AMG

Planner's Report on housing that meets ML 2 Standards by Moskowitz

155-12 155-12 17 # 3334

AMODDOOIE



Planner's Report

MAXIMUM SALES AND RENTAL UNIT LEVELS FOR HOUSING UNITS MEETING MT. LAUREL II STANDARDS

Timber Property vs. Warren Township

The original of this report was signed and sealed in accordance with N.J.S.A. 13:41-1.3.

Harvey S. Moskowitz, P.P. #12

Introduction

This report provides affordability standards for low and moderate income households in Warren Township, Somerset County, New Jersey. It is based on the guidelines set forth in the Mt. Laurel II case, as follows:

Moderate income families are those whose incomes are than 80 percent and not less than 50 greater not the median income of the with percent of area, adjustments for smaller and larger families. Low income families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of the median income of the area, with adjustments for smaller and larger families. (Footnote 8, 92 NJ at 221)

This report also calculates median household income for the Warren Township region,¹ discusses the percentage of family income to be used for shelter, and presents the model used in determining the affordability income limits based on family size cross-referenced to various interest levels.

Calculating Median Household Income

In the AMG and Timber Properties versus Warren Township cases, there was considerable discussion on what constituted the appropriate regions for both prospective and indigenous (present) fair share. Incorporated as part of the court record (and attached to this report) were recommendations by the three planning consultants for the Township and the plaintiffs (Chadwick, Coppola, and Moskowitz) that, for the present, the

¹The decision discusses and uses the terms "family" and "household" interchangeably. Under the Census definition, a family is "two or more persons related by blood or marriage living as a single housekeeping unit," and a household is median family income to be used as a basis for determining low and moderate income eligibility in Warren Township could be the median family income for the Newark SMSA which includes Somerset County.² This figure for 1983 was \$31,500. However, beginning in 1984 the SMSA's have been eliminated and replaced with new statistical areas. The one most similar to the SMSA is the PMSA, or Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area. Somerset County would become part of the new PMSA of Middlesex, Somerset and Hunterdon counties. The position of the Court was that the new PMSA, because it eliminates Essex County, may result in a median household income considerably above what local conditions suggest Consequently, a new model was developed which needed. as averaged the median household income of the prospective regional share region (which in this case was a 45-minute commutershed around Warren Township), and the present need region (which was the eight-county, Region 11 from the Housing Allocation Report plus Hunterdon County, which was included because of Warren's geographic location and the commuting patterns between Somerset and Hunterdon). This figure was then weighted by the actual

- 2 -

defined as "one or more persons living together as a single household." Generally speaking, household income is usually less than family income since it includes single-person households who are very often elderly, retired individuals. Since households are an important part of the target population, household income is used as the standard.

²This figure is also used by the Newark office of HUD to determine eligibility requirements for federally assisted housing. distribution of low and moderate income housing in the region. The result was a 1984 median household income of \$34,000 made current through the use of the Consumer Price Index. This was only slightly (\$500) above the proposed 1984 HUD median family income upon which they will base their assisted housing income limits. The following table was constructed by using \$34,000 as the median family income and by the same percentage that HUD uses in cross-references of median income by family size. This table in turn is used as the basis for constructing tables 3, 4, 5, and 6 which set the limits for sales and rental housing.

- 3 -

Percentage of Income for Shelter

Shelter costs include, for sales housing, the following: interest and principal payment, property taxes, insurance and homeowners association fees. For rental housing it is gross rental. The question as to what percentage of a family's income one of continuing discussion. should be included is The Mt. Laurel decision (Footnote 8) mentions 25 percent, but most experts agree that this is not only unrealistic but in fact it violates present federal standards for assisted housing which calls for 30 percent of a family's income for rent.³ The New Jersey Mortgage Finance Agency, which is the sole source of tax exempt bond mortgage financing in the State, has a standard of 28 percent, and this standard has been adopted by major lending

³Carla L. Lerman, P.P., <u>Fair Share Allocation Report</u>, Urban League of Greater New Brunswick et al., vs. Borough of Cartaret et al. Alan Mallach, <u>Expert Report on Mt. Laurel II Issues</u> in Urban League of Greater New Brunswick et al., vs. Borough of Cartaret et al. institutions in the State. Since the question of which standard to use is still not decided, the affordability tables have been computed at 25, 30 and 35 percent of family income for sales housing, and 28 and 30 percent for rental.

