RULS-AD-1971-40

· TRANSCRIPT OF PARTIAL DEPOSITION OF PAUL GAVIN

PGS - 10

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - SUMERSET COUNTY
DOCKET NO. L-36896-70 P.W.

THE ALLAM-DEANE COMPORATION,

RULS - AD - 1971 - 40

Plaintiff.

VS.

THE TOWNSHIP OF BEDMINSTER,

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF

OF PAUL F. GAVIN

•

TRANSCRIPT of the partial deposition of Paul F.

(EXCERPT)

Defendants.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

3

5

6

7

A Series of

etc., et al.,

Appearances:

Gavin, a witness, called for Oral Examination by the plaintiff in the above-entitled action, said deposition being pursuant to Bules governing Civil Practice in the Games.

New Jersey by and before IRVING SABOH, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, at the office of William W. Lanigan, Esq., 59 South Finley Avenue, Basking Ridge, New Jersey, on Tuesday, November 2, 1971, commencing at 10:00 o'clock in the forencon.

18

WILLIAM W. LANIGAN, ESQ., and DANIEL F. O'CONNELL, ESQ., Attorneys for Plaintiff.

วา

EDWARD D. BOWLBY, ESQ., and MC CARTER & ENGLISH, ESQS., By WICHOLAS CONOVER ENGLISH, ESQ., Attorneys for Defendants.

23

22

24

to the Answer, there were certain defenses raised and I would like to read to you one of the defenses and ask you if you can tell me what it is.

The THIRD DEFENSE states "Plaintiffs have failed to exhaust their administrative remedies, so that the Complaint must be dismissed."

A Yes.

Q Do you have any idea what that means?

A Well --

MR. ENGLISH: I object to this line of questioning. He had nothing to do with the Answer. He was not consulted on it. He has not read to a suggest that this is improper. I direct the witness not to answer this question.

There are other ways that you can find out the substance of the Answer, but this man knows nothing about it.

MR. LANIGAN: He is chairman of the Planning Board. You are right, there is another way and perhaps we will explore that, but I would rather not do that.

If he does know the answer we can cut through a lot of red tape. If I send interrogatories with respect to the same questions, he is chairman of the defendant and if he has no knowledge and can offer no opinion, certainly I am left with counsel's

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

answer which would, in all due respect, not be satisfactory.

MR. BOWLBY: I don't see how you can expect to get a layman to answer a question such as that. That is a legal defense. It requires a little bit of different expertise to understand it. I don't think it is a factual matter.

MR. LANIGAN: Okay. That is the first question. Let's go through the others and see whether the answer is the same as to the others.

Mr. Gavin, the EIGHTH DEFENSE to all Counts to "a large area of relatively open space in proximity to densely populated areas in a large metropolitan area is desirable both in respect to the quality of the regional environment and as an antidote to urban sprawl."

Mr. Gavin, what do you mean by "the quality of the regional environment?

> MR. ENGLISH: I object to that. He doesn't mean anything because he has not seen the Answer and read the Answer. I direct him not to answer the question.

With respect to the next question, what is the "antidote to urban sprawl" referring to there?

> MR. ENGLISH: He doesn't refer to it. told you, I have. I direct him not to answer that

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

question.

· · · :	Q	In	the	NINTH	DEFENS	E to	all	Coun	ts	it	states	"The
rea	sonsb]	Lenes	ss of	the ?	Zoning	Ordin	ance	in	the	To	wnship	of
Bedi	minste	r mu	ist t	e cons	ide red	in	addit	ion	to	oth	er con	sider-
ati	ons ir	ı lig	ght d	of the	popula	tion	dnes	ity,	la	nd	uses a	nd
zon	ing or	rdins	ince	of of	ther mu	nici	palit	ies	in	nor	thern	New
Jer	sey."											

What are those other considerations that you refer to? MR. ENGLISH: I object to the question on the same ground. He doesn't refer to anything and I direct him not to answer the question.

that are the "other municipalities in new Jersey" that you refer to?

