

AM - ~~Alex~~ Hauer's v. Far Hills

11/19/82

Order denying summary judgment for both  
~~parties~~  $\pi + \Delta$

- edited in writing, in typing originally  
stated that  $\pi$ 's motion was granted (2)

p 2

AM 000 ~~0860~~ 0

10/19 r/c

FILED

*Handwritten scribbles and lines*

58611

Nov 23 8 56 AM '82

SOMERSET COUNTY  
L.R. OLSOBY, CLERK

*Handwritten scribbles and lines*

**VOGEL AND CHAIT**

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
MAPLE AVENUE AT MILLER ROAD  
MORRISTOWN, NEW JERSEY 07960  
(201) 538-3800  
ATTORNEYS FOR Plaintiffs

**Plaintiff**

ALOIS HAUEIS, ERNA HAUEIS, JOHN OCHS  
and PRISCILLA OCHS,

vs.

**Defendant**

THE BOROUGH OF FAR HILLS, THE PLANNING  
BOARD OF FAR HILLS, THE BOROUGH COUNCIL  
OF FAR HILLS, AND HENRY ARGENTO, THE  
MAYOR OF FAR HILLS.

SUPERIOR COURT  
OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION  
SOMERSET COUNTY

Docket No. L-73360-80


**CIVIL ACTION**  
*DENYING*  
ORDER ~~GRANTING~~ SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court on Cross Motion for Summary Judgment in favor of plaintiff and the Court having considered the supporting brief, trial brief, answering papers of defendants, pleadings, the affidavit of P.David Zimmerman in support of Plaintiffs Cross Motion for Summary Judgment and in opposition to Defendants' Motion and having heard and considered oral argument of Counsel on November 19, 1982, and, good cause

*Vertical stamp or label on the right margin*

appearing therefor;

IT IS ON THIS 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 1982 ORDERED that the  
Cross Motion of Plaintiff for Summary Judgment be and hereby is  
~~granted~~ <sup>denied</sup> and the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment be and  
hereby is denied.

  
ROBERT E. GAYNOR, J.S.C. P.S.C.