- 4 -

Table 1

1984 Low and Moderate Income Ceilings

for Warren Township, Somerset County

Number of		
Persons	Low	Moderate
n an tha an tha tha an tha Tha an tha an	\$11,900	\$19,040
2	13,600	21,760
3	15,300	24,480
4	17,000	27,200
5	18,360	28,560
6	19,720	30,260
7	21,080	31,960

Source: Harvey S. Moskowitz, Ph.D., derived HUD figures using \$34,000 as median income.

The four components of housing cost are principal and interest on the mortgage, taxes, insurance and homeowner association fees. Based on certain assumptions on down payment, insurance and association fees, and using the actual 1983 Warren Township tax rate, it is possible to compute annual carrying costs for these components. These in turn can be related to various mortgage interest rates and family size. These are shown in Table 2.

For purposes of this analysis, homeowner association fees were estimated to be approximately \$50 per month for each

\$10,000 of house value, insurance was considered calculated at \$40 per \$10,000 of house value, property taxes were computed based on the 1983 equalized valuation of \$2.14 per \$100 of assessed valuation (which at the present time is 100 percent). The mortgage constant, available from standard tables, assumed a 10 percent down payment and 90 percent, 30-year mortgage. Table 2 below relates these components of housing cost as a percentage of the price of the housing unit. This in turn can be used to determine the maximum unit price affordable to various income levels.

ent of gun independently

- 5 -

Table 2

Annual Carrying Costs by Interest Rate

	<u>as a Pe</u>	rcentage o	f Total Sal	es Price	
Interest Rate	Mortgage Payment	1983 Tax Rate	Insurance	Association Fees	Total
7	.07186	.0214	.004	.015	.11226
8	.07925	.0214		.015	.11965
9	.08960	.0214	.004	.015	.13000
10	.09478	.0214	.004	.015	.13518
11	.10285	.0214	.004	.015	.14325
12	.11109	.0214	.004	.015	.15149
13	.11947	.0214		.015	.15987

.0214

14

.12797

Source: Harvey S. Moskowitz, Ph.D., analysis. Mortgage payment constant from Alan Mallach, <u>Expert Report on</u> <u>Mt. Laurel II Issues</u>.

.004

.015

.16837

The use of the table is illustrated by the following example. But first, it is necessary to express the various factors relating to cost, maximum percentage of income for shelter and household income in a formula as follows: (Price of Unit) X (Housing Cost) = Maximum percent of income for shelter X household income

- 6 -

We have already broken down housing cost into the four components set forth in Table 2 above and expressed them as a percentage of total housing costs. For example, referring to Table 2, a 13 percent mortgage (the present prevailing rate for a 90 percent, 30-year conventional mortgage) would result in annual shelter costs of 0.15957, or about 16 percent of the unit price. Assuming we are trying to determine the maximum price of a dwelling unit affordable to a moderate income family of four paying no more than 30 percent of household income for rent, we can rearrange the formula as follows:

Price of Unit = Maximum percentage of income for shelter X household income (from Table 1) Housing cost (from Table 2)

Price of Unit = <u>.30 X \$27,200</u> .15987

Price of Unit = \$51,041

The formula indicates that housing units costing \$51,041 would be the maximum affordable to a family of four earning \$27,200, paying no more than 30 percent of income for housing with a 30-year, conventional mortgage with 10 percent down. These are spelled out in tables 3, 4 and 5 on the following pages.

