as vacant land that is also not qualified farmland.

Q That 2% is something like 200 acres?

A Yes

Q That would be absorbed quickly. Of the 4,000 acres, you projected at least 3,600 would be probably qualified farmland?

A Yes, if developed at all.

Q How do you know on page 20, Mr. Queale, that



2

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

the existing steet system is not designed for the convenience of a gravity flow sewer system?

This is up in the northern part of the Township and I'll go back some years ago. Now, probably in the late '60's, I guess it was the late '60's, there was some talk of possibly sewering an area up there and it just became a horrendous design problem because the industries were laid out for esthetic purposes, recognizing the terrain and laying out the single-family homes.

So it was in reference to that memory or recollection that you made that statement?

Yes, and to my knowledge any of the subsequent developments that have occurred were not laid out with sewer lines in mind. It's not to say that none weren't. It's just that I don't know of any.

You can't state with any degree of certainty how many were not?

That's correct.

On page 20, Mr. Queale, you indicate that there were three criteria which might affect the introduction of a sewer interceptor to serve what you refer to as the Village of Colts Neck, which is at the intersection of 537 and Route 34. Those were land use considerations which I assume would be a change in the -am I correct to assume that means a change in the planning



A Either that or the design of a particular project that may come in that area. This is leading up -- the drainage corridor there is leading up to the airport site and there's tremendous water problems on a large portion of that site. Along that stream, there are a lot of flat, swamp-like lands.

Q So that the development of that site, for instance, among others, might have affected the possibility of a sewer interceptor being recommended at that site in that area?

A Yes, and if put in that area for that site, then the question would be: Would you also look to pick up the few homes in the Colts Neck Inn area, that are right around the Colts Neck Inn.

Q Secondly, the completion of the Route 18

Freeway, which I understand is clear, thirdly the economic considerations, does that mean the cost of the system or the availability of funding? Is that what that refers to?

A Yes.

Q Would that also, for instance, include the possibility of private funding? I mean economic considerations?

A Yes.



1 Q. The last sentence on page 20, the last 2 sentence in the second paragraph up from the bottom, the 3 primary intention - referring here to the commercial 4 zoning on Route 34 or at the intersection - is to provide 5 the daily convenience needs to the Township residents? 6 That's a statement from the Master Plan. You 7 mentioned the zoning. 8 Yes, okay. And was the zoning that was 9 adopted pursuant to that recommendation? 10 Yes. 11 So that the existing commercial zoning on 12 Route 34 has that intention in mind? 13 Α Yes. 14 15 16 17

A Yes.

Q Do you know for a fact, Mr. Queale, whether that objective or that intent has been achieved? Do the commercial services existing on Route 34 provide daily convenience needs to the Township residents?

A They don't provide all the daily services. I don't think any particular shopping center, no matter where, really provides all the services. The intent here was to clarify. The purpose of the commercial uses along Route 34 were not to pull in, let's say, a Sea View Shopping Center or another Monmouth Mall.

Q Right.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The purposes were the individual restaurants or



1 something like recognizing Delicious Orchards and the 2 Happy Apple Inn, and the scattered uses that already 3 exist. 4 Were any of the recommendations that you made, 5 Mr. Queale, with respect to planned unit development? I'm 6 referring now to page 1, the last paragraph. Were any 7 of the recommendations with respect to planned unit 8 developments adopted in the zoning ordinance of the 9 Township? 10 With respect to the 600 acres? 11 Any planned unit develoment and I'm saying 12 planned unit development as opposed to small clustering, 13 any planned unit development provisions adopted at all? No. 14 15 Did you have discussions with the Township or was that discussed at the Public Hearing in the 16 adoption of the Master Plan? 17 I don't recall the discussions at the Public 18 They were quite some time ago. Hearing. 19 What about the discussions at the time of the 20 Zoning Ordinance? I'm trying to find out why, if you know, 21 they didn't adopt the PUD recommendation when they 22 adopted almost all the other recommendations. 23 Well, I don't want to be evasive. Your question 24

is asking whether I recall. I don't recall any specific

QUEATE - UTICCO discussion on this. I can hypothesize as to why it wasn't done. MR. FRIZELL: I have no other questions. MR. O'HAGAN: I have no questions. (Witness excused) 



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MONMOUTH COUNTY 2 DOCKET NO. L-3299-78 P.W. 3 4 ORGO FARMS & GREENHOUSES, INC., a New Jersey Corporation; and 5 RICHARD J. BRUNELLI, 6 Plaintiffs, -VS-7 <u>CERTIFICATE</u> TOWNSHIP OF COLTS NECK, a 8 Municipal Corporation, 9 Defendant. 10 11 I, FRANCINE RUDD, a Shorthand Reporter and 12 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, certify that 13 the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the Deposition of WILLIAM QUEALE, who was first duly sworn 14 by me. 15 I further certify that I am neither attorney or 16 counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the 17 parties to the action in which the Deposition is taken, 18 and further that I am not a relative or employee of any 19 attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I 20 tinancially interested in the action. 21 22 23 Dated: May 16, 1979 My Commission Expires on

May 10, 1984.

Notary Public of New Jersey.

25