> MR. ENGLISH: He has not referred to anything. I direct him not to answer the question.

With respect to the TENTH DEFENSE in all Counts, it refers to the Zoning Ordinance of the Township of Bedminster, "the valid exercise of zoning power, in that it tends to <u>and preserve the values of open space on the edge of </u> a large amsely populated metropolitan center, and of minimizing interference with existing environmental conditions and ecological systems."

My question to you is, what is the geographical edge of this densely populated metropolitan center?

MR. ENGLISH: I make the same objection and

23

22

Q

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

direct the witness not to answer the question.

That is the way that there is a minimizing of interference with existing environmental conditions and ecological systems?

What are these "ecological systems"?

to the witness.

MR. ENGLISH: Same object and same direction to the witness.

- Q What are these "environmental conditions"? MR. ENGLISH: Same objection, same direction,
- MR. ENGLISH: Same objection, same instructions

Q Going back to the EIGHTH DEFENSE. Para that defense refers as follows: "The social interests described in Paragraph 2 of the EIGHT DEFENSE to all Counts can be vindicated only by upholding the validity of the provisions for five-acre minimum lots in the Zoning Ordinance of the Township of Bedminster."

My question to you is, what social interests are you talking about and how can social interests be vindicated? What does that mean?

> MR. ENGLISH: Same objection and same direction to the witness.

In the TENTH DEFENSE, Mr. Cavin, the Amended Answer refers to to the fact that plaintiff seeks to construct a Research-Office facility on the site in question.

What is the similarly zoned space which remains undeveloped in nearby municipalities which has been referred to in that defense?

MR. ENGLISH: I object on the same ground and the same instructions to the witness.

MR. LANIGAN: If I might say here, that these are questions which I am entitled to have answered and I don't presume to have counsel answer them.

Since they relate to factual matters and to the explanation of phraseology with which I am unfamilar, and if counsel cannot be expected to testify as to what he meant by vindicating section interests, then I am left to the discovery process in asking the chairman of the Planning Board, of the defendant, otherwise discovery is meaningless and nullity and it is with that thought in mind that I am respectfully asking that you reconsider and have the witness direct his attention to those questions and supply us with the answers as part of the discovery process.

MR. ENGLISH: I stand on my present position.

MR. LANIGAN: All right. Under the rules, I

think that what we better do is find out whether

these questions are going to be answered or not by

Mr. Gavin and perhaps adjourn the hearing until we

can get an answer. I am going to ask the Court to direct that

2. 1

. . .

.11

MR. ENGLISH: All right.

MR. LANIGAN: I will also make a motion in the alternative for a more definite statement or a motion to strike your defenses on the gound that there has been a refusal to complete discovery.

MR. ENGLISH: You have your remedies, Mr.

Lanigan, but I think your baisc premise is

fallacious in that you assume that Mr. Gavin is the
only witness who has knowledge of all the factor
which we intend to rely. Asking him to in effect
testify to the whole case is unreasonable and
improper.

MR. LANIGAN: It would have been simple, if he had no knowledge as you have just pointed out, for him to say so. The witness was instructed not to answer on matters which I am certain, as a result of the first part of these depositions and his familiarity with the area, he has complete knowledge.

I think perhaps that we should adjourn and make some arrangements to go into court and have this decided. This is crucial to the defense of this case.

MR. ENGLISH: All right. Are we through for

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - SOMERSET COUNTY
DOCKET NO. L-36896-70 P.W.

2

THE ALLAN-DEANE CO PORATION,

Plaintiff.

vs.

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICER

THE TOWNSHIP OF BEDMINSTER, etc., et al.,

Defendants.

8

9

10

11

5

6

7

I, IRVING SABOR, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that prior to the commencement of the examination, the witness, Paul F. Gavin, was sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

12

14

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties

of such estorney or counsel, and that I am not financially

this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

My Commission Expires August 1974

interested in the action.

A Notary Public of the State of New Jersey.