Table 3

7 -

Maximum Price of Dwelling Unit, by Mortgage Interest Rate

and Household Size, Affordable to Low and Moderate Income Households

Interest			House	old Size		
Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6
Low Inco	me (50% of	Median Adju	sted for	Family Size	<u>>)</u>	
7ቄ	\$26,500	\$30,287	\$34,073	\$37,859	\$40,887	\$43,91
8ቄ	24,864	28,416	31,968	35,520	38,362	41,20
98	22,885	26,154	29,423	32,692	35,308	37,92
108	22,008	25,152	28,296	31,440	33,955	36,47
118	20,768	23,735	26,702	29,668	32,042	34,41
128	19,638	22,444	25,249	28,055	30,299	32,54
13%	18,609	21,267	23,926	26,584	28,711	30,83
14%	17,669	20,194	22,718	25,242	27,261	29,28
Moderate	Income (80	% of Mediar	Adjusted	l for Family	v Size)	
78	\$42,402	\$48,459	\$54,516	\$60,574	\$63,602	\$67,38
88	39,783	45,466	51,149	56,832	59,674	63,22
98	36,615	41,846	47,077	52,308	54,923	58,19
108	35,212	40,243	45,273	50,303	52,818	55,96
11%	33,229	37,976	42,723	47,469	49,843	52,81
12%	31,421	35,910	40,399	44,887	47,132	49,93
138	29,774	34,028	38,281	42,535	44,661	47,32
148	28,271	32,310	36,349	40,387	42,407	44,93

Source: Analysis, Harvey S. Moskowitz, Ph.D.

and the second second		an a				
					N 144	
MARAN						
MU, and Mu			Table 3A			
1 M W W	D	- C 7 1 -			T 4	
Waxi	mum Price	of Dwellin	g Unit, by	y Mortgage	Interest i	Kate
And House	hold Size,	Affordabl	e to Low a	and Moderat	e Income H	louseholds
Phy 200		(28% c	f Annual I	[ncome)		
) Tinterest			Househo	old Size		
Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6
V Low Income	(50% of M	ledian Adiu	isted for I	Family Size	a)	
78	\$29,681	\$33,921	\$38,161	\$42,402	\$45,794	\$49,186
88	27,848	31,826	35,804	39,783	42,965	46,148
98	25,631	29,292	35,108	36,615	35,545	42,474
10%	24,649	28,170	31,691	35,212	38,029	40,846
11%	23,260	26,583	20.000	22.220	75 007	38,545
128	21,995	25,137	29,906 28,279	33,229	35,887 33,935	36,449
140	21,000	23,131	20,219	JI,42I		50,445
13%	20,842	23,319	26,796	29,774	32,156	34,538
148	19,790	22,617	25,444	28,271	30,533	32,794
Moderate I	ncome (80%	of Median	Adjusted	for Family	Size)	
78	\$47,790	\$54,274	\$61,058	\$67,843	\$71,235	\$75,475
88	44,557	50,922	57,287	63,652	66,835	70,813
00	47 000				C 3 C 3 A	65 J.75
98	41,009	46,868	52,726	58,585	61,514	65,175
108	39,438	45,072	50,706	56,340	59,151	62,678
118	37,216	42,533	47,849	53,166	55,824	59,147
128	35,192	40,219	45,247	50,274	52,788	55,930
2.2.2						
138	33,347	38,111	42,875	47,639	50,021	52,998
148	31,664	36,187	40,710	45,234	47,495	50,323
Note: Bas	ed on 1983	Warren To	wnship pro	operty tax	rate.	
Source: A	nalysis, E	larvey S. M	loskowitz,	Ph.D.		

IVED

FEB 9 1984 , Just SERFENTELLI'S CHAMBERS

Table 4

- 8 -

Maximum Price of Dwelling Unit, by Mortgage Interest Rate

and Household Size, Affordable to Low and Moderate Income Households

	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -					
Interest			Househo	old Size		
Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6
Low Income	(50% of	Median Adju	sted for I	Family Size	2) an an 1	
				••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
78	\$31,801	\$36,344	\$40,887	\$45,430	\$49,065	\$52,699
88	29,837	34,099	38,362	42,624	46,034	49,444
				an an tha		
98	27,462	31,384	35,308	39,231	42,369	45,508
10%	26,400	30,182	33,955	37,727	40,746	43,764
11%	24,921	28,482	32,042	35,602	38,450	41,298
12%	23,566	26,932	30,233	33,666	36,359	39,052
100		05 F07	00 711		24 45 2	27 005
138	22,331	25,521	28,711	31,901	34,453	37,005
14%	21,203	24,232	27,261	30,290	32,714	35,137
Moderate T	ncome (8))% of Median	Adjusted	for Family	(Sizo)	
Moderate 1	neome (or	18 OI Meulan	Aujusceu	IOI Family	51207	
78	\$50,882	\$58,151	\$65,420	\$72,688	\$76,323	\$80,866
88	47,739	54,559	61,379	68,199	71,609	75,871
	,	51,555	011010	007199	127005	
98	43,938	50,215	56,492	62,769	65,908	69,831
10%	42,255	48,291	54,328	60,364	63,382	67,155
					•	
118	39,874	45,571	51,267	56,963	59,816	63,372
12%	37,705	43,092	48,478	53,865	56,558	59,925
138	35,729	40,833	45,937	51,041	53,594	56,784
148	33,925	38,772	43,618	48,465	50,888	53,917
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Note: Bas	ea on 198	33 Warren To	wnship pro	operty tax	rate.	

(30% of Annual Income)

Source: Analysis, Harvey S. Moskowitz, Ph.D.

Table 5

9 -

Maximum Price of Dwelling Unit, by Mortgage Interest Rate

and Household Size, Affordable to Low and Moderate Income Households

(35% of Annual Income)

Inter			~ ~ ~		nold Size	······	
Rat	<u>e</u>	<u> </u>	2	3	4	5	6
Low I	ncome	(50% of	Median Adjı	isted for	Family Size	<u>)</u>	
7୫		\$37,101	\$42,402	\$47,702	\$53,002	\$57,242	\$61,48
8୫		34,810	39,783	44,756	49,728	53,707	57,68
98		32,038	36,615	41,192	45,769	49,431	53,092
108		30,811	35,212	39,614	44,015	47,537	51,058
11%		29,075	33,229	37,382	41,536	44,859	48,182
12%		27,494	31,630	35,349	39,277	42,419	45,563
13%		26,052	29,774	33,496	37,218	40,195	43,17
14%		24,737	28,271	31,805	35,339	38,166	40,99
Moder	ate I	ncome (80)% of Mediar	Adjusted	l for Family	Size)	
7ቄ 8ቄ		\$59,362 55,696	\$67,843 63,652	\$76,323 71,609	\$84,803 79,565	\$89,043 83,544	\$94,34 88,51
9%		51,262	58,585	65,908	73,231	76,892	81,46
10%		43,297	56,340	63,382	70,425	73,946	78,34
118		46,520	53,166	59,812	66,457	69,780	73,934
128		43,990	50,274	56,558	62,842	65,985	69,912
13%		41,684	47,639	53,594	59,548	62,526	66,248
14%		39,579	45,234	50,888	56,542	59,369	62,903

Source: Analysis, Harvey S. Moskowitz, Ph.D.

Rent Standards

Rent standards are somewhat different. The gross rent includes all utilities. The maximum rent figure is calculated by multiplying the total household income (Table 1) by the maximum percentage of income to be permitted to be spent for shelter. Since this is still under discussion, both 28 percent and 30 percent maximums are shown in Table 6.

Table 6

Maximum Rent Levels by Income and Household Size

Household Size: Number of Bedrooms:	1 1	2 1	3 2	4 3	5 4	6 4
LOW INCOME						
Maximum Gross Rent						
28% of income 30% of income	\$277.66 297.50	\$317.33 340.00	\$357.00 382.50	\$396.66 425.00	\$428.40 459.00	\$460.13 493.00
MODERATE INCOME						
Maximum Gross Rent						
28% of income 30% of income	\$444.26 476.00	\$507.73 544.00	\$571.20 612.00	\$634.67 680.00	\$666.40 714.00	\$706.07 756.50
	1	L	!	<u> </u>	I	

Source: Analysis, Harvey S. Moskowitz, Ph.D.

- 10 -

APPENDIX

Memorandum to Eugene D. Serpentelli, J.S.C.

discussing approach to regional determination